

CHARACTERIZATIONS AND PROPERTIES OF BOUNDED L -FUZZY SETS

H. P. ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In 1997, Fang proposed the concept of boundedness of L -fuzzy sets in L -topological vector spaces. Since then, this concept has been widely accepted and adopted in the literature. In this paper, several characterizations of bounded L -fuzzy sets in L -topological vector spaces are obtained and some properties of bounded L -fuzzy sets are investigated.

1. Introduction

In 1997, Fang and Yan [3] proposed the notion of L -fuzzy topological vector space. According to the standardized terminology in [4], it should more accurately be called L -topological vector space (L -tvs). The concept of L -tvs unifies and generalizes that of classical topological vector space (i.e., $\{0, 1\}$ -tvs) and that of fuzzy topological vector space (i.e., $[0, 1]$ -tvs) due to Katsaras [5].

It is well known that boundedness of sets is a basic and important concept in the theory of classical topological vector spaces. So, it is natural to give a suitable definition of boundedness for L -fuzzy sets in the framework of L -tvs. In 1997, Fang [2] introduced the concept of boundedness of L -fuzzy sets in L -tvs. Since then, this concept has been widely accepted and adopted in the literature, such as in [9, 10, 11].

As we know, in the theory of classical topological vector spaces, bounded sets have some equivalent characterizations and nice properties. Naturally, the purpose of this paper is to investigate characterizations and properties of bounded L -fuzzy sets in L -tvs.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, L denotes a Hutton algebra [4], i.e., a complete and completely distributive lattice equipped with an order-reversing involution $' : L \rightarrow L$. Note that 0 and 1 are its lower and upper bounds, respectively. $M(L)$ denotes the set of all non-zero union-irreducible elements in L . The elements of $M(L)$ are also called molecules [6] in L . L^X denotes the family of all L -fuzzy sets on X . $M(L^X) = \{x_\lambda \mid x \in X, \lambda \in M(L)\}$, i.e., $M(L^X)$ is the set of all molecules in the lattice L^X . For $U \subseteq X$, $\chi_U : X \rightarrow L$ denotes the characteristic function of the set U . An L -fuzzy set that takes the constant value $\lambda \in L$ on X is denoted by $\underline{\lambda}$. An L -fuzzy set on X is called an L -fuzzy point if it takes the value 0 for all $y \in X$

Received: January 2013; Revised: February 2014; Accepted: July 2014

Key words and phrases: L -topological vector space, Bounded L -fuzzy set, Induced L -topology.

except a single $x \in X$. If its value at x is $\lambda \in L \setminus \{0\}$, we denote this L -fuzzy point by x_λ . An L -topology δ on X is called *stratified* if it contains all constant L -fuzzy sets on X . We assume that the L -topologies referred to in this paper are all stratified and that the lattice L is regular. That is, the intersection of each pair of non-zero elements in L is not zero, which is equivalent to $1 \in M(L)$. For other notations not mentioned here, please refer to [3, 6, 7].

Definition 2.1. [6, 7] Let (X, δ) be an L -topological space and $x_\lambda \in M(L^X)$. $P \in L^X$ is called a closed R -neighborhood of x_λ , if $P \in \delta'$ and $x_\lambda \not\leq P$. The set of all closed R -neighborhoods of x_λ is denoted by $\eta^-(x_\lambda)$.

$A \in L^X$ is called an R -neighborhood of x_λ if there exists $P \in \eta^-(x_\lambda)$ such that $A \leq P$. The set of all R -neighborhoods of x_λ is denoted by $\eta(x_\lambda)$.

$\mathcal{U} \subseteq \eta(x_\lambda)$ is said to be an R -neighborhood base of x_λ if for each $P \in \eta(x_\lambda)$ there exists $Q \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $P \leq Q$.

Definition 2.2. [6, 7] An L -topological space (X, δ) is said to be Hausdorff, if for each $x_\lambda, y_\mu \in M(L^X)$ with $x \neq y$, there exist $P \in \eta(x_\lambda)$ and $Q \in \eta(y_\mu)$ such that $P \vee Q = \underline{1}$.

