

## MEASURES OF FUZZY SEMICOMPACTNESS IN $L$ -FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

W. H. YANG, S. G. LI AND H. ZHAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the notion of fuzzy semicompactness degrees is introduced in  $L$ -fuzzy topological spaces by means of the implication operation of  $L$ . Characterizations of fuzzy semicompactness degrees in  $L$ -fuzzy topological spaces are obtained, and some properties of fuzzy semicompactness degrees are researched.

### 1. Introduction

In 1968, Chang [3] introduced fuzzy theory into topology. In Chang's fuzzy topology, open sets are fuzzy, but the topology comprising those open sets is a crisp subset of  $I$ -power set  $I^X$ . The notion of Chang's fuzzy topology was extended by Goguen to  $L$ -topology. Later many other authors gave more results and notions concerning fuzzy topology (see [1], [2], [5]- [9], [11]- [34]).

Especially, the notion of fuzzy compactness degrees and  $L$ -fuzzy semicompactness are introduced in  $L$ -fuzzy topological spaces in [14] and [29], respectively. Based on the idea of [14], a natural problem is: Can the degrees of fuzzy semicompactness be defined in an  $L$ -fuzzy topological space?

The aim of this paper is to present a new notion of fuzzy semicompactness degrees in  $L$ -fuzzy topological spaces by means of the implication operation of  $L$ . We will also characterize the fuzzy semicompactness degrees in  $L$ -fuzzy topological spaces, and research some properties of fuzzy semicompactness degrees.

### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper,  $(L, \vee, \wedge, \iota)$  is a complete DeMorgan frame (i.e., a complete lattice with order-reversing involution satisfying joint-infinite distributive law) [10, 21]. By  $\perp$  and  $\top$  we denote the smallest element and the largest element in  $L$ , respectively. The set of non-unit prime elements in  $L$  is denoted by  $P(L)$ . The set of non-zero coprime elements in  $L$  is denoted by  $M(L)$ . We say that  $a$  is wedge below  $b$  in  $L$ , denoted by  $a \prec b$ , if for every subset  $D \subseteq L$ ,  $\bigvee D \geq b$  implies  $d \geq a$  for some  $d \in D$  [4].  $\beta(b)$  is the greatest minimal family of  $b$ ,  $\beta^*(b) = \beta(b) \cap M(L)$ .  $\alpha(b)$  is the greatest maximal family of  $b$ ,  $\alpha^*(b) = \alpha(b) \cap P(L)$ .

---

Received: December 2011; Revised: November 2012; Accepted: March 2013

*Key words and phrases:*  $L$ -fuzzy topology, Implication operation, Fuzzy semicompactness, Fuzzy semicompactness degree.

2010 AMS Classification: 54A40, 54D30, 03E72.

In a complete DeMorgan frame  $L$ , there exists a binary operation  $\rightarrow$ . Explicitly the implication is given by  $a \rightarrow b = \bigvee \{c \in L : a \wedge c \leq b\}$ .

It is easy to check the following properties of  $\rightarrow$ .

- (1)  $(a \rightarrow b) \geq c \Leftrightarrow a \wedge c \leq b$ .
- (2)  $a \rightarrow b = \top \Leftrightarrow a \leq b$ .
- (3)  $a \rightarrow \bigwedge_i b_i = \bigwedge_i (a \rightarrow b_i)$ .
- (4)  $(\bigvee_i a_i) \rightarrow b = \bigwedge_i (a_i \rightarrow b)$ .
- (5)  $a \leq b \Rightarrow a \rightarrow c \geq b \rightarrow c, c \rightarrow a \leq c \rightarrow b$ .
- (6)  $(a \wedge b) \rightarrow c = a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c)$ .

We interpret  $[a \leq b]$  as the degree to which  $a \leq b$ , then  $[a \leq b] = a \rightarrow b$ .

