

CARTESIAN-CLOSEDNESS OF THE CATEGORY OF L -FUZZY Q-CONVERGENCE SPACES

J. LI

ABSTRACT. The definition of L -fuzzy Q-convergence spaces is presented by Pang and Fang in 2011. However, Cartesian-closedness of the category of L -fuzzy Q-convergence spaces is not investigated. This paper focuses on Cartesian-closedness of the category of L -fuzzy Q-convergence spaces, and it is shown that the category L -QFCS of L -fuzzy Q-convergence spaces is Cartesian-closed.

1. Introduction

In 1968, Chang [2] introduced fuzzy set theory to topology. Since then many researchers have successfully generalized the theory of general topology to the fuzzy setting in different directions. For example, Chang's I -topology is a crisp subset of I -powerset I^X . Differently Höhle [6] presented the notion of a fuzzy topology as an I -subset of a powerset 2^X , which is called fuzzifying topology in [19]. Then Kubiak [8] and Šostak [16] independently extended Höhle's notion to an L -subset of L^X respectively, and the resulting topology is called L -fuzzy topology.

The category **Top** of topological spaces and continuous maps is not Cartesian closed, and then there is no natural function space structure. The category of convergence spaces, which is not only Cartesian closed, but also can embed **Top** as a reflective subcategory, can overcome this deficiency [15, 4]. Cartesian closedness, as a desired structural property in the category of convergence spaces, is the main reason for its prominent role in topological spaces. Convergence theory of filters provides a good tool for interpreting topological structures.

With the development of fuzzy topology, many researchers have extended convergence structures to the lattice-valued setting [7, 12, 14, 13, 17, 18]. The resulting categories have the desired structural property of Cartesian-closedness and contain the categories of suitable fuzzy topological spaces as reflective subcategories, respectively.

In 2011, Pang and Fang [10] introduced L -fuzzy Q-convergence structures and proved that the category of topological L -fuzzy Q-convergence spaces is isomorphic to that of L -fuzzy topological spaces. However, Cartesian-closedness of the category of L -fuzzy Q-convergence spaces is not investigated. A natural question is whether

Received: November 2015; Revised: February 2016; Accepted: June 2016

Key words and phrases: L -fuzzy filter, L -fuzzy Q-convergence space, L -fuzzy topology, Cartesian-closedness.

the category of L -fuzzy Q-convergence spaces is Cartesian-closed. In this paper, we give a positive answer to this problem.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, L denotes a completely distributive lattice and \prime is an order-reversing involution on L . The smallest element and the largest element in L are denoted by 0 and 1 , respectively. For $a, b \in L$, we say that a is wedge below b in L , in symbols $a \prec b$, if for every subset $D \subseteq L$, $b \leq \bigvee D$ implies $a \leq d$ for some $d \in D$. A complete lattice L is completely distributive if and only if $b = \bigvee \{a \in L \mid a \prec b\}$ for each $b \in L$. An element a in L is called co-prime if $a \leq b \vee c$ implies $a \leq c$ or $a \leq b$. The set of nonzero co-prime elements in L is denoted by $J(L)$.

For a nonempty set X , L^X denotes the set of all L -subsets on X . The smallest element and the largest element in L^X are denoted by 0_X and 1_X , respectively. L^X is also a completely distributive De Morgan algebra when it inherits the structure of the lattice L in a natural way. For each $x \in X$ and $a \in L$, we define the L -subset x_a by $x_a(y) = a$ if $y = x$, and $x_a(y) = 0$ otherwise. The L -subset x_a is called a fuzzy point of L^X . It is easy to see that $J(L^X) = \{x_\lambda \mid \lambda \in J(L), x \in X\}$. We say a fuzzy point x_λ quasi-coincides with A , denoted by $x_\lambda \hat{q}A$ [9], if $\lambda \not\leq A'(x)$ or equivalently $x_\lambda \not\leq A'$. Otherwise, we say x_λ does not quasi-coincide with A , denoted by $x_\lambda \neg \hat{q}A$.

In this paper, we assume that 0 is prime.

Definition 2.1. [5, 7] A mapping $\mathcal{F} : L^X \rightarrow L$ is called an L -fuzzy filter on X if it satisfies:

- (LF1) $\mathcal{F}(0_X) = 0, \mathcal{F}(1_X) = 1$;
- (LF2) $\mathcal{F}(A \wedge B) = \mathcal{F}(A) \wedge \mathcal{F}(B)$.

