# MEASURES OF FUZZY SEMICOMPACTNESS IN L-FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

W. H. YANG, S. G. LI AND H. ZHAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the notion of fuzzy semicompactness degrees is introduced in L-fuzzy topological spaces by means of the implication operation of L. Characterizations of fuzzy semicompactness degrees in L-fuzzy topological spaces are obtained, and some properties of fuzzy semicompactness degrees are researched

### 1. Introduction

In 1968, Chang [3] introduced fuzzy theory into topology. In Chang's fuzzy topology, open sets are fuzzy, but the topology comprising those open sets is a crisp subset of I-power set  $I^X$ . The notion of Chang's fuzzy topology was extended by Goguen to L-topology. Later many other authors gave more results and notions concerning fuzzy topology (see [1], [2], [5]- [9], [11]- [34]).

Especially, the notion of fuzzy compactness degrees and L-fuzzy semicompactness are introduced in L-fuzzy topological spaces in [14] and [29], respectively. Based on the idea of [14], a natural problem is: Can the degrees of fuzzy semicompactness be defined in an L-fuzzy topological space?

The aim of this paper is to present a new notion of fuzzy semicompactness degrees in L-fuzzy topological spaces by means of the implication operation of L. We will also characterize the fuzzy semicompactness degrees in L-fuzzy topological spaces, and research some properties of fuzzy semicompactness degrees.

#### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper,  $(L,\bigvee,\bigwedge,\prime)$  is a complete DeMorgan frame (i.e., a complete lattice with order-reversing involution satisfying joint-infinite distributive law) [10, 21]. By  $\bot$  and  $\top$  we denote the smallest element and the largest element in L, respectively. The set of non-unit prime elements in L is denoted by P(L). The set of non-zero coprime elements in L is denoted by M(L). We say that a is wedge below b in L, denoted by  $a \prec b$ , if for every subset  $D \subseteq L$ ,  $\bigvee D \ge b$  implies  $d \ge a$  for some  $d \in D$  [4].  $\beta(b)$  is the greatest minimal family of b,  $\beta^*(b) = \beta(b) \cap M(L)$ .  $\alpha(b)$  is the greatest maximal family of b,  $\alpha^*(b) = \alpha(b) \cap P(L)$ .

Received: December 2011; Revised: November 2012; Accepted: March 2013

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases:\ L$ -fuzzy topology, Implication operation, Fuzzy semicompactness, Fuzzy semicompactness degree.

<sup>2010</sup> AMS Classification: 54A40, 54D30, 03E72.

In a complete DeMorgan frame L, there exists a binary operation  $\rightarrow$ . Explicitly the implication is given by  $a \to b = \bigvee \{c \in L : a \land c \leq b\}.$ 

It is easy to check the following properties of  $\rightarrow$ .

- (1)  $(a \to b) \ge c \Leftrightarrow a \land c \le b$ .
- (2)  $a \to b = \top \Leftrightarrow a \le b$ .

- $(3) \ a \to \bigwedge_i b_i = \bigwedge_i (a \to b_i).$   $(4) \ (\bigvee_i a_i) \to b = \bigwedge_i (a_i \to b).$   $(5) \ a \le b \Rightarrow a \to c \ge b \to c, \ c \to a \le c \to b.$   $(6) \ (a \wedge b) \to c = a \to (b \to c).$

We interpret  $[a \le b]$  as the degree to which  $a \le b$ , then  $[a \le b] = a \to b$ .