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set,  $L^X$  the set of all  $L$ -subsets on  $X$ ,  $\perp$  the smallest element of  $L^X$  and  $\top$  the largest element of  $L^X$ . Then,  $L$ -fuzzy topology on a set  $X$  is a mapping  $\mathcal{T} : L^X \rightarrow L$  which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $\mathcal{T}(\top) = \mathcal{T}(\perp) = \top$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{T}(U \wedge V) \geq \mathcal{T}(U) \wedge \mathcal{T}(V) \quad (\forall U, V \in L^X)$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{T}\left(\bigvee_{j \in J} U_j\right) \geq \bigwedge_{j \in J} \mathcal{T}(U_j) \quad (\forall \{U_j\}_{j \in J} \subseteq L^X)$ .

The pair  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is called an  $L$ -fuzzy topological space.  $\mathcal{T}(U)$  is called the degree of openness of  $U$ ,  $\mathcal{T}^*(U) = \mathcal{T}(U')$  is called the degree of closedness of  $U$ , where  $U'$  is the  $L$ -complement of  $U$ . For any family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ ,  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{U}) = \bigwedge_{A \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{T}(A)$  is called the degree of openness of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

For a subfamily  $\Phi \subseteq L^X$ ,  $2^{(\Phi)}$  denotes the set of all finite subfamilies of  $\Phi$ . For any  $a \in L$ ,  $\underline{a}$  denotes a constant value mapping from  $X$  to  $L$ , its value is  $a$ .

**Definition 2.1.** [31] An  $L$ -fuzzy inclusion on  $X$  is a mapping  $\tilde{c} : L^X \times L^X \rightarrow L$  defined by the equality  $\tilde{c}(A, B) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A'(x) \vee B(x))$ .

In this paper, we will write  $[A \tilde{c} B]$  instead of  $\tilde{c}(A, B)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [25] Let  $a \in L \setminus \{\top\}$  and  $G \in L^X$ . A subfamily  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $L^X$  is said to be

- (1) an  $a$ -shading of  $G$  if for any  $x \in X$ , it follows that  $G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{U}} A(x) \not\leq a$ .
- (2) a strong  $a$ -shading of  $G$  if  $\bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{U}} A(x)) \not\leq a$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [25] Let  $a \in L \setminus \{\perp\}$  and  $G \in L^X$ . A subfamily  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $L^X$  is said to be

- (1) an  $a$ -remote family of  $G$  if for any  $x \in X$ , it follows that  $G(x) \wedge \bigwedge_{B \in \mathcal{P}} B(x) \not\geq a$ .
- (2) a strong  $a$ -remote family of  $G$  if  $\bigvee_{x \in X} (G(x) \wedge \bigwedge_{B \in \mathcal{P}} B(x)) \not\geq a$ .
- (3) a  $\beta_a$ -cover of  $G$  if for any  $x \in X$ , it follows that  $a \in \beta(G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{P}} A(x))$ .
- (4) a strong  $\beta_a$ -cover of  $G$  if for any  $x \in X$ , it follows that

$$a \in \beta\left(\bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{P}} A(x))\right).$$

- (5) a  $Q_a$ -cover of  $G$  if  $a \leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{P}} A(x))$ .

**Definition 2.4.** [28] Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topology on  $X$ . For any  $A \in L^X$ , define a mapping  $\mathcal{T}_s : L^X \rightarrow L$  by

$$\mathcal{T}_s(A) = \bigvee_{B \leq A} (\mathcal{T}(B) \wedge \bigwedge_{x_\lambda \prec A} \bigwedge_{x_\lambda \not\prec B} (\mathcal{T}(D'))').$$

Then  $\mathcal{T}_s$  is called the  $L$ -fuzzy semiopen operator induced by  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $\mathcal{T}_s(A)$  can be regarded as the degree to which  $A$  is semiopen and  $\mathcal{T}_s^*(B) = \mathcal{T}_s(B')$  can be regarded as the degree to which  $B$  is semiclosed. For any family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) = \bigwedge_{A \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{T}_s(A)$  is called the degree of semiopenness of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** [28] Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topology on  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{T}_s$  be the  $L$ -fuzzy semiopen operator induced by  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}(A) \leq \mathcal{T}_s(A)$  for any  $A \in L^X$ .