Definition 2.3. [6, 7] Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. A mapping $A : X \rightarrow L$ is called lower semi-continuous, if $\iota_{\lambda'}(A) = \{x \in X \mid A(x) \not\leq \lambda'\} \in \mathcal{T}$ for each $\lambda \in M(L)$.

The set of all lower semi-continuous mappings from X to L , denoted by $\Omega_L(\mathcal{T})$, is a stratified L -topology, called induced L -topology by \mathcal{T} .

Lemma 2.4. [7] Let $(X, \Omega_L(\mathcal{T}))$ be an L -topological space induced by the topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) . Then $\beta = \{\chi_G \wedge \underline{r} \mid G \in \mathcal{T}, r \in L\}$ is a base of $\Omega_L(\mathcal{T})$.

By Lemma 2.4, we can easily obtain the following conclusion.

Proposition 2.5. Let $(X, \Omega_L(\mathcal{T}))$ be an L -topological space induced by the topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) .

- (1) If \mathcal{U} is a neighborhood base of x in (X, \mathcal{T}) , then for each $\lambda \in M(L)$,
 $\mathcal{U}_\lambda = \{\chi_{U'} \vee \underline{\mu} \mid U \in \mathcal{U}, \lambda \not\leq \mu, \mu \in L\}$
is an R -neighborhood base of x_λ in $(X, \Omega_L(\mathcal{T}))$, where $U' = \{x \in X \mid x \notin U\}$;
- (2) If \mathcal{U}_λ is an R -neighborhood base of x_λ in $(X, \Omega_L(\mathcal{T}))$, then
 $\mathcal{U} = \{\iota_{\lambda'}(U') \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_\lambda\}$
is a neighborhood base of x in (X, \mathcal{T}) , where $\iota_{\lambda'}(U') = \{x \in X \mid U'(x) \not\leq \lambda'\}$.

In the sequel, without special indication, X always denotes a vector space over \mathbb{K} ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}) and θ denotes the zero element of X . \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all positive integers.

Definition 2.6. [1, 3] Applying the extension principle of Zadeh to addition and scalar multiplication, we define the addition and scalar multiplication of L -fuzzy sets on X as follows. For $A, B \in L^X$ and $k \in \mathbb{K}$,

$$(A + B)(x) = \bigvee_{s+t=x} (A(s) \wedge B(t)),$$

$$(kA)(x) = A(x/k), \text{ whenever } k \neq 0,$$

$$(0A)(x) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{y \in X} A(y), & x = \theta, \\ 0, & x \neq \theta. \end{cases}$$

In particular, for L -fuzzy points x_λ, y_μ and $k \in \mathbb{K}$, note that

$$x_\lambda + y_\mu = (x + y)_{\lambda \wedge \mu} \quad \text{and} \quad kx_\lambda = (kx)_\lambda.$$

Definition 2.7. [3] $A \in L^X$ is said to be balanced if $sA \leq A$ for each $s \in \mathbb{K}$ with $|s| \leq 1$. A is said to be co-balanced if A' is balanced.

The balanced hull $\text{BH}(A)$ of an L -fuzzy set A on X is the intersection of all balanced L -fuzzy sets on X containing A .

It is clear that $\text{BH}(A) = \bigvee \{kA \mid k \in \mathbb{K}, |k| \leq 1\}$ and A is balanced if and only if $\text{BH}(A) = A$.

Definition 2.8. [3] Let δ be an L -topology on X . The pair (X, δ) is called an L -tvs if the two mappings (i.e., the addition and the scalar multiplication on X) are both continuous:

- (1) $f : X \times X \rightarrow X, (x, y) \mapsto x + y$ and
- (2) $g : \mathbb{K} \times X \rightarrow X, (k, x) \mapsto kx$

where $X \times X$ and $\mathbb{K} \times X$ are equipped with the corresponding product L -topologies $\delta \times \delta$ and $J_{\mathbb{K}} \times \delta$, respectively, and $J_{\mathbb{K}}$ denotes the usual topology on \mathbb{K} .

By Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.5, it is not difficult to prove the following result.

Proposition 2.9. Let $(X, \Omega_L(\mathcal{F}))$ be an L -topological space induced by the topological space (X, \mathcal{F}) . Then $(X, \Omega_L(\mathcal{F}))$ is an L -tvs if and only if (X, \mathcal{F}) is a tvs.