Let X be a nonempty set,  $L^X$  the set of all L-subsets on  $X, \perp$  the smallest element of  $L^X$  and  $\underline{\top}$  the largest element of  $L^X$ . Then, L-fuzzy topology on a set X is a mapping  $\mathcal{T}: L^X \longrightarrow L$  which satisfies the following conditions:

- $(1) \ \mathcal{T}(\underline{\top}) = \mathcal{T}(\underline{\bot}) = \overline{\top}.$   $(2) \ \mathcal{T}(U \wedge V) \ge \mathcal{T}(U) \wedge \mathcal{T}(V) \ (\forall \ U, V \in L^X).$   $(3) \ \mathcal{T}\left(\bigvee_{j \in J} U_j\right) \ge \bigwedge_{j \in J} \mathcal{T}(U_j) \ (\forall \ \{U_j\}_{j \in J} \subseteq L^X).$

The pair  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is called an L-fuzzy topological space.  $\mathcal{T}(U)$  is called the degree of openness of  $U, \mathcal{T}^*(U) = \mathcal{T}(U')$  is called the degree of closedness of U, where U'is the L-complement of U. For any family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ ,  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{U}) = \bigwedge_{A \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{T}(A)$  is called the degree of openness of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

For a subfamily  $\Phi \subset L^X$ ,  $2^{(\Phi)}$  denotes the set of all finite subfamilies of  $\Phi$ . For any  $a \in L$ ,  $\underline{a}$  denotes a constant value mapping from X to L, its value is a.

**Definition 2.1.** [31] An L-fuzzy inclusion on X is a mapping  $\widetilde{\subset}: L^X \times L^X \longrightarrow L$  defined by the equality  $\widetilde{\subset}(A,B) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A'(x) \vee B(x))$ .

In this paper, we will write  $[A \widetilde{\subset} B]$  instead of  $\widetilde{\subset} (A, B)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [25] Let  $a \in L \setminus \{\top\}$  and  $G \in L^X$ . A subfamily  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $L^X$  is said to be

- (1) an a-shading of G if for any  $x \in X$ , it follows that  $G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{U}} A(x) \nleq a$ .
- (2) a strong a-shading of G if  $\bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{U}} A(x)) \nleq a$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [25] Let  $a \in L \setminus \{\bot\}$  and  $G \in L^X$ . A subfamily  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $L^X$  is said to be

- (1) an a-remote family of G if for any  $x \in X$ , it follows that  $G(x) \land \bigwedge_{B \in \mathcal{D}} B(x) \ngeq a$ .
- (2) a strong a-remote family of G if  $\bigvee_{x \in X} (G(x) \land \bigwedge_{B \in \mathcal{P}} B(x)) \ngeq a$ . (3) a  $\beta_a$ -cover of G if for any  $x \in X$ , it follows that  $a \in \beta(G'(x) \lor \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{P}} A(x))$ .
- (4) a strong  $\beta_a$ -cover of G if for any  $x \in X$ , it follows that

$$a \in \beta(\bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{P}} A(x))).$$

 $a \in \beta(\bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{P}} A(x))).$  (5) a  $Q_a$ -cover of G if  $a \leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{P}} A(x)).$ 

**Definition 2.4.** [28] Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be an L-fuzzy topology on X. For any  $A \in L^X$ , define a mapping  $\mathcal{T}_s : L^X \longrightarrow L$  by

$$\mathcal{T}_s(A) = \bigvee_{B \leq A} (\mathcal{T}(B) \wedge \bigwedge_{x_{\lambda} \prec A} \bigwedge_{x_{\lambda} \nleq D \geq B} (\mathcal{T}(D'))').$$

Then  $\mathcal{T}_s$  is called the L-fuzzy semiopen operator induced by  $\mathcal{T}$  , where  $\mathcal{T}_s(A)$  can be regarded as the degree to which A is semiopen and  $\mathcal{T}_s^*(B) = \mathcal{T}_s(B')$  can be regarded as the degree to which B is semiclosed. For any family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) =$  $\bigwedge_{A \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{T}_s(A)$  is called the degree of semiopenness of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** [28] Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be an L-fuzzy topology on X and let  $\mathcal{T}_s$  be the L-fuzzy semiopen operator induced by  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}(A) \leq \mathcal{T}_s(A)$  for any  $A \in L^X$ .