The family of all L -fuzzy filters on X is denoted by $\mathcal{F}_L(X)$. On the set $\mathcal{F}_L(X)$, we define an order by $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G}$ if $\mathcal{F}(A) \leq \mathcal{G}(A)$ for all $A \in L^X$. Every nonempty family $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i \in I}$ of L -fuzzy filters has an infimum $\bigwedge_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i$, which can be calculated as $\forall A \in L^X$,

$$\left(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i\right)(A) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i(A).$$

Example 2.2. [10] For $x_\lambda \in J(L^X)$, we define $\hat{q}(x_\lambda) : L^X \rightarrow L$ as follows:

$$\forall A \in L^X, \hat{q}(x_\lambda)(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & x_\lambda \hat{q}A; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\hat{q}(x_\lambda)$ is an L -fuzzy filter on X , called a fuzzy point L -fuzzy filter on X .

Definition 2.3. [5, 7] Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$ and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Then $f^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{F}) : L^Y \rightarrow L, A \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f^{\leftarrow}(A))$ is an L -fuzzy filter on Y and is called the image of \mathcal{F} under f .

Lemma 2.4. [5, 7] (1) Suppose $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_L(X)$ and $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Then $f^\Rightarrow(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} f^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{F}_i)$.

(2) Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X), f : X \rightarrow Y, g : Y \rightarrow Z$. Then $(g \circ f)^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{F}) = g^\Rightarrow \circ f^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{F})$.

Lemma 2.5. [5, 7] *For a nonempty family $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i \in I}$ of L -fuzzy filters, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists an L -fuzzy filter \mathcal{F} such that $\mathcal{F} \geq \mathcal{F}_i$ for all $i \in I$.*
- (2) *$\mathcal{F}_{i_1}(A_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{F}_{i_n}(A_n) = 0$ if $A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n = 0$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$, $A_1, \dots, A_n \in L^X$, $i_1, \dots, i_n \in I$)*

In the case of existence, for a nonempty family $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i \in I}$, the supremum in $(\mathcal{F}_L(X), \leq)$ is given by

$$\bigvee_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i(A) = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \{\mathcal{F}_{i_1}(A_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{F}_{i_n}(A_n) \mid A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n \leq A\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(Y)$ and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Then $f^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}) : L^X \rightarrow L$ defined by $f^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F})(A) = \bigvee_{f^{\leftarrow}(B) \leq A} \mathcal{F}(B)$ is an L -fuzzy filter if and only if $\forall B \in L^Y$, $f^{\leftarrow}(B) = 0_X$ implies $\mathcal{F}(B) = 0$.

Lemma 2.6. [5, 7] *Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of nonempty sets, $p_j : \prod_{i \in I} X_i \rightarrow X_j$ the projection mapping and $\mathcal{F}_i \in \mathcal{F}_L(X_i) (\forall i \in I)$. Then $\bigvee_{i \in I} p_i^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_i) \in \mathcal{F}_L(\prod_{i \in I} X_i)$, which is called the product L -fuzzy filter and denoted by $\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i$.*

For two L -fuzzy filters \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} , we write $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$.

Lemma 2.7. [5, 7] *Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of nonempty sets, $p_j : \prod_{i \in I} X_i \rightarrow X_j$ the projection mapping, $\mathcal{F}_i \in \mathcal{F}_L(X_i) (\forall i \in I)$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(\prod_{i \in I} X_i)$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) $\prod_{i \in I} p_i^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mathcal{F}$;
- (2) $p_j^{\Rightarrow}(\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i) \geq \mathcal{F}_j, \forall j \in I$;
- (3) $p_j^{\Rightarrow}(\prod_{i \in I} p_i^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F})) = \mathcal{F}_j, \forall j \in I$.

Lemma 2.8. [5, 7] *Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X_1)$, $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X_2)$, $f : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ and $g : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ be mappings. Then $(f \times g)^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}) = f^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}) \times g^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{G})$.*

Definition 2.9. [1] A category \mathbf{C} is called a topological category over \mathbf{Set} provided that for any set X , any class J , any family $((X_j, \xi_j))_{j \in J}$ of \mathbf{C} -objects and any family $(f_j : X \rightarrow X_j)_{j \in J}$ of mappings, there exists a unique \mathbf{C} -structure ξ on X which is initial with respect to the source $(f_j : X \rightarrow (X_j, \xi_j))_{j \in J}$. This means that for a \mathbf{C} -object (Y, η) , a mapping $g : (Y, \eta) \rightarrow (X, \xi)$ is a \mathbf{C} -morphism if and only if for all $j \in J$, $f_j \circ g : (Y, \eta) \rightarrow (X_j, \xi_j)$ is a \mathbf{C} -morphism.

