

A NOTE ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HUTTON'S QUASI-UNIFORMITIES AND SHI'S QUASI-UNIFORMITIES

Y. YUE

ABSTRACT. This note studies the relationship between Hutton's quasi-uniformities and Shi's quasi-uniformities. It is shown that when L satisfies "multiple choice principle" for co-prime elements, the category of Hutton's quasi-uniform spaces is a bireflective full subcategory of the category of Shi's quasi-uniform spaces. Especially, if the remote-neighborhood mapping defined by Shi preserves arbitrary joins, then the two categories are isomorphic to each other.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Uniformity is a very important concept close to topology and a convenient tool for investigating topology (See [2]). Many researchers have tried to establish the theories of uniformities in the fuzzy setting and obtained a series of interesting results (See [4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 17, 18, 19]). There are also many papers studying the relationships between all kinds of uniformities (See [4, 13, 20]). The aim of this note is to deal with the relationship between Hutton quasi-uniformities and Shi quasi-uniformities. It is shown that when L satisfies "multiple choice principle" for co-prime elements, the category of Hutton quasi-uniform spaces is a bireflective full subcategory of the category of Shi quasi-uniform spaces. Especially, if remote-neighborhood mapping defined by Shi preserves joins, then the two categories are isomorphic to each other.

An element a in a complete lattice L is said to be coprime if $a \leq b \vee c$ implies that $a \leq b$ or $a \leq c$. The set of all coprimes of L is denoted by $c(L)$. In this paper, L is a completely distributive lattice with an order reversing involution $'$, and we always assume that L satisfies "multiple choice principle" for coprime elements, i.e.,

$$e \leq \bigvee_{t \in T} l_t \Rightarrow \exists t_0 \in T \text{ s.t., } e \leq l_{t_0}.$$

From [5], we know that a completely distributive lattice satisfies "multiple choice principle" above is exactly a completely distributive algebraic lattice or equivalently, the set of all lower sets of a poset. In domain theory, it is well known that the category of completely distributive algebraic lattice is dually equivalent to the category of posets. For more lattice theories, please refer to [3, 5]

Received: October 2011; Revised: March 2012; Accepted: October 2012

Key words and phrases: Hutton's quasi-uniformity, Shi's quasi-uniformity, Reflective category

This work was supported by NSF of Shandong Province (ZR2011AQ010), the specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education(No.20110132120014) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 201213010).

L^X denotes the set of all L -fuzzy sets on X . $A' \in L^X$ is defined by $A'(x) = (A(x))'$. The set of all coprimers of L^X is denoted by $c(L^X)$. Let $F : X \rightarrow Y$ be an ordinary mapping, define $F_L^{\rightarrow} : L^X \rightarrow L^Y$ and $F_L^{\leftarrow} : L^Y \rightarrow L^X$ by $F_L^{\rightarrow}(A)(y) = \bigvee \{A(x) \mid x \in X, F(x) = y\}$ for $A \in L^X$ and $y \in Y$, and $F_L^{\leftarrow}(B)(x) = B(F(x))$ for $B \in L^Y$ and $x \in X$, respectively.

$H(L^X)$ denotes the family of all mappings $f : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ such that:

- (1) $A \leq f(A)$ for all $A \in L^X$;
- (2) $f(\bigvee_{j \in J} A_j) = \bigvee_{j \in J} f(A_j)$ for $\{A_j\}_{j \in J} \subseteq L^X$.

f_1 is the biggest element in $H(L^X)$, i.e., $f_1(A) = 0_X$ when $A = 0_X$ and $f_1(A) = 1_X$ others. Suppose $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping, $g \in H(L^Y)$, define $F^{\leftarrow}(g) : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ by $F^{\leftarrow}(g)(A) = F_L^{\leftarrow} \circ g \circ F_L^{\rightarrow}(A)$ for all $A \in L^X$, then $F^{\leftarrow}(g) \in H(L^X)$.

Definition 1.1. A Hutton quasi-uniformity on a set X is a subset $HU \subseteq H(L^X)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (HU1) $f_1 \in HU$;
- (HU2) $f \in HU, f \leq g \in H(L^X) \Rightarrow g \in HU$;
- (HU3) $f, g \in HU \Rightarrow f \wedge g \in HU$;
- (HU4) $f \in HU \Rightarrow \exists g \in HU, g \circ g \leq f$.