**Definition 2.6.** [28, 29] A mapping  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  between two L-fuzzy topological spaces  $(X, \mathcal{T}_1)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T}_2)$  is called

- (1) semicontinuous if  $\mathcal{T}_2(U) \leq (\mathcal{T}_1)_s(f_L^{\leftarrow}(U))$  holds for any  $U \in L^Y$ . (2) irresolute if  $(\mathcal{T}_2)_s(U) \leq (\mathcal{T}_1)_s(f_L^{\leftarrow}(U))$  holds for any  $U \in L^Y$ . (3) strongly irresolute if  $(\mathcal{T}_2)_s(U) \leq \mathcal{T}_1(f_L^{\leftarrow}(U))$  holds for any  $U \in L^Y$ .

**Definition 2.7.** [29] Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an L-fuzzy topological space.  $G \in L^X$  is said to be L-fuzzy semicompact if for every family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ , it follows that

$$\bigwedge_{A \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{T}_s(A) \wedge \bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{U}} A(x)) \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} \bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{V}} A(x)).$$

**Definition 2.8.** [14] Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an L-fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X$ . The fuzzy compactness degree  $cd_{\mathcal{T}}$  of G is defined as

$$cd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) = \bigwedge_{\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X} (\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{U}) \to (\bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{U}} A(x)) \to \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} \bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{V}} A(x)))).$$

**Theorem 2.9.** [21, 25] Let  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  be a set mapping and  $f_L^{\rightarrow}: L^X \longrightarrow L^Y$ is induced by f. Then for any  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq L^X$ , we have that

$$\bigwedge_{y \in Y} (f_L^{\rightarrow}(G)'(y) \vee \bigvee_{B \in \mathcal{P}} B(y)) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{B \in \mathcal{P}} f_L^{\leftarrow}(B)(x)).$$

## 3. Measures of Fuzzy Semicompactness

In [14], Li and Shi generalized the notion of fuzzy compactness to L-fuzzy topological spaces, and gave the definition of fuzzy compactness degrees in L-fuzzy topological spaces. Based on [14], we will generalize the notion of fuzzy semicompactness to L-fuzzy topological spaces. In order to do this, let us recall fuzzy semicompactness in L-topology [23].

Let  $(X,\mathcal{T})$  be an L-topological space and  $G\in L^X$ . G is fuzzy semicompactness if and only if for every family  $\mathcal{U}$  of semiopen L-sets, it follows that

$$\bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{U}} A(x)) \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} \bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{V}} A(x)).$$

This implies that for every family  $\mathcal{U}$  of semiopen L-sets,

$$\left[\left[G\widetilde{\subset}\bigvee\mathcal{U}\right]\leq\bigvee_{\mathcal{V}\in\mathcal{Q}^{(\mathcal{U})}}\left[G\widetilde{\subset}\bigvee\mathcal{V}\right]\right]=\top.$$

We know that an L-topology  $\mathcal{T}$  can be looked as a special L-fuzzy topology. Therefore,  $A \in L^X$  is a semiopen set if and only if  $\mathcal{T}_s(A) = \top$  [28]. Thus G is fuzzy semicompactness if and only if for every family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ , it follows that

$$\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \leq \left[ \left[ G \subset \bigvee \mathcal{U} \right] \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} \left[ G \subset \bigvee \mathcal{V} \right] \right].$$

Therefore we can naturally generalize the notion of fuzzy semicompactness degrees to L-fuzzy topological spaces as follows:

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an L-fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X$ . The fuzzy semicompactness degree  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}$  of G is defined as

$$scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) = \bigwedge_{\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^{X}} (\mathcal{T}_{s}(\mathcal{U}) \to ([G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \to \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}]))$$

$$= \bigwedge_{\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^{X}} (\mathcal{T}_{s}(\mathcal{U}) \to (\bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \lor \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{U}} A(x)) \to \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} \bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \lor \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{V}} A(x)))).$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an L-fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X$ . Then  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \leq cd_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ .

*Proof.* Straightforward.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an L-topological space and  $G \in L^X$ . G is fuzzy semicompactness in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  if and only if  $scd_{\chi_{\mathcal{T}}}(G) = \top$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an L-topological space. The mapping  $\chi_{\mathcal{T}}: L^X \longrightarrow L$  defined by

$$\chi_{\mathcal{T}}(A) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \top, & A \in \mathcal{T}, \\ \bot, & A \notin \mathcal{T}. \end{array} \right.$$

is a special L-fuzzy topology. Then  $A \in L^X$  is a semiopen set in L-topology  $\mathcal T$  if and only if  $(\chi_{\mathcal T})_s(A) = \top$ . Thus by the definition of fuzzy semicompactness and the properties of  $\to$ , we know that G is fuzzy semicompactness if and only if for every family  $\mathcal U \subseteq L^X$ , it follows that

$$(\chi_{\mathcal{T}})_s(\mathcal{U}) \le \left[ \left[ G \widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U} \right] \le \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} \left[ G \widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V} \right] \right].$$

This implies that G is fuzzy semicompactness if and only if for every family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ , it follows that

$$(\chi_{\mathcal{T}})_s(\mathcal{U}) \to ([G\widetilde{\subset}\bigvee \mathcal{U}] \to \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\widetilde{\subset}\bigvee \mathcal{V}]) = \top.$$

By the definition of  $scd_{\chi_T}$ , the conclusion is hold.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an L-fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X$ . G is L-fuzzy semicompactness in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  if and only if  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) = \top$ .

*Proof.* By the definition of L-fuzzy semicompactness, we know that G is L-fuzzy semicompactness in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  if and only if for every family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ , it follows that

$$(\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}]) \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}].$$

By the properties of  $\to$ , we obtain that G is L-fuzzy semicompactness in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  if and only if for every family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ , it follows that

$$\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \to ([G\widetilde{\subset}\bigvee \mathcal{U}] \to \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\widetilde{\subset}\bigvee \mathcal{V}]) = \top.$$

By the definition of  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}$ , the conclusion is hold.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an L-fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X$ . Then  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \geq a$  if and only if for any  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G \widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G \widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}].$$

*Proof.* For any  $a \in L$ ,  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \geq a$ , i.e.,

$$\bigwedge_{\mathcal{U}\subseteq L^X} (\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \to ([G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \to \bigvee_{\mathcal{V}\in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}])) \ge a$$

if and only if for any  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \to ([G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \to \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}]) \ge a$$

if and only if (by the property (6) of  $\rightarrow$ ) for any  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ ,

$$(\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}]) \to \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}] \ge a$$

if and only if (by the property (1) of  $\rightarrow$ ) for any  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}].$$

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an L-fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X$ . Then  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \geq a$  if and only if for any  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq L^X$ ,

$$\bigvee_{F \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{T}_s^*(F)' \vee (\bigvee_{x \in X} (G(x) \wedge \bigwedge_{F \in \mathcal{P}} F(x))) \vee a' \geq \bigwedge_{\mathcal{H} \in 2^{(\mathcal{P})}} \bigvee_{x \in X} (G(x) \wedge \bigwedge_{F \in \mathcal{H}} F(x)).$$

*Proof.* It can be easily obtained by Lemma 3.5 and the definition of  $\mathcal{T}_s^*$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an L-fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X$ . Then

$$scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) = \bigvee \{ a \in L : \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \land [G \subset \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \land a \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G \subset \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X \}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.5, we know that  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$  is an upper bound of

$$\{a \in L : \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \land [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \land a \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X\}.$$

Since

$$scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) = \bigwedge_{\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^{X}} (\mathcal{T}_{s}(\mathcal{U}) \to ([G \widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \to \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} \Big[G \widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}])),$$

then for every family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ , we have

$$scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \leq \mathcal{T}_{s}(\mathcal{U}) \to ([G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \to \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}])$$
$$= (\mathcal{T}_{s}(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}]) \to \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}].$$

By the property (1) of  $\rightarrow$ , we obtain that for every family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G \widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G \widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}],$$

thus

$$scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \in \{a \in L : \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \land [G \widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \land a \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G \widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X\}.$$

Therefore, the conclusion is hold.