For more notions related to category theory, we refer to [1].

Definition 2.10. [10] An L -fuzzy Q-convergence structure on X is defined to be a mapping $c : \mathcal{F}_L(X) \rightarrow L^X$ such that $\forall x_\lambda \in J(L^X)$, $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$,

- (LFQC1) $x_\lambda \leq c(\hat{q}(x_\lambda))$;
- (LFQC2) $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow c(\mathcal{F}) \leq c(\mathcal{G})$.

The pair (X, c) is called an L -fuzzy Q-convergence space, and it will be called pretopological if it satisfies

- (LFQC3) $x_\lambda \leq c(\mathcal{Q}_{x_\lambda}^c)$, where $\mathcal{Q}_{x_\lambda}^c = \bigwedge_{x_\lambda \leq c(\mathcal{F})} \mathcal{F}$.

The pair (X, c) is called a topological L -fuzzy Q-convergence space if it satisfies moreover,

$$(LFQC4) \mathcal{Q}_{x_\lambda}^c(A) = \bigvee_{x_\lambda \hat{q} B \leq A} \bigwedge_{y_\mu \hat{q} B} \mathcal{Q}_{y_\mu}^c.$$

A continuous mapping between two L -fuzzy Q-convergence spaces (X, c) and (Y, d) is a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that for all $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$, $c(\mathcal{F}) \leq f^{\leftarrow}(d(f^{\rightarrow}(\mathcal{F})))$.

The category of L -fuzzy Q-convergence spaces and continuous mappings is denoted by $L\text{-QFCS}$. $L\text{-QFTCS}$ denotes the full subcategory of $L\text{-QFCS}$ consisting of topological L -fuzzy Q-convergence spaces.

Let (X, c) be an L -fuzzy Q-convergence space. Then for each $x_\lambda \in J(L^X)$, $\mathcal{Q}_{x_\lambda}^c = \bigwedge_{x_\lambda \leq c(\mathcal{F})} \mathcal{F}$ is an L -fuzzy filter on X satisfying $\mathcal{Q}_{x_\lambda}^c \leq \hat{q}(x_\lambda)$, and $\mathcal{Q}_{x_\lambda}^c(A) = 0$ whenever $x_\lambda \neg \hat{q} A$.

Theorem 2.11. [11] $L\text{-QFCS}$ is topological over **Set**.

Definition 2.12. [11] Let $\{(X_i, c_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of L -fuzzy Q-convergence spaces and $\{p_j : \prod_{i \in I} X_i \rightarrow (X_j, c_j)\}_{j \in I}$ be the source formed by the family of the projection mappings $\{p_j : \prod_{i \in I} X_i \rightarrow X_j\}_{j \in I}$. The L -fuzzy Q-convergence structure on $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$, denoted by $\prod_{i \in I} c_i$, which is initial with respect to $\{p_j : X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i \rightarrow (X_j, c_j)\}_{j \in I}$, is called the product L -fuzzy Q-convergence structure and the pair $(X, \prod_{i \in I} c_i)$ is called the product space briefly. Thus for each $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$ and $x \in X$, we have

$$\left(\prod_{i \in I} c_i\right)(\mathcal{F})(x) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} c_i(p_i^{\rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}))(p_i(x)).$$

For the product space of L -fuzzy Q-convergence spaces (X, c_X) and (Y, c_Y) , we write $(X \times Y, c_X \times c_Y)$ for $(X, c_X) \times (Y, c_Y)$.

Example 2.13. Let X be a nonempty set.

We define $c^*(\mathcal{F}) = 1_X$ for all $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$. Then c^* is an L -fuzzy Q-convergence structure on X , called the indiscrete convergence structure on X .

We define $c_*(\mathcal{F}) = 1_X$ if $\mathcal{F} \geq \hat{q}(x_\lambda)$, and $c_*(\mathcal{F}) = 0_X$ otherwise. Then it is an L -fuzzy Q-convergence structure on X .

3. $L\text{-QFCS}$ is a Cartesian Closed Category

Recall a category \mathbf{C} is called Cartesian-closed [1] provided that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) For each pair (X, Y) of \mathbf{C} -objects there exists a product $X \times Y$ in \mathbf{C} ,
- (2) For each pair of \mathbf{C} -objects X and Y , there exists a \mathbf{C} -object Y^X (called power object) and a \mathbf{C} -morphism $ev_{X, Y} : Y^X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ (called evaluation morphism) such that for each \mathbf{C} -object Z and each \mathbf{C} -morphism $f : Z \times X \rightarrow Y$, there exists a unique \mathbf{C} -morphism $g : Z \rightarrow Y^X$ such that $ev_{X, Y} \circ (g \times id_X) = f$.