The pair (L^X, HU) is called a Hutton quasi-uniform space. A mapping $F : (L^X, HU) \rightarrow (L^Y, HU_1)$ is called uniformly continuous if $F^{\leftarrow}(g) \in HU$ holds for all $g \in HU_1$. The categories of Hutton quasi-uniform spaces is denoted by $L\text{-HuQUnif}$.

$\phi : c(L^X) \rightarrow L^X$ is called a remote-neighborhood mapping if $x_\lambda \not\leq \phi(x_\lambda)$ for all $x_\lambda \in c(L^X)$. $D(L^X)$ denotes the set of all remote-neighborhood mappings on $c(L^X)$. ϕ_0 is the smallest element of $D(L^X)$, i.e., $\phi_0(x_\lambda) = 0$ for all $x_\lambda \in c(L^X)$. For $\phi, \psi \in D(L^X)$, we define

- (1) $\phi \leq \psi$ if and only if $\phi(x_\lambda) \leq \psi(x_\lambda)$ for all $x_\lambda \in c(L^X)$,
- (2) $(\phi \vee \psi)(x_\lambda) = \phi(x_\lambda) \vee \psi(x_\lambda)$ for all $x_\lambda \in c(L^X)$
- (3) $(\phi \diamond \psi)(x_\lambda) = \bigwedge \{\phi(y_\mu) \mid y_\mu \in c(L^X), y_\mu \not\leq \psi(x_\lambda)\}$ for all $x_\lambda \in c(L^X)$.

Then $\phi \vee \psi \in D(L^X)$, $\phi \diamond \psi \in D(L^X)$, $\phi \diamond \psi \leq \phi$, $\phi \diamond \psi \leq \psi$ and the operations \vee and \diamond satisfy associative law.

Definition 1.2. A Shi quasi-uniformity is a set $SH \subseteq D(L^X)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (SHU1) $\phi_0 \in SH$;
- (SHU2) $\phi \in SH, \phi \geq \psi \in D(L^X) \Rightarrow \psi \in SH$;
- (SHU3) $\phi, \psi \in SH \Rightarrow \phi \vee \psi \in SH$;
- (SHU4) $\phi \in SH \Rightarrow \exists \psi \in SH, \psi \diamond \psi \geq \phi$.

The pair (L^X, SH) is called a Shi quasi-uniform space. A mapping $F : (L^X, SH) \rightarrow (L^Y, SH_1)$ is called uniformly continuous if $F^{\leftarrow}(\psi) \in SH$ for all $\psi \in SH_1$, where $F^{\leftarrow}(\psi) : c(L^X) \rightarrow L^X$ is defined by $F^{\leftarrow}(\psi)(x_\lambda) = F_L^{\leftarrow} \circ \psi \circ F_L^{\rightarrow}(x_\lambda)$. The category of Shi's quasi-uniform spaces is denoted by $L\text{-ShQUnif}$.

2. The Relationship Between Hutton's Quasi-uniformities and Shi's Quasi-uniformities

Due to "multiple choice principle", we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let $f \in H(L^X)$ and define $s^f : c(L^X) \rightarrow L^X$ as follows:

$$s^f(e) = \bigvee_{A \not\leq e'} f(A)'.$$

Then $s^f \in D(L^X)$.

Lemma 2.2. The following statements are valid:

- (1) If $f, g \in H(L^X)$ and $f \leq g$, then $s^f \geq s^g$;
- (2) If $f \in H(L^X)$, then $s^{f \circ f} \leq s^f \diamond s^f$.

Proof. (1) is obvious. We prove (2). From the definition of s^f , we have the following formulas

$$s^f \diamond s^f(e) = \bigwedge_{\lambda \not\leq s^f(e)} s^f(\lambda) = \bigwedge_{\lambda \not\leq \bigvee_{e \not\leq B'} f(B)'} \bigvee_{\lambda \not\leq A'} f(A)'$$

and

$$s^{f \circ f}(e) = \bigvee_{e \not\leq A'} [f \circ f(A)]' = \bigvee_{e \not\leq A'} f(f(A))'.$$

Now we show that $f(f(A))' \leq s^f \diamond s^f(e)$ for all $e \not\leq A'$. Let $e \not\leq A'$. Then $e \not\leq f(A)'$. $\forall \lambda \not\leq \bigvee_{e \not\leq B'} f(B)'$, then $\lambda \not\leq f(A)'$. Hence $f(f(A))' \leq \bigvee_{\lambda \not\leq C'} f(C)'$. Thus $f(f(A))' \leq \bigwedge_{\lambda \not\leq \bigvee_{e \not\leq B'} f(B)'} \bigvee_{\lambda \not\leq C'} f(C)' = s^f \diamond s^f(e)$, as desired. \square

Lemma 2.3. Let HU be a Hutton quasi-uniformity and set $SH^{HU} = \{\phi \in D(L^X) \mid \exists f \in HU, \text{ s.t., } \phi \leq s^f\}$. Then SH^{HU} is a Shi quasi-uniformity.