Definition 2.10. [2] Let (X, δ) be an L -tvs. An L -fuzzy set B on X is said to be λ -bounded ($\lambda \in M(L)$) if for each $Q \in \eta(\theta_\lambda)$, there exist $t > 0$ and $\mu \in L$ with $\mu \not\leq \lambda'$ such that $B \wedge \underline{\mu} \leq tQ'$. B is said to be bounded if it is λ -bounded for each $\lambda \in M(L)$.

Lemma 2.11. [3] Each L -tvs (X, δ) has an R -neighborhood base of θ_λ consisting of closed and co-balanced L -fuzzy sets on X for each $\lambda \in M(L)$.

Lemma 2.12. [9] Let (X, δ) be an L -tvs. Then the following statements are mutually equivalent:

- (1) (X, δ) is Hausdorff;
- (2) For each $\lambda \in M(L)$, θ_λ is a closed L -fuzzy set;
- (3) For each $\lambda \in M(L)$ and $x \in X$ with $x \neq \theta$, there exists $P \in \eta(\theta_\lambda)$ such that $P(x) = 1$.

Lemma 2.13. [8] (1) Let $\{(X_i, \delta_i)\}_{i \in \Gamma}$ be a family of L -tvses, $X = \prod_{i \in \Gamma} X_i$ and $\delta = \prod_{i \in \Gamma} \delta_i$ be the product L -topology of $\{\delta_i\}_{i \in \Gamma}$. Then (X, δ) is also an L -tvs.

(2) Let (X, δ) be an L -tvs, E a linear subspace of X and $X/E = \{\bar{x} \mid x \in X\}$ be the quotient space, where $\bar{x} = \{y \in X \mid y - x \in E\}$. Put $\delta/E = \{q(G) \mid G \in \delta\}$, where $q : X \rightarrow X/E$ ($x \rightarrow \bar{x}$) is the quotient map. Then $(X/E, \delta/E)$ is also an L -tvs.

3. Characterizations of Bounded L -fuzzy Sets

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, δ) be an L -tvs, $B \in L^X$ and $\lambda \in M(L)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) B is λ -bounded;
- (2) For each $Q \in \eta(\theta_\lambda)$, there exists $\mu \in L$ with $\mu \not\leq \lambda'$ such that $\alpha_n(x_n)_{\lambda_n} \not\leq Q$ is eventually true (i.e., there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha_n(x_n)_{\lambda_n} \not\leq Q$ for all $n \geq N$) for all $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ satisfying $|\alpha_n| > 0$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), and for all $\{(x_n)_{\lambda_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq M(L^X)$ satisfying $(x_n)_{\lambda_n} \not\leq B' \vee \underline{\mu}'$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$);
- (3) For each $Q \in \eta(\theta_\lambda)$, there exists $\mu \in L$ with $\mu \not\leq \lambda'$ such that $\frac{1}{n}(x_n)_{\lambda_n} \not\leq Q$ is eventually true for all $\{(x_n)_{\lambda_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq M(L^X)$ satisfying $(x_n)_{\lambda_n} \not\leq B' \vee \underline{\mu}'$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$).

Proof. (1) \implies (2) For each $Q \in \eta(\theta_\lambda)$, by Lemma 2.11, there exists $P \in \eta(\theta_\lambda)$ such that $Q \leq P$ and P is co-balanced. Since B is λ -bounded, there exist $t > 0$ and $\mu \in L$ with $\mu \not\leq \lambda'$ such that $B \wedge \underline{\mu} \leq tP'$. From $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ we know that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 < |\alpha_n| \leq \frac{1}{t}$ whenever $n \geq N$. Hence, $\alpha_n(B \wedge \underline{\mu}) \leq \alpha_n tP' \leq P' \leq Q'$ whenever $n \geq N$, which implies $Q \leq \alpha_n(B' \vee \underline{\mu}')$. On the other hand, we have $\alpha_n(x_n)_{\lambda_n} \not\leq \alpha_n(B' \vee \underline{\mu}')$ since $(x_n)_{\lambda_n} \not\leq B' \vee \underline{\mu}'$. Therefore, $\alpha_n(x_n)_{\lambda_n} \not\leq Q$ whenever $n \geq N$.