**Definition 2.6.** [28, 29] A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between two  $L$ -fuzzy topological spaces  $(X, \mathcal{T}_1)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T}_2)$  is called

- (1) semicontinuous if  $\mathcal{T}_2(U) \leq (\mathcal{T}_1)_s(f_L^{\leftarrow}(U))$  holds for any  $U \in L^Y$ .
- (2) irresolute if  $(\mathcal{T}_2)_s(U) \leq (\mathcal{T}_1)_s(f_L^{\leftarrow}(U))$  holds for any  $U \in L^Y$ .
- (3) strongly irresolute if  $(\mathcal{T}_2)_s(U) \leq \mathcal{T}_1(f_L^{\leftarrow}(U))$  holds for any  $U \in L^Y$ .

**Definition 2.7.** [29] Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topological space.  $G \in L^X$  is said to be  $L$ -fuzzy semicompact if for every family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ , it follows that

$$\bigwedge_{A \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{T}_s(A) \wedge \bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{U}} A(x)) \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} \bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{V}} A(x)).$$

**Definition 2.8.** [14] Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X$ . The fuzzy compactness degree  $cd_{\mathcal{T}}$  of  $G$  is defined as

$$cd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) = \bigwedge_{\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X} (\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow (\bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{U}} A(x)) \rightarrow \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} \bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{V}} A(x)))).$$

**Theorem 2.9.** [21, 25] Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a set mapping and  $f_L^{\rightarrow} : L^X \rightarrow L^Y$  is induced by  $f$ . Then for any  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq L^Y$ , we have that

$$\bigwedge_{y \in Y} (f_L^{\rightarrow}(G)'(y) \vee \bigvee_{B \in \mathcal{P}} B(y)) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{B \in \mathcal{P}} f_L^{\leftarrow}(B)(x)).$$

### 3. Measures of Fuzzy Semicompactness

In [14], Li and Shi generalized the notion of fuzzy compactness to  $L$ -fuzzy topological spaces, and gave the definition of fuzzy compactness degrees in  $L$ -fuzzy topological spaces. Based on [14], we will generalize the notion of fuzzy semicompactness to  $L$ -fuzzy topological spaces. In order to do this, let us recall fuzzy semicompactness in  $L$ -topology [23].

Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $L$ -topological space and  $G \in L^X$ .  $G$  is fuzzy semicompactness if and only if for every family  $\mathcal{U}$  of semiopen  $L$ -sets, it follows that

$$\bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{U}} A(x)) \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} \bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{V}} A(x)).$$

This implies that for every family  $\mathcal{U}$  of semiopen  $L$ -sets,

$$\left[ [G\tilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2(\mathcal{U})} [G\tilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}] \right] = \top.$$

We know that an  $L$ -topology  $\mathcal{T}$  can be looked as a special  $L$ -fuzzy topology. Therefore,  $A \in L^X$  is a semiopen set if and only if  $\mathcal{T}_s(A) = \top$  [28]. Thus  $G$  is fuzzy semicompactness if and only if for every family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ , it follows that

$$\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \leq \left[ [G\tilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2(\mathcal{U})} [G\tilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}] \right].$$

Therefore we can naturally generalize the notion of fuzzy semicompactness degrees to  $L$ -fuzzy topological spaces as follows:

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X$ . The fuzzy semicompactness degree  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}$  of  $G$  is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) &= \bigwedge_{\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X} (\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow ([G\tilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \rightarrow \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2(\mathcal{U})} [G\tilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}])) \\ &= \bigwedge_{\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X} (\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow (\bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{U}} A(x)) \rightarrow \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2(\mathcal{U})} \bigwedge_{x \in X} (G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{V}} A(x)))). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X$ . Then  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \leq cd_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ .

*Proof.* Straightforward.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $L$ -topological space and  $G \in L^X$ .  $G$  is fuzzy semicompactness in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  if and only if  $scd_{\chi_{\mathcal{T}}}(G) = \top$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $L$ -topological space. The mapping  $\chi_{\mathcal{T}} : L^X \rightarrow L$  defined by

$$\chi_{\mathcal{T}}(A) = \begin{cases} \top, & A \in \mathcal{T}, \\ \perp, & A \notin \mathcal{T}. \end{cases}$$

is a special  $L$ -fuzzy topology. Then  $A \in L^X$  is a semiopen set in  $L$ -topology  $\mathcal{T}$  if and only if  $(\chi_{\mathcal{T}})_s(A) = \top$ . Thus by the definition of fuzzy semicompactness and the properties of  $\rightarrow$ , we know that  $G$  is fuzzy semicompactness if and only if for every family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ , it follows that

$$(\chi_{\mathcal{T}})_s(\mathcal{U}) \leq \left[ [G\tilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2(\mathcal{U})} [G\tilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}] \right].$$

This implies that  $G$  is fuzzy semicompactness if and only if for every family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ , it follows that

$$(\chi_{\mathcal{T}})_s(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow ([G\tilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \rightarrow \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2(\mathcal{U})} [G\tilde{\subset} \bigvee \mathcal{V}]) = \top.$$

By the definition of  $scd_{\chi_{\mathcal{T}}}$ , the conclusion is hold.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X$ .  $G$  is  $L$ -fuzzy semicompactness in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  if and only if  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) = \top$ .

*Proof.* By the definition of  $L$ -fuzzy semicompactness, we know that  $G$  is  $L$ -fuzzy semicompactness in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  if and only if for every family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ , it follows that

$$(\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G\tilde{\ } \bigvee \mathcal{U}]) \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\tilde{\ } \bigvee \mathcal{V}].$$

By the properties of  $\rightarrow$ , we obtain that  $G$  is  $L$ -fuzzy semicompactness in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  if and only if for every family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ , it follows that

$$\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow ([G\tilde{\ } \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \rightarrow \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\tilde{\ } \bigvee \mathcal{V}]) = \top.$$

By the definition of  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}$ , the conclusion is hold.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X$ . Then  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \geq a$  if and only if for any  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ ,*

$$\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G\tilde{\ } \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\tilde{\ } \bigvee \mathcal{V}].$$

*Proof.* For any  $a \in L$ ,  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \geq a$ , i.e.,

$$\bigwedge_{\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X} (\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow ([G\tilde{\ } \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \rightarrow \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\tilde{\ } \bigvee \mathcal{V}])) \geq a$$

if and only if for any  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow ([G\tilde{\ } \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \rightarrow \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\tilde{\ } \bigvee \mathcal{V}]) \geq a$$

if and only if (by the property (6) of  $\rightarrow$ ) for any  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ ,

$$(\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G\tilde{\ } \bigvee \mathcal{U}]) \rightarrow \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\tilde{\ } \bigvee \mathcal{V}] \geq a$$

if and only if (by the property (1) of  $\rightarrow$ ) for any  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G\tilde{\ } \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\tilde{\ } \bigvee \mathcal{V}].$$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X$ . Then  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \geq a$  if and only if for any  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq L^X$ ,*

$$\bigvee_{F \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{T}_s^*(F) \vee (\bigvee_{x \in X} (G(x) \wedge \bigwedge_{F \in \mathcal{P}} F(x))) \vee a' \geq \bigwedge_{\mathcal{H} \in 2^{(\mathcal{P})}} \bigvee_{x \in X} (G(x) \wedge \bigwedge_{F \in \mathcal{H}} F(x)).$$

*Proof.* It can be easily obtained by Lemma 3.5 and the definition of  $\mathcal{T}_s^*$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X$ . Then*

$$scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) = \bigvee \{a \in L : \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G\tilde{\ } \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\tilde{\ } \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X\}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.5, we know that  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$  is an upper bound of

$$\{a \in L : \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X\}.$$

Since

$$scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) = \bigwedge_{\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X} (\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow ([G\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \rightarrow \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \bigvee \mathcal{V}])),$$

then for every family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) &\leq \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow ([G\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \rightarrow \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \bigvee \mathcal{V}]) \\ &= (\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \bigvee \mathcal{U}]) \rightarrow \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \bigvee \mathcal{V}]. \end{aligned}$$