In the sequel, we will show that $L\text{-QFCS}$ is Cartesian-closed.

Definition 3.1. [15] Let \mathbf{C} be a category. A family $\{f_i : B_i \rightarrow B\}_{i \in I}$ of \mathbf{C} -morphisms is called an epi-sink in \mathbf{C} provided that for any pair $\alpha, \beta : B \rightarrow D$ of \mathbf{C} -morphisms such that $\alpha \circ f_i = \beta \circ f_i$ for each $i \in I$, it follows that $\alpha = \beta$.

Lemma 3.2. [15] *Let \mathbf{C} be a topological category. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathbf{C} is Cartesian closed.
- (2) For each \mathbf{C} -object A holds: for any final epi-sink $\{f_i : B_i \rightarrow B\}_{i \in I}$ in \mathbf{C} , $\{id_A \times f_i : A \times B_i \rightarrow A \times B\}_{i \in I}$ is a final epi-sink in \mathbf{C} .

Lemma 3.3. *Let (X, c_X) be an L -fuzzy Q -convergence space and $\{(X_i, c_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of L -fuzzy Q -convergence spaces. Then $\{f_i : (X_i, c_i) \rightarrow (X, c_X)\}_{i \in I}$ is an epi-sink if and only if $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} f_i[X_i]$ ($f_i[X_i] = \{f_i(x_i) \mid x_i \in X_i\}$).*

Proof. Sufficiency is easily checked.

Necessity. The cardinal number of X is denoted by $|X|$.

If $|X| < 2$, then the assertion is trivial.

If $|X| \geq 2$ and $X \neq \bigcup_{i \in I} f_i[X_i]$, then there exists $z_1 \in X \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} f_i[X_i]$. Take $z_2 \in \bigcup_{i \in I} f_i[X_i]$, and let $Z = \{z_1, z_2\}$. Then endow Z with the indiscrete L -fuzzy Q -convergence structure c_Z . Define $\alpha : (X, c_X) \rightarrow (Z, c_Z)$ by $\alpha(x) = z_2$ for all $x \in X$ and $\beta : (X, c_X) \rightarrow (Z, c_Z)$ by $\beta(x) = z_2$, for $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} f_i[X_i]$, $\beta(x) = z_1$, otherwise. Obviously, α, β are continuous. It is easy to check that $\alpha \circ f_i = \beta \circ f_i$ for all $i \in I$. However, $\alpha \neq \beta$, which contradicts the fact that $\{f_i : (X_i, c_i) \rightarrow (X, c_X)\}_{i \in I}$ is an epi-sink. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\{(X_i, c_i)\}$ be a family of L -fuzzy Q -convergence spaces and X be a nonempty set. If $\{f_i : (X_i, c_i) \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$ is a sink, then $c_X : \mathcal{F}_L(X) \rightarrow L^X$ defined by*

$$c_X(\mathcal{F})(x) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{f_i(x_i)=x} \bigvee_{f_i^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \mathcal{F}} c_i(\mathcal{F}_i)(x_i), & \forall \lambda \in J(L), \mathcal{F} \not\geq \hat{q}(x_\lambda); \\ \bigvee_{\mathcal{F} \geq \hat{q}(x_\lambda)} \lambda, & \exists \lambda \in J(L), \mathcal{F} \geq \hat{q}(x_\lambda). \end{cases}$$

is the unique final structure with respect to the sink $\{f_i : (X_i, c_i) \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$.

Further, if $\{f_i : (X_i, c_i) \rightarrow (X, c_X)\}_{i \in I}$ is a final epi-sink, then it holds that for each $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$ and $x \in X$,

$$c_X(\mathcal{F})(x) \leq \bigvee_{f_i(x_i)=x} \bigvee_{f_i^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \mathcal{F}} c_i(\mathcal{F}_i)(x_i),$$

where $x_i \in X_i$.

Proof. First, it is easy to check that c_X is an L -fuzzy Q -convergence structure on X .

Second, let (Y, c_Y) be an L -fuzzy Q -convergence space and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying that $f \circ f_i : (X_i, c_i) \rightarrow (Y, c_Y)$ is continuous for all $i \in I$. We need only to show the continuity of f .