(2) \implies (3) Trivial.

(3) \implies (1) Suppose that B is not λ -bounded. Then there exists $Q \in \eta(\theta_\lambda)$ such that $B \wedge \underline{\mu} \not\leq nQ'$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu \in L$ with $\mu \not\leq \lambda'$, which implies $nQ \not\leq B' \vee \underline{\mu}'$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu \in L$ with $\mu \not\leq \lambda'$. Hence, for each $\mu \in L$ with $\mu \not\leq \lambda'$ there exists $\{(x_n)_{\lambda_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq M(L^X)$ such that $(x_n)_{\lambda_n} \leq nQ$, but $(x_n)_{\lambda_n} \not\leq B' \vee \underline{\mu}'$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Therefore, $\frac{1}{n}(x_n)_{\lambda_n} \leq Q$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which contradicts with (3). \square

By Theorem 3.1, we can easily obtain the following corollary, which characterizes bounded L -fuzzy sets.

Corollary 3.2. *Let (X, δ) be an L -tvs and $B \in L^X$. Then the following statements are mutually equivalent:*

- (1) B is bounded;
- (2) For each $\lambda \in M(L)$ and $Q \in \eta(\theta_\lambda)$, there exists $\mu \in L$ with $\mu \not\leq \lambda'$ such that $\alpha_n(x_n)_{\lambda_n} \not\leq Q$ is eventually true for all $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ satisfying $|\alpha_n| > 0$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), and for all $\{(x_n)_{\lambda_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq M(L^X)$ satisfying $(x_n)_{\lambda_n} \not\leq B' \vee \underline{\mu}'$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$);
- (3) For each $\lambda \in M(L)$ and $Q \in \eta(\theta_\lambda)$, there exists $\mu \in L$ with $\mu \not\leq \lambda'$ such that $\frac{1}{n}(x_n)_{\lambda_n} \not\leq Q$ is eventually true for all $\{(x_n)_{\lambda_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq M(L^X)$ satisfying $(x_n)_{\lambda_n} \not\leq B' \vee \underline{\mu}'$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$).

Theorem 3.3. *Let $(X, \Omega_L(\mathcal{T}))$ be an L -tvs induced by the tvs (X, \mathcal{T}) and $B \subseteq X$. Then χ_B is bounded in $(X, \Omega_L(\mathcal{T}))$ if and only if B is bounded in (X, \mathcal{T}) .*

Proof. Necessity: Let χ_B be bounded in $(X, \Omega_L(\mathcal{T}))$ and $\lambda \in M(L)$. Assume that \mathcal{U}_λ is an R -neighborhood base of θ_λ in $(X, \Omega_L(\mathcal{T}))$, then, by Proposition 2.5,

$\mathcal{U} = \{\iota_{\lambda'}(U') \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_\lambda\}$ is a neighborhood base of θ in (X, \mathcal{F}) . Hence, for each neighborhood V of θ in (X, \mathcal{F}) , there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}_\lambda$ such that $\iota_{\lambda'}(U') \subseteq V$. Since χ_B is λ -bounded in $(X, \Omega_L(\mathcal{F}))$, there exist $t > 0$ and $\underline{\mu} \in L$ with $\underline{\mu} \not\leq \lambda'$ such that $\chi_B \wedge \underline{\mu} \leq tU'$. Therefore, $B = \iota_{\lambda'}(\chi_B) \cap \iota_{\lambda'}(\underline{\mu}) = \iota_{\lambda'}(\chi_B \wedge \underline{\mu}) \subseteq \iota_{\lambda'}(tU') = t[\iota_{\lambda'}(U')] \subseteq tV$, which implies that B is bounded in (X, \mathcal{F}) .