Proof. We only prove (SHU4). Let $\phi \in SH^{HU}$. Then there exists $f \in HU$ such that $\phi \leq s^f$. Since $f \in HU$, there exists $g \in HU$ such that $g \circ g \leq f$. From Lemma 2.2, we have $s^g \diamond s^g \geq s^{g \circ g} \geq s^f \geq \phi$, as desired. \square

In [15], Shi gave the following way to generate Hutton quasi-uniformity from Shi quasi-uniformity:

$$HU^{SH} = \{f \in H(L^X) \mid \exists \phi \in SH, \text{ s.t., } f \geq h^\phi\},$$

where $h^\phi : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ is defined by $h^\phi(A) = \bigvee_{e \not\leq A'} \phi(e)'$ and $h^\phi \in H(L^X)$.

Theorem 2.4. Let HU be a Hutton quasi-uniformity. Then $HU = HU^{SH^{HU}}$.

Proof. Let $f \in HU$. Then $s^f \in SH^{HU}$. Now we show $f \geq h^{s^f}$. In fact,

$$h^{s^f}(A) = \bigvee_{e \not\leq A'} s^f(e)' = \bigvee_{e \not\leq A'} \bigwedge_{e \not\leq B'} f(B) \leq \bigvee_{e \not\leq A'} f(A) = f(A)$$

Hence $f \in HU^{SH^{HU}}$. This is to say $HU \subseteq HU^{SH^{HU}}$.

On the other hand, let $f \in HU^{SH^{HU}}$. Then there exists $\phi \in SH^{HU}$ such that $f \geq h^\phi$ and there exists $g \in HU$ such that $\phi \leq s^g$. Hence $f \geq h^\phi \geq h^{s^g} \geq g$. The last inequality is due to

$$\begin{aligned} h^{s^g}(A) &= \bigvee_{e \not\leq A'} \bigwedge_{e \not\leq B'} g(B) \\ &= \bigwedge_{w \in \prod_{e \not\leq A'} J_e} \bigvee_{e \not\leq A'} g(w(e)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{w \in \prod_{e \not\leq A'} J_e} g\left(\bigvee_{e \not\leq A'} w(e)\right) \\ &\geq g(A) \end{aligned}$$

since $\bigvee_{e \not\leq A'} w(e) \geq A$. Thus $f \in HU$ which implies $HU^{SH^{HU}} \subseteq HU$. So $HU = HU^{SH^{HU}}$. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let SH be a Shi quasi-uniformity. Then $SH^{HU^{SH}} \subseteq SH$. Furthermore, if each element in SH preserves arbitrary joins, then $SH^{HU^{SH}} = SH$.*

Proof. Let $\phi \in SH^{HU^{SH}}$. Then there exists $f \in HU^{SH}$ such that $\phi \leq s^f$ and there exists $\psi \in SH$ such that $f \geq h^\psi$. Hence $\phi \leq s^f \leq s^{h^\psi}$. We will show $s^{h^\psi} \leq \psi$. In fact,

$$s^{h^\psi}(e) = \bigvee_{A \not\leq e'} h^\psi(A)' = \bigvee_{A \not\leq e'} \bigwedge_{\lambda \not\leq A'} \psi(\lambda) \leq \bigvee_{A \not\leq e'} \psi(e) = \psi(e).$$

Hence $s \in SH$. This is to say $SH^{HU^{SH}} \subseteq SH$.

If each element in SH preserves arbitrary joins, then

$$\begin{aligned} s^{h^\psi}(e) &= \bigvee_{A \not\leq e'} \bigwedge_{\lambda \not\leq A'} \psi(\lambda) \\ &= \bigwedge_{w \in \prod_{A \not\leq e'} J_A} \bigvee_{A \not\leq e'} \psi(w(A)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{w \in \prod_{A \not\leq e'} J_A} \psi\left(\bigvee_{A \not\leq e'} w(A)\right) \\ &\geq \psi(e) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bigvee_{A \not\leq e'} w(A) \geq e$. Hence $\psi \in SH$ implies $s^{h^\psi} \in SH^{HU^{SH}}$, and $\psi \in SH^{HU^{SH}}$ from the above result. So $SH \subseteq SH^{HU^{SH}}$, as desired. \square