In order to write simply, for any mapping  $\mathcal{T}: L^X \longrightarrow L$ , denote  $\mathcal{T}_b = \{A \in L^X : \mathcal{T}(A) \geq b\}$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an L-fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X$ ,  $a \in L \setminus \{\bot\}$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \geq a$ .
- (2) For any  $b \in P(L)$ ,  $b \ngeq a$ , each strong b-shading  $\mathcal{U}$  of G with  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \nleq b$  has a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  which is a strong b-shading of G.
- (3) For any  $b \in P(L)$ ,  $b \ngeq a$ , each strong b-shading  $\mathcal{U}$  of G with  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \nleq b$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $r \in \alpha^*(b)$  such that  $\mathcal{V}$  is an r-shading of G.
- (4) For any  $b \in P(L)$ ,  $b \not\geqslant a$ , each strong b-shading  $\mathcal{U}$  of G with  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \not\leq b$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $r \in \alpha^*(b)$  such that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a strong r-shading of G
- (5) For any  $b \in M(L)$ ,  $b \nleq a'$ , each strong b-remote family  $\mathcal{P}$  of G with  $\mathcal{T}_s^*(\mathcal{P}) \nleq b'$  has a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{H}$  which is a strong b-remote family of G.
- (6) For any  $b \in M(L)$ ,  $b \nleq a'$ , each strong b-remote family  $\mathcal{P}$  of G with  $\mathcal{T}_s^*(\mathcal{P}) \nleq b'$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $r \in \beta^*(b)$  such that  $\mathcal{H}$  is an r-remote family of G.
- (7) For any  $b \in M(L)$ ,  $b \nleq a'$ , each strong b-remote family  $\mathcal{P}$  of G with  $\mathcal{T}_s^*(\mathcal{P}) \nleq b'$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $r \in \beta^*(b)$  such that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a strong r-remote family of G.
- (8) For any  $b \leq a, r \in \beta(b)$ ,  $b, r \neq \bot$ , each  $Q_b$ -cover  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq (\mathcal{T}_s)_b$  of G has a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  which is a  $Q_r$ -cover of G.
- (9) For any  $b \leq a, r \in \beta(b)$ ,  $b, r \neq \bot$ , each  $Q_b$ -cover  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq (\mathcal{T}_s)_b$  of G has a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  which is a strong  $\beta_r$ -cover of G.

- (10) For any  $b \leq a, r \in \beta(b)$ ,  $b, r \neq \bot$ , each  $Q_b$ -cover  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq (\mathcal{T}_s)_b$  of G has a finite subfamily V which is a  $\beta_r$ -cover of G.
- (11) For any  $b \leq a, r \in \beta(b)$ ,  $b, r \neq \bot$ , each strong  $\beta_b$ -cover  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq (\mathcal{T}_s)_b$  of G has a finite subfamily V which is a  $Q_r$ -cover of G.
- (12) For any  $b < a, r \in \beta(b)$ ,  $b, r \neq \bot$ , each strong  $\beta_b$ -cover  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq (\mathcal{T}_s)_b$  of G has a finite subfamily V which is a strong  $\beta_r$ -cover of G.
- (13) For any  $b \leq a, r \in \beta(b)$ ,  $b, r \neq \bot$ , each strong  $\beta_b$ -cover  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq (\mathcal{T}_s)_b$  of G has a finite subfamily V which is a  $\beta_r$ -cover of G.