Sufficiency: Let B be bounded in (X, \mathcal{F}) . Assume that \mathcal{U} is a neighborhood base of θ in (X, \mathcal{F}) , then, by Proposition 2.5, for each $\lambda \in M(L)$, $\mathcal{U}_\lambda = \{\chi_{U'} \vee \underline{\mu} \mid U \in \mathcal{U}, \lambda \not\leq \underline{\mu}, \underline{\mu} \in L\}$ is an R -neighborhood base of θ_λ in $(X, \Omega_L(\mathcal{F}))$. Hence, for each R -neighborhood Q of θ_λ , there exist $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\underline{\mu} \in L$ with $\lambda \not\leq \underline{\mu}$ such that $Q \leq \chi_{U'} \vee \underline{\mu}$. Since B is bounded in (X, \mathcal{F}) , there exists $t > 0$ such that $B \subseteq tU$. Thus,

$$\chi_B \wedge \underline{\mu}' \leq \chi_{tU} \wedge \underline{\mu}' = t\chi_U \wedge \underline{\mu}' = t(\chi_U \wedge \underline{\mu}') \leq tQ'.$$

Note that $\underline{\mu}' \not\leq \lambda'$. Therefore, χ_B is λ -bounded in $(X, \Omega_L(\mathcal{F}))$. By the arbitrariness of $\lambda \in M(L)$, χ_B is bounded in $(X, \Omega_L(\mathcal{F}))$. \square

4. Properties of Bounded L -fuzzy Sets

Theorem 4.1. *Let (X, δ) be an L -tvs, $B, B_i \in L^X$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n, n \geq 2$) and $\lambda \in M(L)$. Suppose that they are all λ -bounded. Then*

- (1) Every L -fuzzy point x_α is λ -bounded; (2) If $A \leq B$, then A is λ -bounded; (3) $\bigvee_{i=1}^n B_i$ is λ -bounded; (4) $B_1 + B_2 + \dots + B_n$ is λ -bounded; (5) For each $k \in \mathbb{K}$, kB is λ -bounded; (6) The balanced hull $BH(B)$ of B is λ -bounded.

Proof. Since (1) and (3) have been proved in [2] and (2) is trivial by the definition of λ -boundedness of L -fuzzy sets, we need only to prove (4)–(6).

(4) It suffices to show that $B_1 + B_2$ is λ -bounded.

In fact, for each $Q \in \eta(\theta_\lambda)$, by Theorem 3.1 in [3] and Lemma 2.11, there exists $P \in \eta(\theta_\lambda)$ such that P is co-balanced and $P' + P' \leq Q'$. Hence, there exist $t_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $\underline{\mu}_i \in L$ with $\underline{\mu}_i \not\leq \lambda'$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that $B_i \wedge \underline{\mu}_i \leq t_i P'$. Put $t = \max\{t_1, t_2\}$ and $\underline{\mu} = \underline{\mu}_1 \wedge \underline{\mu}_2$. Then $\underline{\mu} \not\leq \lambda'$ and

$$(B_1 + B_2) \wedge \underline{\mu} = (B_1 \wedge \underline{\mu}) + (B_2 \wedge \underline{\mu}) \leq (t_1 P') + (t_2 P') \leq (t P') + (t P') = t(P' + P') \leq tQ'.$$

Therefore, $B_1 + B_2$ is λ -bounded.

(5) If $k = 0$. Then $0B = \theta_{\bigvee_{x \in X} B(x)}$. By (1), $0B$ is λ -bounded;

Assume that $k \neq 0$. For each $Q \in \eta(\theta_\lambda)$, by Lemma 2.11, there exists $P \in \eta(\theta_\lambda)$ such that P is co-balanced and $Q \leq P$. Hence, there exist $t > 0$ and $\underline{\mu} \in L$ with $\underline{\mu} \not\leq \lambda'$ such that $B \wedge \underline{\mu} \leq tP'$, and so

$$(kB) \wedge \underline{\mu} = k(B \wedge \underline{\mu}) \leq ktP' = |k|tP' \leq |k|tQ'.$$

Therefore, kB is λ -bounded.

(6) For each $Q \in \eta(\theta_\lambda)$, by Lemma 2.11, there exists $P \in \eta(\theta_\lambda)$ such that P is co-balanced and $Q \leq P$. Hence, there exist $t > 0$ and $\underline{\mu} \in L$ with $\underline{\mu} \not\leq \lambda'$ such that $B \wedge \underline{\mu} \leq tP'$, and so

$$BH(B) \wedge \underline{\mu} = BH(B \wedge \underline{\mu}) \leq BH(tP') = tBH(P') = tP' \leq tQ'.$$

Therefore, $BH(B)$ is λ -bounded. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let (X, δ) be an L -tvs and $B, B_i \in L^X$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n, n \geq 2$). Suppose that they are all bounded. Then*

(1) Every L -fuzzy point x_α is bounded; (2) If $A \leq B$, then A is bounded; (3) $\bigvee_{i=1}^n B_i$ is bounded; (4) $B_1 + B_2 + \cdots + B_n$ is bounded; (5) For each $k \in \mathbb{K}$, kB is bounded; (6) The balanced hull $BH(B)$ of B is bounded.