Take $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$ and $x \in X$. If $\mathcal{F} \geq \hat{q}(x_\lambda)$, then $f^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{F}) \geq f^\Rightarrow(\hat{q}(x_\lambda)) = \hat{q}(f(x)_\lambda)$. It follows that $c_Y(f^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{F}))(f(x)) \geq \bigvee_{\mathcal{F} \geq \hat{q}(x_\lambda)} c_Y(\hat{q}(f(x)_\lambda))(f(x)) \geq \bigvee_{\mathcal{F} \geq \hat{q}(x_\lambda)} \lambda = c_X(\mathcal{F})(x)$. If $\forall \lambda \in J(L), \mathcal{F} \not\geq \hat{q}(x_\lambda)$, then

$$c_X(\mathcal{F})(x) = \bigvee_{f_i(x_i)=x} \bigvee_{f_i^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \mathcal{F}} c_i(\mathcal{F}_i)(x_i)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \bigvee_{f_i(x_i)=x} \bigvee_{f_i^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \mathcal{F}} c_Y(f \circ f_i)^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_i)(f \circ f_i(x_i)) \\
&\leq c_Y(f^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}))(f(x)).
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, f is continuous if and only if $f \circ f_i$ is continuous for all $i \in I$. Hence, we obtain that c_X is the final structure on X with respect to the sink $\{f_i : (X_i, c_i) \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$.

Finally, if $\{f_i : (X_i, c_i) \rightarrow (X, c_X)\}_{i \in I}$ is a final epi-sink, then by Lemma 3.3, $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} f_i[X_i]$. Thus for each $x \in X$ with $\mathcal{F} \geq \hat{q}(x_\lambda)$, there exist $i_0 \in I$ and $x_{i_0} \in X_{i_0}$ such that $x = f_{i_0}(x_{i_0})$ and $f_{i_0}^{\Rightarrow}(\hat{q}(x_{i_0})_\lambda) = \hat{q}(f_{i_0}(x_{i_0})_\lambda) = \hat{q}(x_\lambda) \leq \mathcal{F}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bigvee_{f_i(x_i)=x} \bigvee_{f_i^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \mathcal{F}} c_i(\mathcal{F}_i)(x_i) \\
&\geq \bigvee_{f_{i_0}^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{i_0}) \leq \mathcal{F}} c_{i_0}(\mathcal{F}_{i_0})(x_{i_0}) \\
&\geq \bigvee_{\mathcal{F} \geq \hat{q}(x_\lambda)} c_{i_0}(\hat{q}(x_{i_0})_\lambda)(x_{i_0}) \\
&\geq \bigvee_{\mathcal{F} \geq \hat{q}(x_\lambda)} \lambda = c_X(\mathcal{F})(x).
\end{aligned}$$

So we obtain that

$$c_X(\mathcal{F})(x) \leq \bigvee_{f_i(x_i)=x} \bigvee_{f_i^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq \mathcal{F}} c_i(\mathcal{F}_i)(x_i)$$

for all $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$ and $x \in X$ whenever $\{f_i : (X_i, c_i) \rightarrow (X, c_X)\}_{i \in I}$ is a final epi-sink. \square

Theorem 3.5. *The category of L -fuzzy Q -convergence spaces is Cartesian closed.*

Proof. Suppose that $\{f_i : (X_i, c_i) \rightarrow (X, c_X)\}_{i \in I}$ is a final epi-sink in L -**QFCS**. For each L -fuzzy Q -convergence space (Y, c_Y) , since $\{f_i : (X_i, c_i) \rightarrow (X, c_X)\}_{i \in I}$ is a final epi-sink, by Lemma 3.3, we know $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} f_i[X_i]$. Thus it is easy to check that $Y \times X = \bigcup_{i \in I} id_Y \times f_i[Y \times X_i]$. By Lemma 3.3 again, we know the family

$\{id_Y \times f_i : (Y \times X_i, c_Y \times c_i) \rightarrow (Y \times X, c_Y \times c_X)\}_{i \in I}$ is a final epi-sink in L -**QFCS**.

Next, we show $c_Y \times c_X$ is the final structure with respect to the sink $\{id_Y \times f_i : (Y \times X_i, c_Y \times c_i) \rightarrow (Y \times X, c_Y \times c_X)\}_{i \in I}$.