Theorem 2.6. (1) *If $F : (L^X, HU_1) \rightarrow (L^Y, HU_2)$ is uniformly continuous, then $F : (L^X, SH^{HU_1}) \rightarrow (L^Y, SH^{HU_2})$ is also uniformly continuous.*

(2) *If $F : (L^X, SH_1) \rightarrow (L^Y, SH_2)$ is uniformly continuous, then $F : (L^X, HU^{SH_1}) \rightarrow (L^Y, HU^{SH_2})$ is also uniformly continuous.*

Proof. We only prove (1). Let $\phi \in SH^{HU_2}$. It suffices to show $F^{\leftarrow}(\phi) \in SH^{HU_1}$. Since $\phi \in SH^{HU_2}$, there exists $g \in HU_2$ such that $\phi \leq s^g$. From the continuity of F , we have $F^{\leftarrow}(g) \in HU_1$. Then $s^{F^{\leftarrow}(g)} \in SH^{HU_1}$. Now we show that $F^{\leftarrow}(\phi) \leq s^{F^{\leftarrow}(g)}$. In fact, from

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\leftarrow}(\phi)(e) &= F^{\leftarrow} \circ \phi \circ F^{\rightarrow}(e) \leq F^{\leftarrow} \circ s^g \circ F^{\rightarrow}(e) \\ &= F^{\leftarrow}(s^g(F^{\rightarrow}(e))) \\ &= \bigvee_{F(e) \not\leq B'} F^{\leftarrow}(g(B))' \\ &= \bigvee_{F(e) \not\leq B'} F^{\leftarrow}(g(B))' \\ &= \bigvee_{e \not\leq F^{\leftarrow}(B)'} F^{\leftarrow}(g(B))' \end{aligned}$$

and $s^{F^{\leftarrow}(g)}(e) = \bigvee_{e \not\leq A'} F^{\leftarrow}(g(F^{\rightarrow}(A)))'$, we have $F^{\leftarrow}(\phi) \leq s^{F^{\leftarrow}(g)}$. Then $F^{\leftarrow}(\phi) \in SH^{HU_1}$. Hence $F : (L^X, SH^{HU_1}) \rightarrow (L^Y, SH^{HU_2})$ is also uniformly continuous. \square

From Theorem 2.4, 2.5 and Theorem 2.6, we have the main result in this note.

Theorem 2.7. *L-HuQUnif is a bireflective full subcategory of L-ShQUnif. Especially, if remote-neighborhood mappings defined by Shi preserve arbitrary joins, then the two categories are isomorphic to each other. It is easy to verify that if $c(L)$ is an antichain (for example L is a Boolean algebra), then every remote-neighborhood mapping preserves arbitrary joins.*

Remark 2.8. The results in this note are valid under the condition that L satisfies “multiple choice principle” for coprime elements. Especially, when L is a finite distributive lattice, the results are right. From Theorem 2.7, we know that if the remote-neighborhood mappings preserve arbitrary joins, then L -HuQUnif and L -ShQUnif are isomorphic. Maybe the remote-neighborhood mappings which do not preserve arbitrary joins have no influence on the generated topologies.

At the end of this note, we have the following example :

Example 2.9. Let X be a single-point set and $L = \{0, a = \frac{1}{4}, b = \frac{3}{4}, 1\}$, where $a' = b, b' = a$. Hence, we know that $c(L) = \{a, b, 1\}$ and we do not distinguish L and L^X in the following discussion. It is easy to verify that $H(L^X)$ is the set $\{f_{ab}^{ab}, f_{ab}^{a1}, f_{ab}^{bb}, f_{ab}^{b1}, f_{ab}^{11}\}$ and $D(L^X)$ is the set $\{s_{ab1}^{000}, s_{ab1}^{00a}, s_{ab1}^{00b}, s_{ab1}^{0aa}, s_{ab1}^{0ab}, s_{ab1}^{0a0}\}$, where f_{ab}^{ab} and s_{ab1}^{000} are defined as follows:

$$f_{ab}^{ab}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0, & \lambda = 0, \\ 1, & \lambda = 1, \\ a, & \lambda = a, \\ b, & \lambda = b. \end{cases}$$

and

$$s_{ab1}^{000}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0, & \lambda = a, \\ 0, & \lambda = b, \\ 0, & \lambda = 1, \end{cases}$$

Others can be similarly defined.