Theorem 4.3. Let (X, δ) be a Hausdorff L -tvs, $\lambda \in M(L)$ and B be λ -bounded in (X, δ) . Then for each $x \in X$ with $x \neq \theta$, the set $S = \{k \in \mathbb{K} \mid kx_\lambda \not\leq B'\}$ is bounded in \mathbb{K} .

Proof. By Lemmas 2.11 and 2.12, there exists $Q \in \eta(\theta_\lambda)$ such that $Q(x) = 1$ and Q' is balanced. Since B is λ -bounded, there exist $t > 0$ and $\mu \in L$ with $\mu \not\leq \lambda'$ such that $B \wedge \underline{\mu} \leq tQ'$, which implies $\lambda \not\leq \mu'$ and $tQ \leq B' \vee \underline{\mu}'$. Hence,

$$S = \{k \in \mathbb{K} \mid kx_\lambda \not\leq B' \vee \underline{\mu}'\} \subseteq \{k \in \mathbb{K} \mid kx_\lambda \not\leq tQ\}.$$

It suffices to prove that for each $k \in \{k \in \mathbb{K} \mid kx_\lambda \not\leq tQ\}$, we have $|k| < t$.

In fact, if $k \in \{k \in \mathbb{K} \mid kx_\lambda \not\leq tQ\}$ and $|k| \geq t$, since Q' is balanced, we have $tQ' \leq kQ'$, which implies $kQ \leq tQ$. Therefore, $kx_\lambda \not\leq kQ$, i.e., $x_\lambda \not\leq Q$, which contradicts with $Q(x) = 1$. \square

Next, we shall investigate product and quotient properties of bounded L -fuzzy sets.

Theorem 4.4. Let $\{(X_i, \delta_i)\}_{i \in \Gamma}$ be a family of L -tvses, $X = \prod_{i \in \Gamma} X_i$, $\delta = \prod_{i \in \Gamma} \delta_i$ be the product L -topology of $\{\delta_i\}_{i \in \Gamma}$ and $\lambda \in M(L)$. Then $B \in L^X$ is λ -bounded in (X, δ) if and only if each of its projections $P_i(B)$ is λ -bounded in (X_i, δ_i) .

Proof. Necessity: Suppose that $B \in L^X$ is λ -bounded in (X, δ) . Let Q be an arbitrary R -neighborhood of θ_λ in (X_i, δ_i) . Since P_i is continuous, $P_i^{-1}(Q)$ is an R -neighborhood of θ_λ in (X, δ) . Hence, there exist $t > 0$ and $\mu \in L$ with $\mu \not\leq \lambda'$ such that $B \wedge \underline{\mu} \leq t[P_i^{-1}(Q)]' = tP_i^{-1}(Q)'$, and so $P_i(B) \wedge \underline{\mu} = P_i(B \wedge \underline{\mu}) \leq P_i(tP_i^{-1}(Q)') \leq tQ'$. Therefore, $P_i(B)$ is λ -bounded in (X_i, δ_i) .

Sufficiency: Suppose that each of the projections $P_i(B)$ of B is λ -bounded in (X_i, δ_i) . Since $B \leq \prod_{i \in \Gamma} P_i(B)$, it suffices to show that $\prod_{i \in \Gamma} P_i(B)$ is λ -bounded.