By the property (1) of  $\rightarrow$ , we obtain that for every family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \bigvee \mathcal{V}],$$

thus

$$scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \in \{a \in L : \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a \leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X\}.$$

Therefore, the conclusion is hold.  $\square$

In order to write simply, for any mapping  $\mathcal{T} : L^X \rightarrow L$ , denote  $\mathcal{T}_b = \{A \in L^X : \mathcal{T}(A) \geq b\}$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X, a \in L \setminus \{\perp\}$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \geq a$ .
- (2) For any  $b \in P(L)$ ,  $b \not\geq a$ , each strong  $b$ -shading  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $G$  with  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \not\leq b$  has a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  which is a strong  $b$ -shading of  $G$ .
- (3) For any  $b \in P(L)$ ,  $b \not\geq a$ , each strong  $b$ -shading  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $G$  with  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \not\leq b$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $r \in \alpha^*(b)$  such that  $\mathcal{V}$  is an  $r$ -shading of  $G$ .
- (4) For any  $b \in P(L)$ ,  $b \not\geq a$ , each strong  $b$ -shading  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $G$  with  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \not\leq b$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $r \in \alpha^*(b)$  such that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a strong  $r$ -shading of  $G$ .
- (5) For any  $b \in M(L)$ ,  $b \not\leq a'$ , each strong  $b$ -remote family  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $G$  with  $\mathcal{T}_s^*(\mathcal{P}) \not\leq b'$  has a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{H}$  which is a strong  $b$ -remote family of  $G$ .
- (6) For any  $b \in M(L)$ ,  $b \not\leq a'$ , each strong  $b$ -remote family  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $G$  with  $\mathcal{T}_s^*(\mathcal{P}) \not\leq b'$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $r \in \beta^*(b)$  such that  $\mathcal{H}$  is an  $r$ -remote family of  $G$ .
- (7) For any  $b \in M(L)$ ,  $b \not\leq a'$ , each strong  $b$ -remote family  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $G$  with  $\mathcal{T}_s^*(\mathcal{P}) \not\leq b'$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $r \in \beta^*(b)$  such that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a strong  $r$ -remote family of  $G$ .
- (8) For any  $b \leq a, r \in \beta(b)$ ,  $b, r \neq \perp$ , each  $Q_b$ -cover  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq (\mathcal{T}_s)_b$  of  $G$  has a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  which is a  $Q_r$ -cover of  $G$ .
- (9) For any  $b \leq a, r \in \beta(b)$ ,  $b, r \neq \perp$ , each  $Q_b$ -cover  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq (\mathcal{T}_s)_b$  of  $G$  has a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  which is a strong  $\beta_r$ -cover of  $G$ .

(10) For any  $b \leq a, r \in \beta(b), b, r \neq \perp$ , each  $Q_b$ -cover  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq (\mathcal{T}_s)_b$  of  $G$  has a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  which is a  $\beta_r$ -cover of  $G$ .

(11) For any  $b \leq a, r \in \beta(b), b, r \neq \perp$ , each strong  $\beta_b$ -cover  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq (\mathcal{T}_s)_b$  of  $G$  has a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  which is a  $Q_r$ -cover of  $G$ .

(12) For any  $b \leq a, r \in \beta(b), b, r \neq \perp$ , each strong  $\beta_b$ -cover  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq (\mathcal{T}_s)_b$  of  $G$  has a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  which is a strong  $\beta_r$ -cover of  $G$ .

(13) For any  $b \leq a, r \in \beta(b), b, r \neq \perp$ , each strong  $\beta_b$ -cover  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq (\mathcal{T}_s)_b$  of  $G$  has a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  which is a  $\beta_r$ -cover of  $G$ .