In Theorem 3.8 (8)-(13), if we replace  $b, r \neq \bot$  and  $r \in \beta(b)$  with  $b \in M(L)$  and  $r \in \beta^*(b)$ , then the conclusions are still right.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an L-fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X$ ,  $a \in L \setminus \{\bot\}$ . If for any  $c,d \in L$ ,  $\beta(c \wedge d) = \beta(c) \wedge \beta(d)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \geq a$ .
- (2) For any  $b \in \beta(a)$ ,  $b \neq \bot$ , each strong  $\beta_b$ -cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of G with  $b \in \beta(\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}))$  has a finite subfamily V which is a  $Q_b$ -cover of G.
- (3) For any  $b \in \beta(a)$ ,  $b \neq \bot$ , each strong  $\beta_b$ -cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of G with  $b \in \beta(\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}))$  has a finite subfamily V which is a strong  $\beta_b$ -cover of G.
- (4) For any  $b \in \beta(a)$ ,  $b \neq \bot$ , each strong  $\beta_b$ -cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of G with  $b \in \beta(\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}))$  has a finite subfamily V which is a  $\beta_b$ -cover of G.

## 4. Properties of Fuzzy Semicompactness Degrees

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $(X,\mathcal{T})$  be an L-fuzzy topological space and  $G,H\in L^X$ . Then  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G \vee H) \geq scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \wedge scd_{\mathcal{T}}(H).$ 

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.7 we have

$$scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G \vee H) = \bigvee \{a \in L : \mathcal{T}_{s}(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [(G \vee H)\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a$$

$$\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [(G \vee H)\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^{X} \}$$

$$= \bigvee \{a \in L : \mathcal{T}_{s}(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge [H\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a$$

$$\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} ([G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}] \wedge [H\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}]), \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^{X} \}$$

$$\geq \bigvee \{a \in L : \mathcal{T}_{s}(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a$$

$$\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^{X} \} \wedge \bigvee \{a \in L : \mathcal{T}_{s}(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [H\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a$$

$$\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [H\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^{X} \} = scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \wedge scd_{\mathcal{T}}(H).$$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $(X,\mathcal{T})$  be an L-fuzzy topological space and  $G,H\in L^X$ . Then  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G \wedge H) \geq scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \wedge \mathcal{T}_{s}^{*}(H).$ 

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.7 we have

$$scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G \wedge H) = \bigvee \{ a \in L : \mathcal{T}_{s}(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [(G \wedge H)\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a$$

$$\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [(G \wedge H)\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^{X} \}$$

$$= \bigvee \{ a \in L : \mathcal{T}_{s}(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G\widetilde{\subset} (H' \vee \bigvee \mathcal{U})] \wedge a$$

$$\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\widetilde{\subset} (H' \vee \bigvee \mathcal{V})], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^{X} \}$$

$$\geq \bigvee \{ a \wedge \mathcal{T}_{s}^{*}(H) : \mathcal{T}_{s}(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a$$

$$\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^{X} \} = scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \wedge \mathcal{T}_{s}^{*}(H).$$

**Corollary 4.3.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an L-fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X$ . Then  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \geq scd_{\mathcal{T}}(\underline{\top}) \wedge \mathcal{T}_s^*(G)$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_1), (X, \mathcal{T}_2)$  be two L-fuzzy topological spaces and satisfy  $\mathcal{T}_1 \leq \mathcal{T}_2, G \in L^X$ . Then  $scd_{\mathcal{T}_2}(G) \leq scd_{\mathcal{T}_1}(G)$ .

**Corollary 4.5.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an L-fuzzy topological space and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a base or subbase [7, 8, 34] of  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $G \in L^X$ . Then  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \leq scd_{\mathcal{B}}(G)$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  be a set mapping,  $\mathcal{T}_1$  be an L-fuzzy topology on X,  $\mathcal{T}_2$  be an L-fuzzy topology on Y, and  $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_1) \longrightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_2)$  be an L-fuzzy strong irresolute mapping. Then for any  $G \in L^X$ ,  $cd_{\mathcal{T}_1}(G) \leq scd_{\mathcal{T}_2}(f_L^{\rightarrow}(G))$ . Proof. For any  $G \in L^X$ , we have

$$scd_{\mathcal{T}_{2}}(f_{L}^{\rightarrow}(G)) = \bigvee \{a \in L : (\mathcal{T}_{2})_{s}(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [f_{L}^{\rightarrow}(G)\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a$$

$$\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [f_{L}^{\rightarrow}(G)\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^{X} \}$$

$$\geq \bigvee \{a \in L : \mathcal{T}_{1}(f_{L}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{U})) \wedge [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee f_{L}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{U})] \wedge a$$

$$\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee f_{L}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{V})], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^{X} \} \geq cd_{\mathcal{T}_{1}}(G).$$