In fact, for each closed R -neighborhood of θ_λ in (X, δ) , $(\prod_{i \in \Gamma} V_i)'$ say, we have $V_i \in \delta_i$ for each $i \in \Gamma$ and $V_i = X_i$ for each $i \in \Gamma$ except for $i = i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$. Since each $P_{i_k}(B)$ is λ -bounded, there exist $t > 0$ and $\mu \in L$ with $\mu \not\leq \lambda'$ such that $P_{i_k}(B) \wedge \underline{\mu} \leq tV_{i_k}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Hence, $[\prod_{i \in \Gamma} P_i(B)] \wedge \underline{\mu} \leq t\prod_{i \in \Gamma} V_i$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.5. Let (X, δ) be an L -tvs, E a linear subspace of X , $\lambda \in M(L)$, and $X/E = \{\bar{x} \mid x \in X\}$ the quotient space, where $\bar{x} = \{y \in X \mid y - x \in E\}$. Put $\delta/E = \{q(G) \mid G \in \delta\}$, where $q : X \rightarrow X/E$ ($x \rightarrow \bar{x}$) is the quotient map. If $B \in L^X$ is λ -bounded in (X, δ) , then $q(B)$ is λ -bounded in $(X/E, \delta/E)$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of the necessity part of Theorem 4.4. \square

Remark 4.6. In the theory of classical topological vector spaces, the converse of Theorem 4.5 doesn't necessarily hold, so it certainly doesn't hold in the case of L -topological vector spaces in general.

By Theorems 4.4 and 4.5, we obtain the following two corresponding corollaries, which uncover product and quotient properties of bounded L -fuzzy sets.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $\{(X_i, \delta_i)\}_{i \in \Gamma}$ be a family of L -tvses, $X = \prod_{i \in \Gamma} X_i$, and $\delta = \prod_{i \in \Gamma} \delta_i$ the product L -topology of $\{\delta_i\}_{i \in \Gamma}$. Then $B \in L^X$ is bounded in (X, δ) if and only if each of its projections $P_i(B)$ is bounded in (X_i, δ_i) .*

Corollary 4.8. *Let (X, δ) be an L -tv, E a linear subspace of X , and $X/E = \{\bar{x} \mid x \in X\}$ the quotient space, where $\bar{x} = \{y \in X \mid y - x \in E\}$. Put $\delta/E = \{q(G) \mid G \in \delta\}$, where $q : X \rightarrow X/E$ ($x \rightarrow \bar{x}$) is the quotient map. If $B \in L^X$ is bounded in (X, δ) , then $q(B)$ is bounded in $(X/E, \delta/E)$.*

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have obtained several equivalent characterizations and some properties of bounded L -fuzzy sets, which extend the corresponding results in classical topological vector space to L -topological vector space.

Acknowledgements. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11301281 and No. 11126242) and 1311 Talent Program of NJUPT.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Dubois and H. Prade, *Fuzzy sets and systems: theory and applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [2] J. X. Fang, *The continuity of fuzzy linear order-homomorphism*, J. Fuzzy Math., **5(4)** (1997), 829–838.
- [3] J. X. Fang and C. H. Yan, *L -fuzzy topological vector spaces*, J. Fuzzy Math., **5(1)** (1997), 133–144.
- [4] U. Höhle and S. E. Rodabaugh, eds., *Mathematics of fuzzy sets: logic, topology and measure theory, the handbooks of fuzzy sets series, vol. 3*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999.
- [5] A. K. Katsaras, *Fuzzy topological vector spaces I*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **6** (1981), 85–95.
- [6] Y. M. Liu and M. K. Luo, *Fuzzy topology*, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 1997.
- [7] G. J. Wang, *Theory of L -fuzzy topological spaces*, Shaanxi Normal University Press, Xi'an, (in Chinese), 1988.
- [8] X. L. Xu and J. X. Fang, *Product spaces and quotient spaces of L -fuzzy topological vector spaces*, In: Fuzzy Sets Theory and Applications, Hebei University Press, Baoding, (in Chinese), (1998), 20–22.
- [9] C. H. Yan and J. X. Fang, *Generalization of Kolmogoroff's theorem to L -topological vector spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **125** (2002), 177–183.
- [10] C. H. Yan and J. X. Fang, *Locally bounded L -topological vector spaces*, Information Sciences, **159** (2004), 273–281.
- [11] H. P. Zhang and J. X. Fang, *Generalized locally bounded L -topological vector spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **162** (2011), 53–63.

HUA-PENG ZHANG, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, NANJING UNIVERSITY OF POSTS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS, NANJING 210023, CHINA

E-mail address: huapengzhang@163.com