For each L -fuzzy Q -convergence space (Z, c_Z) and each mapping $f : Y \times X \rightarrow Z$. We need to show that the continuity of $f \circ (id_Y \times f_i)$ for all $i \in I$ implies the continuity of f . Take any $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{F}_L(Y \times X)$ and $(y, x) \in Y \times X$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(c_Y \times c_X)(\mathcal{H})(y, x) \\
&= c_Y(P_Y^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{H}))(y) \wedge c_X(P_X^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{H}))(x) \\
&\leq \bigvee_{f_i(x_i)=x} \bigvee_{f_i^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq P_X^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{H})} c_Y(P_Y^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{H}))(y) \wedge c_i(\mathcal{F}_i)(x_i)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \bigvee_{f_i(x_i)=x} \bigvee_{f_i^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq P_X^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{H})} (c_Y \times c_i)(P_Y^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{F}_i)(y, x_i) \\
&\leq \bigvee_{f_i(x_i)=x} \bigvee_{f_i^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq P_X^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{H})} c_Z(f \circ (id_Y \times f_i))^\Rightarrow(P_Y^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{F}_i)(f(y, f_i(x_i))) \\
&= \bigvee_{f_i^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq P_X^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{H})} c_Z(f^\Rightarrow(P_Y^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{H}) \times f_i^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{F}_i))(f(y, x)) \\
&\leq c_Z(f^\Rightarrow(P_Y^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{H}) \times P_X^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{H}))(f(y, x)) \\
&\leq c_Z(f^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{H}))(f(y, x)).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows the continuity of f . Then it follows that the family $\{id_Y \times f_i : (Y \times X_i, c_Y \times c_i) \rightarrow (Y \times X, c_Y \times c_X)\}_{i \in I}$ is a final epi-sink in $L\text{-QFCS}$. By Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 2.11, we know that the category $L\text{-QFCS}$ is Cartesian closed. \square

Remark 3.6. Cartesian-closedness of $L\text{-FQCS}$ implies the existence of the structures of function spaces. We wish to find their concrete forms in our future work.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the editors and the referees for their careful reading and valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Adámek, H. Herrlich and G. E. Strecker, *Abstract and concrete category*, Wiley, New York, 1990.
- [2] C. L. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **24** (1968), 182–190.
- [3] J. M. Fang, *Categories isomorphic to $L\text{-FTOP}$* , Fuzzy Sets Syst., **157** (2006), 820–831.
- [4] H. R. Fischer, *Limeräume*, Math. Ann., **137** (1959), 269–303.
- [5] U. Höhle and A. P. Šostak, *Axiomatic foundations of fixed-basis fuzzy topology*, in: U. Höhle, S.E. Rodabaugh (Eds.), *Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets: Logic, Topology, and Measure Theory*, in: *Handbook Series, vol.3*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, (1999), 123–173.
- [6] U. Höhle, *Probabilistic metrization of fuzzy uniformities*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., **8** (1982), 63–69.
- [7] G. Jäger, *A category of L -fuzzy convergence spaces*, Quest. Math., **24** (2001), 501–517.
- [8] T. Kubiak, *On fuzzy topologies*, Ph.D. Thesis, Adam Mickiewicz, Poznan, Poland, 1985.
- [9] Y. M. Liu and M. K. Luo, *Fuzzy topology*, World Scientific Publication, Singapore, 1998.
- [10] B. Pang and J. M. Fang, *L -fuzzy Q -convergence structures*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., **182** (2011), 53–65.
- [11] B. Pang, *Further study on L -fuzzy Q -convergence structures*, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, **10(5)** (2013), 147–164.
- [12] B. Pang, *On (L, M) -fuzzy convergence spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., **238** (2014), 46–70.
- [13] B. Pang, *Enriched (L, M) -fuzzy convergence spaces*, J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst., **27** (2014), 93–103.
- [14] B. Pang and F. G. Shi, *Degree of compactness of (L, M) -fuzzy convergence spaces and its applications*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., **251** (2014), 1–22.
- [15] G. Preuss, *Foundations of Topology—An Approach to Convenient Topology*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 2002.
- [16] A. P. Šostak, *On a fuzzy topological structure*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (Suppl. Ser. II), **11** (1985), 89–103.
- [17] W. Yao, *On L -fuzzifying convergence spaces*, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, **6(1)** (2009), 63–80.
- [18] W. Yao, *On many-valued stratified L -fuzzy convergence spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., **159** (2008), 2503–2519.
- [19] M. S. Ying, *A new approach to fuzzy topology (I)*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., **39** (1991), 303–321.

JUAN LI, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BEIJING 100081,
PR CHINA
E-mail address: lijuan201209@sohu.com