In Theorem 3.8 (8)-(13), if we replace  $b, r \neq \perp$  and  $r \in \beta(b)$  with  $b \in M(L)$  and  $r \in \beta^*(b)$ , then the conclusions are still right.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X, a \in L \setminus \{\perp\}$ . If for any  $c, d \in L, \beta(c \wedge d) = \beta(c) \wedge \beta(d)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1)  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \geq a$ .

(2) For any  $b \in \beta(a), b \neq \perp$ , each strong  $\beta_b$ -cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $G$  with  $b \in \beta(\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}))$  has a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  which is a  $Q_b$ -cover of  $G$ .

(3) For any  $b \in \beta(a), b \neq \perp$ , each strong  $\beta_b$ -cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $G$  with  $b \in \beta(\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}))$  has a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  which is a strong  $\beta_b$ -cover of  $G$ .

(4) For any  $b \in \beta(a), b \neq \perp$ , each strong  $\beta_b$ -cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $G$  with  $b \in \beta(\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}))$  has a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  which is a  $\beta_b$ -cover of  $G$ .

#### 4. Properties of Fuzzy Semicompactness Degrees

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topological space and  $G, H \in L^X$ . Then  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G \vee H) \geq scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \wedge scd_{\mathcal{T}}(H)$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G \vee H) &= \bigvee \{a \in L : \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [(G \vee H) \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a \\
 &\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [(G \vee H) \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X \} \\
 &= \bigvee \{a \in L : \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge [H \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a \\
 &\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} ([G \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{V}] \wedge [H \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{V}]), \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X \} \\
 &\geq \bigvee \{a \in L : \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a \\
 &\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [G \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X \} \wedge \bigvee \{a \in L : \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [H \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a \\
 &\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2^{(\mathcal{U})}} [H \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X \} = scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \wedge scd_{\mathcal{T}}(H).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topological space and  $G, H \in L^X$ . Then  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G \wedge H) \geq scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \wedge \mathcal{T}_s^*(H)$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G \wedge H) &= \bigvee \{a \in L : \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [(G \wedge H) \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a \\
&\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2(\mathcal{U})} [(G \wedge H) \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X \} \\
&= \bigvee \{a \in L : \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G \tilde{c} (H' \vee \bigvee \mathcal{U})] \wedge a \\
&\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2(\mathcal{U})} [G \tilde{c} (H' \vee \bigvee \mathcal{V})], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X \} \\
&\geq \bigvee \{a \wedge \mathcal{T}_s^*(H) : \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [G \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a \\
&\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2(\mathcal{U})} [G \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X \} = scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \wedge \mathcal{T}_s^*(H). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topological space and  $G \in L^X$ . Then  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \geq scd_{\mathcal{T}}(\perp) \wedge \mathcal{T}_s^*(G)$ .*

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_1), (X, \mathcal{T}_2)$  be two  $L$ -fuzzy topological spaces and satisfy  $\mathcal{T}_1 \leq \mathcal{T}_2$ ,  $G \in L^X$ . Then  $scd_{\mathcal{T}_2}(G) \leq scd_{\mathcal{T}_1}(G)$ .*

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topological space and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a base or subbase [7, 8, 34] of  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $G \in L^X$ . Then  $scd_{\mathcal{T}}(G) \leq scd_{\mathcal{B}}(G)$ .*