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  be a set mapping,  $\mathcal{T}_1$  be an L-fuzzy topology on X,  $\mathcal{T}_2$  be an L-fuzzy topology on Y, and  $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_1) \longrightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_2)$  be an L-fuzzy irresolute mapping. Then for any  $G \in L^X$ ,  $scd_{\mathcal{T}_1}(G) \leq scd_{\mathcal{T}_2}(f_L^{\rightarrow}(G))$ . Proof. For any  $G \in L^X$ , we have

$$scd_{\mathcal{T}_{2}}(f_{L}^{\rightarrow}(G)) = \bigvee \{ a \in L : (\mathcal{T}_{2})_{s}(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [f_{L}^{\rightarrow}(G)\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a$$

$$\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [f_{L}^{\rightarrow}(G)\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^{X} \}$$

$$\geq \bigvee \{ a \in L : (\mathcal{T}_{1})_{s}(f_{L}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{U})) \wedge [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee f_{L}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{U})] \wedge a$$

$$\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee f_{L}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{V})], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^{X} \} \geq scd_{\mathcal{T}_{1}}(G).$$

**Theorem 4.8.** Let  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  be a set mapping,  $\mathcal{T}_1$  be an L-fuzzy topology on X,  $\mathcal{T}_2$  be an L-fuzzy topology on Y, and  $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_1) \longrightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_2)$  be an L-fuzzy semicontinuous mapping. Then for any  $G \in L^X$ ,  $scd_{\mathcal{T}_1}(G) \leq cd_{\mathcal{T}_2}(f_L^{\rightarrow}(G))$ .

*Proof.* For any  $G \in L^X$ , we have

$$cd_{\mathcal{T}_{2}}(f_{L}^{\leftarrow}(G)) = \bigvee \{a \in L : \mathcal{T}_{2}(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [f_{L}^{\leftarrow}(G)\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a$$

$$\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [f_{L}^{\rightarrow}(G)\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^{X} \}$$

$$\geq \bigvee \{a \in L : (\mathcal{T}_{1})_{s}(f_{L}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{U})) \wedge [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee f_{L}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{U})] \wedge a$$

$$\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\widetilde{\subset} \bigvee f_{L}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{V})], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^{X} \} \geq scd_{\mathcal{T}_{1}}(G).$$

**Acknowledgements.** This article is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of P.R. China (Grant No. 11071151) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Provence, P.R. China (Grant No. 2010JM1005).