There are four Hutton's quasi-uniformities as follows:

$$HU_1 = \{f_{ab}^{11}\}, HU_2 = \{f_{ab}^{11}, f_{ab}^{a1}, f_{ab}^{b1}\}, HU_3 = \{f_{ab}^{11}, f_{ab}^{b1}, f_{ab}^{bb}\}, HU_4 = \{f_{ab}^{ab}, f_{ab}^{a1}, f_{ab}^{bb}, f_{ab}^{b1}, f_{ab}^{11}\}.$$

There are four Shi's quasi-uniformities;

$$SH_1 = \{s_{ab1}^{000}\}, SH_2 = \{s_{ab1}^{000}, s_{ab1}^{00a}, s_{ab1}^{00b}\}, SH_3 = \{s_{ab1}^{000}, s_{ab1}^{00a}, s_{ab1}^{0aa}, s_{ab1}^{0a0}\}, SH_4 = \{s_{ab1}^{000}, s_{ab1}^{00a}, s_{ab1}^{00b}, s_{ab1}^{0aa}, s_{ab1}^{0ab}, s_{ab1}^{0a0}\}.$$

The readers can easily check that s_{ab1}^{0a0} does not preserve joins, and also easily construct the one to one correspondence between two kind of mappings and quasi-uniformities.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Adámek, H. Herrlich and G. E. Strecker, *Abstract and concrete categories*, J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1990.
- [2] P. Fletcher and W. F. Lindgren, *Quasi-uniform spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1982.
- [3] G. Gierz and et.al, *Continuous lattices and domains*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Cambridge Univ. Press, **93** (2003).

- [4] J. Gutierrez Garcia, M. A. de Prada Vicente and A. P. Šostak, *A unified approach to the concept of fuzzy L -uniform space*, Chapter 3 in: S. E. Rodabaugh, E. P. Klement, eds, *Topological and Algebraic Structures in Fuzzy Sets: A Handbook of Recent Developments in the Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets*, Trends in Logic Kluwer Academic Publishers (Boston/Dordrecht/London), **20** (2003), 81–114.
- [5] K. H. Hofmann, J. D. Lawson, J. S. Pym and eds., *The analytical and topological theory of semigroups: trends and developments*, Berlin, New York: de Gruyter, 1990.
- [6] U. Höhle, *Probabilistic uniformization of fuzzy topologies*, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **1** (1978), 311–332.
- [7] M. Horry and M. M. Zahedi, *Uniform and semi-uniform topology on general*, *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, **6** (2009), 19–29.
- [8] B. Hutton, *Uniformities on fuzzy topological spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **58** (1977), 557–571.
- [9] A. K. Katsaras, *On fuzzy uniform spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **101** (1984), 97–114.
- [10] W. Kozłowski, *Uniform spaces*, Chapter 8 in: U. Höhle, S. E. Rodabaugh and eds, *Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets: Logic, Topology and Measure Theory*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Dordrecht, London, (1999), 553–580.
- [11] R. Lowen, *Fuzzy uniform spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **82** (1981), 370–385.
- [12] S. E. Rodabaugh, *A theory of fuzzy uniformities with applications to the fuzzy real lines*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **129** (1988), 37–70.
- [13] S. E. Rodabaugh, *Axiomatic foundations for uniform operator quasi-uniformities*, Chapter 7 in [4], 199–234.
- [14] F. G. Shi, *Pointwise uniformities in fuzzy set theory*, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **98** (1998), 141–146.
- [15] F. G. Shi, *Theory and application of pointwise uniformities and metrics on lattices*, Ph.D Thesis, 2001.
- [16] F. G. Shi, *Pointwise pseudo-metric on the L -real line*, *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, **2** (2005), 15–20.
- [17] W. Yao, *Analogizing Hutton's quasi-uniformities for complete lattices and extending Shi's quasi-uniformities to closed set lattices*, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **160** (2009), 1233–1244.
- [18] Y. Yue and J. Fang, *Extension of Shi's quasi-uniformities in a Kubiak-Šostak sense*, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **157** (2006), 1956–1969.
- [19] D. Zhang, *Stratified Hutton uniformities*, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **140** (2003), 399–423.
- [20] D. Zhang, *A comparison of various uniformities in fuzzy topology*, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **140** (2003), 399–423.

YUELI YUE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OCEAN UNIVERSITY OF CHINA, 238 SONGLING ROAD, 266100, QINGDAO, P.R.CHINA

E-mail address: yueliyue@163.com