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a set mapping,  $\mathcal{T}_1$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topology on  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_2$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topology on  $Y$ , and  $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_2)$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy strong irresolute mapping. Then for any  $G \in L^X$ ,  $cd_{\mathcal{T}_1}(G) \leq scd_{\mathcal{T}_2}(f_L^{\rightarrow}(G))$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $G \in L^X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
scd_{\mathcal{T}_2}(f_L^{\rightarrow}(G)) &= \bigvee \{a \in L : (\mathcal{T}_2)_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [f_L^{\rightarrow}(G) \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a \\
&\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2(\mathcal{U})} [f_L^{\rightarrow}(G) \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X \} \\
&\geq \bigvee \{a \in L : \mathcal{T}_1(f_L^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{U})) \wedge [G \tilde{c} \bigvee f_L^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{U})] \wedge a \\
&\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2(\mathcal{U})} [G \tilde{c} \bigvee f_L^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{V})], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X \} \geq cd_{\mathcal{T}_1}(G). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a set mapping,  $\mathcal{T}_1$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topology on  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_2$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topology on  $Y$ , and  $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_2)$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy irresolute mapping. Then for any  $G \in L^X$ ,  $scd_{\mathcal{T}_1}(G) \leq scd_{\mathcal{T}_2}(f_L^{\rightarrow}(G))$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $G \in L^X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
scd_{\mathcal{T}_2}(f_L^{\rightarrow}(G)) &= \bigvee \{a \in L : (\mathcal{T}_2)_s(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [f_L^{\rightarrow}(G) \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a \\
&\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2(\mathcal{U})} [f_L^{\rightarrow}(G) \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X \} \\
&\geq \bigvee \{a \in L : (\mathcal{T}_1)_s(f_L^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{U})) \wedge [G \tilde{c} \bigvee f_L^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{U})] \wedge a \\
&\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2(\mathcal{U})} [G \tilde{c} \bigvee f_L^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{V})], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X \} \geq scd_{\mathcal{T}_1}(G). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$



**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a set mapping,  $\mathcal{T}_1$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topology on  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_2$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy topology on  $Y$ , and  $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_2)$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy semicontinuous mapping. Then for any  $G \in L^X$ ,  $s cd_{\mathcal{T}_1}(G) \leq cd_{\mathcal{T}_2}(f_L^\rightarrow(G))$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $G \in L^X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} cd_{\mathcal{T}_2}(f_L^\rightarrow(G)) &= \bigvee \{a \in L : \mathcal{T}_2(\mathcal{U}) \wedge [f_L^\rightarrow(G) \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{U}] \wedge a \\ &\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2(\mathcal{U})} [f_L^\rightarrow(G) \tilde{c} \bigvee \mathcal{V}], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X\} \\ &\geq \bigvee \{a \in L : (\mathcal{T}_1)_s(f_L^\leftarrow(\mathcal{U})) \wedge [G \tilde{c} \bigvee f_L^\leftarrow(\mathcal{U})] \wedge a \\ &\leq \bigvee_{\mathcal{V} \in 2(\mathcal{U})} [G \tilde{c} \bigvee f_L^\leftarrow(\mathcal{V})], \forall \mathcal{U} \subseteq L^X\} \geq s cd_{\mathcal{T}_1}(G). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Acknowledgements.** This article is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of P.R. China (Grant No. 11071151) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province, P.R. China (Grant No. 2010JM1005).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Aygün and S. E. Abbas, *On characterization of some covering properties in  $L$ -fuzzy topological spaces in Sostak sense*, Information Sciences, **165** (2004), 221-233.
- [2] H. Aygün and S. E. Abbas, *Some good extensions of compactness in Sostak's  $L$ -fuzzy topology*, Hacett. J. Math. Stat., **36(2)** (2007), 115-125.
- [3] C. L. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **24** (1968), 39-90.
- [4] P. Dwinger, *Characterizations of the complete homomorphic images of a completely distributive complete lattice  $I$* , Indagationes Mathematicae (Proceedings), **85** (1982), 403-414.
- [5] R. Ertürk and M. Demirci, *On the compactness in fuzzy topological spaces in Sostak's sense*, Mat. Vesnik, **50** (1998), 75-81.
- [6] A. Es and D. Coker, *On several types of degree of fuzzy compactness*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **87** (1997), 349-359.
- [7] J. M. Fang, *Categories isomorphic to  $L$ -FTOP*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **157** (2006), 820-831.
- [8] J. M. Fang and Y. L. Yue, *Base and subbase in  $I$ -fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Res. Expositon, **26** (2006), 89-95.
- [9] T. E. Gantner, R. C. Steinlage and R. H. Warren, *Compactness in fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **62** (1978), 547-562.
- [10] G. Gierz, K. H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J. D. Lawson, M. W. Mislove and D. S. Scott, *A compendium of continuous lattices*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- [11] U. Höhle, *Upper semicontinuous fuzzy sets and applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **78** (1980), 659-673.
- [12] U. Höhle and S. E. Rodabaugh, *Mathematics of fuzzy sets: logic, topology, and measure theory*, The Handbooks of Fuzzy Sets Series, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston/Dordrecht/London, **3** (1999).
- [13] T. Kubiak, *On fuzzy topologies*, Ph.D. Thesis, Adam Mickiewicz, Poznan, Poland, 1985.
- [14] H. Y. Li and F. G. Shi, *Measures of fuzzy compactness in  $L$ -fuzzy topological spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl., **59** (2010), 941-947.
- [15] Y. M. Liu, *Compactness and Tychonoff theorem in fuzzy topological spaces*, Acta Math. Sinica, **24** (1981), 260-268.
- [16] Y. M. Liu and M. K. Luo, *Fuzzy topology*, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 1997.
- [17] R. Lowen, *Fuzzy topological spaces and fuzzy compactness*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **56** (1976), 621-633.