#### References

- [1] H. Aygün and S. E. Abbas, On characterization of some covering properties in L-fuzzy topological spaces in Sostak sense, Information Sciences, 165 (2004), 221-233.
- [2] H. Aygün and S. E. Abbas, Some good extensions of compactness in Šostak's L-fuzzy topology, Hacett. J. Math. Stat., 36(2) (2007), 115-125.
- [3] C. L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 24 (1968), 39-90.
- [4] P. Dwinger, Characterizations of the complete homomorphic images of a completely distributive complete lattice I, Indagationes Mathematicae (Proceedings), 85 (1982), 403-414.
- [5] R. Ertürk and M. Demirci, On the compactness in fuzzy topological spaces in Sostak's sense, Mat. Vesnik, 50 (1998), 75-81.
- [6] A. Es and D. Coker, On several types of degree of fuzzy compactness, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 87 (1997), 349-359.
- [7] J. M. Fang, Categories isomorphic to L-FTOP, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 157 (2006), 820-831.
- [8] J. M. Fang and Y. L. Yue, Base and subbase in I-fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Res. Exposition, 26 (2006), 89-95.
- [9] T. E. Gantner, R. C. Steinlage and R. H. Warren, Compactness in fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 62 (1978), 547-562.
- [10] G. Gierz, K. H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J. D. Lawson, M. W. Mislove and D. S. Scott, A compendium of continuous lattices, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- [11] U. Höhle, Upper semicontinuous fuzzy sets and applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 78 (1980), 659-673.
- [12] U. Höhle and S. E. Rodabaugh, Mathematics of fuzzy sets: logic, topology, and measure theory, The Handbooks of Fuzzy Sets Series, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston/Dordrecht/ London, 3 (1999).
- [13] T. Kubiak, On fuzzy topologies, Ph.D. Thesis, Adam Mickiewicz, Poznan, Poland, 1985.
- [14] H. Y. Li and F. G. Shi, Measures of fuzzy compactness in L-fuzzy topological spaces, Comput. Math. Appl., 59 (2010), 941-947.
- [15] Y. M. Liu, Compactness and Tychonoff theorem in fuzzy topological spaces, Acta Math. Sinica, 24 (1981), 260-268.
- [16] Y. M. Liu and M. K. Luo, Fuzzy topology, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 1997.
- [17] R. Lowen, Fuzzy topological spaces and fuzzy compactness, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 56 (1976), 621-633.

- [18] R. Lowen, A comparision of different compactness notions in fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 64 (1978), 446-454.
- [19] A. A. Ramadan and S. E. Abbas, On L-smooth compactness, J. Fuzzy Math., 9(1) (2001), 59-73.
- [20] S. E. Rodabaugh, Separation axioms: representation theorem, compactness, and compactifications, In [3].
- [21] S. E. Rodabaugh, Powerset operator foundations for poslat fuzzy set theories and topologies, In [3].
- [22] F. G. Shi, Countable compactness and the Lindelöf property of L-fuzzy sets, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, 1(1) (2004), 79-88.
- [23] F. G. Shi, Semicompactness in L-topological spaces, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 12 (2005), 1869-1878.
- [24] F. G. Shi, A new notion of fuzzy compactness in L-topological spaces, Information Sciences, 173 (2005), 35-48.
- [25] F. G. Shi, A new definition of fuzzy compactness, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 158 (2007), 1486-1495.
- [26] F. G. Shi, P-compactness in L-topological spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 2 (2009), 225-233.
- [27] F. G. Shi, Measures of compactness in L-topological spaces, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 2 (2011), 183-192.
- [28] F. G. Shi, L-fuzzy semiopenness and L-fuzzy preopenness, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., in press.
- [29] F.G. Shi and R. X. Li, Semicompactness in L-fuzzy topological spaces, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 2 (2011), 163-169.
- [30] A. P. Šostak, On a fuzzy topological structure, Rend. Ciec. Mat. Palermo, 11(2) (1985), 89-103.
- [31] A. P. Šostak, Two decades of fuzzy topology: Basic ideas, notions and results, Russian Math. Surveys, 44 (1989), 125-186.
- [32] G. J. Wang, Theory of L-fuzzy topological spaces, Shaanxi Normal University Press, Xi'an, in Chinese, 1988.
- [33] M. S. Ying, A new approach to fuzzy topology I, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 39(3) (1991), 303-321.
- [34] Y. L. Yue and J. M. Fang, Generated I-fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 154 (2005), 103-117.

Wen-Hua Yang\*, College of Mathematics and Information Science, Shaanxi Normal University, 710062, Xi'an, P. R. China

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: huawenyang@126.com}$ 

Sheng-Gang Li, College of Mathematics and Information Science, Shaanxi Normal University, 710062, Xi'an, P. R. China

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: shenggangli@yahoo.com.cn}$ 

Hu Zhao, College of Mathematics and Information Science, Shaanxi Normal University, 710062, Xi'an, P. R. China

 $E\text{-}mail\ address{:}\ \mathtt{zhaohu2007@yeah.net}$ 

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author