- [18] R. Lowen, *A comparison of different compactness notions in fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **64** (1978), 446-454.
- [19] A. A. Ramadan and S. E. Abbas, *On L-smooth compactness*, J. Fuzzy Math., **9(1)** (2001), 59-73.
- [20] S. E. Rodabaugh, *Separation axioms: representation theorem, compactness, and compactifications*, In [3].
- [21] S. E. Rodabaugh, *Powerset operator foundations for poslat fuzzy set theories and topologies*, In [3].
- [22] F. G. Shi, *Countable compactness and the Lindelöf property of L-fuzzy sets*, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, **1(1)** (2004), 79-88.
- [23] F. G. Shi, *Semicompactness in L-topological spaces*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **12** (2005), 1869-1878.
- [24] F. G. Shi, *A new notion of fuzzy compactness in L-topological spaces*, Information Sciences, **173** (2005), 35-48.
- [25] F. G. Shi, *A new definition of fuzzy compactness*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **158** (2007), 1486-1495.
- [26] F. G. Shi, *P-compactness in L-topological spaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **2** (2009), 225-233.
- [27] F. G. Shi, *Measures of compactness in L-topological spaces*, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, **2** (2011), 183-192.
- [28] F. G. Shi, *L-fuzzy semiopenness and L-fuzzy preopenness*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., in press.
- [29] F. G. Shi and R. X. Li, *Semicompactness in L-fuzzy topological spaces*, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, **2** (2011), 163-169.
- [30] A. P. Šostak, *On a fuzzy topological structure*, Rend. Ciec. Mat. Palermo, **11(2)** (1985), 89-103.
- [31] A. P. Šostak, *Two decades of fuzzy topology: Basic ideas, notions and results*, Russian Math. Surveys, **44** (1989), 125-186.
- [32] G. J. Wang, *Theory of L-fuzzy topological spaces*, Shaanxi Normal University Press, Xi'an, in Chinese, 1988.
- [33] M. S. Ying, *A new approach to fuzzy topology I*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **39(3)** (1991), 303-321.
- [34] Y. L. Yue and J. M. Fang, *Generated I-fuzzy topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **154** (2005), 103-117.

WEN-HUA YANG\*, COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, SHAANXI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, 710062, XI'AN, P. R. CHINA  
*E-mail address:* huawenyang@126.com

SHENG-GANG LI, COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, SHAANXI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, 710062, XI'AN, P. R. CHINA  
*E-mail address:* shenggangli@yahoo.com.cn

HU ZHAO, COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, SHAANXI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, 710062, XI'AN, P. R. CHINA  
*E-mail address:* zhaohu2007@yeah.net

\*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR