

## SOME RESULTS OF INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY WEAK DUAL HYPER K-IDEALS

L. TORKZADEH, M. ABBASI AND M. M. ZAHEDI

ABSTRACT. In this note we consider the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideals and obtain related results. Then we classify this notion according to level sets. After that we determine the relationships between intuitionistic fuzzy (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideals and intuitionistic fuzzy (weak) hyper  $K$ -ideals. Finally, we define the notion of the product of two intuitionistic fuzzy (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideals and prove several Decomposition Theorems.

### 1. Introduction

Hyperalgebraic structure theory was introduced by F. Marty [7] in 1934. Imai and Iseki [5] introduced the notion of a BCK-algebra in 1966. Borzooei, Jun, Hasankhani and Zahedi et.al. [3] applied hyperstructures to BCK-algebras and introduced the concept of hyper  $K$ -algebras which are a generalization of BCK-algebras. The idea of “intuitionistic fuzzy set” was first introduced by Atanassov [1] as a generalization of fuzzy sets. In this note, we consider intuitionistic fuzzification of the notion of (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideals obtain related results.

### 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** [3] Let  $H$  be a nonempty set and “ $\circ$ ” be a *hyperoperation* on  $H$ , i.e. “ $\circ$ ” is a function from  $H \times H$  to  $P^*(H) = P(H) - \{\emptyset\}$ . Then  $(H, \circ, 0)$  is called a *hyper  $K$ -algebra* if it contains a constant “0” and satisfies the following axioms:

$$(HK1) \quad (x \circ z) \circ (y \circ z) < x \circ y,$$

$$(HK2) \quad (x \circ y) \circ z = (x \circ z) \circ y,$$

$$(HK3) \quad x < x,$$

$$(HK4) \quad x < y, y < x \Rightarrow x = y,$$

$$(HK5) \quad 0 < x.$$

for all  $x, y, z \in H$ , where the relation  $x < y$  is defined by  $0 \in x \circ y$ . For every  $A, B \subseteq H$ ,  $A < B$  is defined by  $\exists a \in A, \exists b \in B$  such that  $a < b$ .

Note that if  $A, B \subseteq H$ , then by  $A \circ B$  we mean the subset  $\bigcup_{\substack{a \in A \\ b \in B}} a \circ b$  of  $H$ .

---

Received: April 2006; Revised: October 2006; Accepted: December 2006

*Key words and phrases:* Dual hyper  $K$ -ideal, Intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal.

**Theorem 2.2.** [3] Let  $(H, \circ, 0)$  be a hyper  $K$ -algebra. Then for all  $x, y, z \in H$  and for all non-empty subsets  $A, B$  and  $C$  of  $H$  the following statements hold:

- (i)  $x \circ y < z \Leftrightarrow x \circ z < y$ ,
- (ii)  $x \circ (x \circ y) < y$ ,
- (iii)  $x \circ y < x$ ,
- (iv)  $x \in x \circ 0$ ,
- (v)  $A \circ B < A$

**Definition 2.3.** [3] Let  $(H, \circ, 0)$  be a hyper  $K$ -algebra. If there exists an element  $1 \in H$  such that  $1 < x$  for all  $x \in H$ , then  $H$  is called a *bounded hyper  $K$ -algebra* and  $1$  is said to be the unit of  $H$ . In a bounded hyper  $K$ -algebra, we denote  $1 \circ x$  by  $Nx$ .

**Definition 2.4.** [10] Let  $D$  be a nonempty subset of a hyper  $K$ -algebra  $(H, \circ, 0)$  and  $1 \in D$ . Then,

- (i)  $D$  is called a *weak dual hyper  $K$ -ideal* of  $H$ , if  $N(Nx \circ Ny) \subseteq D$  and  $y \in D$  imply that  $x \in D$ .
- (ii)  $D$  is called a *dual hyper  $K$ -ideal* of  $H$ , if  $N(Nx \circ Ny) \cap D \neq \emptyset$  and  $y \in D$  imply that  $x \in D$ .

**Definition 2.5.** [3] Let  $(H, \circ, 0)$  be a hyper  $K$ -algebra. An element  $a \in H$  is called a *left (resp. right) scalar* if  $|a \circ x| = 1$  (resp.  $|x \circ a| = 1$ ) for all  $x \in H$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** [11] Let  $(H, \circ, 0)$  be a bounded hyper  $K$ -algebra and  $NNx = x$ , for all  $x \in H$ . Then:

- (i)  $1$  is a left scalar,
- (ii)  $0$  is a right scalar,
- (iii) If  $x < y$ , then  $Ny < Nx$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** [10] Let  $(H, \circ, 0)$  be a bounded hyper  $K$ -algebra and let  $NNx = x$ , for all  $x$  in  $H$  and  $\emptyset \neq D \subseteq H$ . Then  $D$  is a (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideal if and only if  $ND$  is a (weak) hyper  $K$ -ideal.

**Definition 2.8.** [3] Let  $(H_1, \circ_1, 0_1)$  and  $(H_2, \circ_2, 0_2)$  be two hyper  $K$ -algebras. Then a function  $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  is called a *homomorphism* if  $\forall x, y \in H_1$ ,  $f(x \circ_1 y) = f(x) \circ_2 f(y)$  and  $f(0_1) = 0_2$ .

**Definition 2.9.** [13] Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set of a nonempty set  $H$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then the set

$$U(\mu; t) = \{x \in H \mid \mu(x) \geq t\}$$

(resp.  $L(\mu; t) = \{x \in H \mid \mu(x) \leq t\}$ )

is called an *upper (resp. lower) level set* of  $\mu$ .

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be fuzzy sets of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Then the fuzzy sets  $\mu \times \nu$  and  $\mu \otimes \nu$  of  $X \times Y$ , which are called *the product* and *anti-product* of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , respectively, are defined by

$$(\mu \times \nu)(x, y) = \min\{\mu(x), \nu(y)\}$$

$$(\mu \otimes \nu)(x, y) = \max\{\mu(x), \nu(y)\}$$

**Definition 2.11.** [1] An intuitionistic fuzzy set (briefly, IFS)  $A$  on a nonempty set  $X$  is an object having the form

$$A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) | x \in X\}$$

where the function  $\mu_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and  $\gamma_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  denote the degree of membership and the degree of nonmembership, respectively, and

$$0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \gamma_A(x) \leq 1$$

for all  $x \in X$ . An intuitionistic fuzzy set  $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) | x \in X\}$  on  $X$  can be identified with an ordered pair  $(\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  in  $I^X \times I^X$ . For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  for the IFS  $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) | x \in X\}$ .

**Definition 2.12.** [12] Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. An intuitionistic fuzzy set  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  of  $X$  is said to be *f-invariant*, if  $f(x) = f(y)$  implies that  $\mu_A(x) = \mu_A(y)$  and  $\gamma_A(x) = \gamma_A(y)$  for all  $x, y \in H$ .

**Definition 2.13.** [12] Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function and  $A$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy set of  $X$ . Then the intuitionistic fuzzy set  $f(A) = (f(\mu_A), f(\gamma_A))$  of  $Y$  is defined by:

$$f(\mu_A)(y) = \begin{cases} \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \mu_A(x) & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$f(\gamma_A)(y) = \begin{cases} \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \gamma_A(x) & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function and  $B$  be a fuzzy set of  $Y$ . Then the fuzzy set  $f^{-1}(B)$  of  $X$  is defined by:

$$f^{-1}(B)(x) = B(f(x)).$$

**Definition 2.15.** An IFS  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  of  $H$  is said to satisfy the *sup-inf property* if for any subset  $T$  of  $H$  there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in T$  such that  $\mu_A(x_0) = \sup_{x \in T} \mu_A(x)$  and  $\gamma_A(y_0) = \inf_{y \in T} \gamma_A(y)$ .

**Definition 2.16.** [12] Let  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy set of  $(H, \circ, 0)$ . Then,

(i)  $A$  is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy weak hyper K-ideal* of  $H$  if

$$\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x) \geq \min(\inf_{a \in x \circ y} \mu_A(a), \mu_A(y))$$

and

$$\gamma_A(0) \leq \gamma_A(x) \leq \max(\sup_{b \in x \circ y} \gamma_A(b), \gamma_A(y))$$

for all  $x, y \in H$ .

(ii)  $A$  is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy hyper K-ideal* of  $H$  if

$$\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x) \geq \min(\sup_{a \in x \circ y} \mu_A(a), \mu_A(y))$$

and

$$\gamma_A(0) \leq \gamma_A(x) \leq \max\left(\inf_{b \in x \circ y} \gamma_A(b), \gamma_A(y)\right)$$

for all  $x, y \in H$ .

**Theorem 2.17.** [12] *Let  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  be an IFS of  $(H, \circ, 0)$  which satisfy the sup-inf property. Then  $A$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy hyper  $K$ -ideal if and only if for all  $s, t \in [0, 1]$  the nonempty level sets  $U(\mu_A; t)$  and  $L(\gamma_A; s)$  are hyper  $K$ -ideals of  $H$ .*

**Theorem 2.18.** [12] *Let  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  be an IFS of  $(H, \circ, 0)$ . Then  $A$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy weak hyper  $K$ -ideal if and only if for all  $s, t \in [0, 1]$  the nonempty level sets  $U(\mu_A; t)$  and  $L(\gamma_A; s)$  are weak hyper  $K$ -ideals of  $H$ .*

**Definition 2.19.** [12] Let  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy set of  $(H, \circ, 0)$ . Then,

- (i)  $A$  satisfies the *additive condition*, whenever for all  $x, y \in H$ ,  $x < y$  implies that  $\mu_A(x) \geq \mu_A(y)$  and  $\gamma_A(x) \leq \gamma_A(y)$ .
- (ii)  $A$  satisfies the *anti-additive condition* if  $x < y$  implies that  $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_A(y)$  and  $\gamma_A(x) \geq \gamma_A(y)$ .

### 3. Intuitionistic Fuzzy (Weak) Dual Hyper $K$ -ideals

In what follows let  $H$  denote a bounded hyper  $K$ -algebra.

**Definition 3.1.** An IFS  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  of  $H$  is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy weak dual hyper  $K$ -ideal* of  $H$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$\mu_A(1) \geq \mu_A(x) \geq \min\left(\inf_{a \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \mu_A(a), \mu_A(y)\right)$$

and

$$\gamma_A(1) \leq \gamma_A(x) \leq \max\left(\sup_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \gamma_A(b), \gamma_A(y)\right)$$

for all  $x, y \in H$ .

**Example 3.2.** Let  $H = \{0, 1, 2\}$  be a hyper  $K$ -algebra with the following table.

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	{0}	{0, 1, 2}	{0, 1, 2}
1	{1}	{0}	{2}
2	{2}	{0, 1, 2}	{0, 1, 2}

Define the IFS  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  on  $H$  as follows:

$$\mu_A(2) = 0.2, \mu_A(0) = \mu_A(1) = 0.5, \gamma_A(2) = 0.6, \gamma_A(0) = \gamma_A(1) = 0.3$$

Then  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy weak dual hyper  $K$ -ideal.

**Definition 3.3.** An IFS  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  of  $H$  is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal* of  $H$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$\mu_A(1) \geq \mu_A(x) \geq \min\left(\sup_{a \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \mu_A(a), \mu_A(y)\right)$$

and

$$\gamma_A(1) \leq \gamma_A(x) \leq \max\left(\inf_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \gamma_A(b), \gamma_A(y)\right)$$

for all  $x, y \in H$ .

**Example 3.4.** The following table shows a hyper  $K$ -algebra structure on  $H = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ .

$\circ$	0	1	2	3
0	{0}	{0}	{0}	{0}
1	{1}	{0}	{3}	{1, 2}
2	{2}	{0}	{0}	{2}
3	{3}	{0}	{1, 2, 3}	{0, 3}

Define the  $IFS$   $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  on  $H$  as follows:

$$\mu_A(0) = \mu_A(3) = 0.2, \mu_A(1) = \mu_A(2) = 0.5, \gamma_A(0) = \gamma_A(3) = 0.6, \gamma_A(1) = \gamma_A(2) = 0.4$$

Then  $A$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Every intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal is an intuitionistic fuzzy weak dual hyper  $K$ -ideal.*

*Proof.* Let  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal. Then for all  $x, y \in H$

$$\mu_A(1) \geq \mu_A(x) \geq \min\left(\sup_{a \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \mu_A(a), \mu_A(y)\right)$$

and

$$\gamma_A(1) \leq \gamma_A(x) \leq \max\left(\inf_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \gamma_A(b), \gamma_A(y)\right).$$

Since  $\sup_{a \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \mu_A(a) \geq \inf_{a \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \mu_A(a)$  and  $\inf_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \gamma_A(b) \leq \sup_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \gamma_A(b)$ ,

hence

$$\mu_A(1) \geq \mu_A(x) \geq \min\left(\inf_{a \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \mu_A(a), \mu_A(y)\right)$$

and

$$\gamma_A(1) \leq \gamma_A(x) \leq \max\left(\sup_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \gamma_A(b), \gamma_A(y)\right)$$

for all  $x, y \in H$ . It follows that  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy weak dual hyper  $K$ -ideal.  $\square$

Example 3.2 shows that the converse of the above theorem is not true in general.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  be an  $IFS$  of  $H$  which satisfies the sup-inf property. Then  $A$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal if and only if for all  $s, t \in [0, 1]$  the nonempty level sets  $U(\mu_A; t)$  and  $L(\gamma_A; s)$  are dual hyper  $K$ -ideals of  $H$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal and  $U(\mu_A; t) \neq \emptyset \neq L(\gamma_A; s)$ . By Definition 3.3, it is clear that  $1 \in U(\mu_A; t) \cap L(\gamma_A; s)$ . Let  $N(Nx \circ Ny) \cap U(\mu_A; t) \neq \emptyset$  and  $y \in U(\mu_A; t)$ . Then  $\mu_A(y) \geq t$  and there exists  $r \in N(Nx \circ Ny)$  such that  $\mu_A(r) \geq t$ . Thus

$$\mu_A(x) \geq \min\left(\sup_{a \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \mu_A(a), \mu_A(y)\right) \geq \min(\mu_A(r), \mu_A(y)) \geq t$$

and so  $x \in U(\mu_A; t)$ . Now let  $N(Nx \circ Ny) \cap L(\gamma_A; s) \neq \emptyset$  and  $y \in L(\gamma_A; s)$ . Then by a similar argument we can get that  $x \in L(\gamma_A; s)$ .

Conversely, let for all  $s, t \in [0, 1]$  the nonempty level sets  $U(\mu_A; t)$  and  $L(\gamma_A; s)$  be dual hyper  $K$ -ideals. Let  $x, y \in H$ ,  $\mu_A(x) = t$  and  $\gamma_A(y) = s$ . Since  $x \in U(\mu_A; t)$  and  $y \in L(\gamma_A; s)$ , hence by hypothesis we get that  $1 \in U(\mu_A; t) \cap L(\gamma_A; s)$ . It follows that  $\mu_A(1) \geq \mu_A(x)$  and  $\gamma_A(1) \leq \gamma_A(y)$ . Now let  $k = \min\left(\sup_{a \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \mu_A(a), \mu_A(y)\right)$  and  $h = \max\left(\inf_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \gamma_A(b), \gamma_A(y)\right)$ . Since  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  satisfies the sup-inf property, there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in N(Nx \circ Ny)$  such that

$$\mu_A(x_0) = \sup_{a \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \mu_A(a) \geq \min\left(\sup_{a \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \mu_A(a), \mu_A(y)\right) = k$$

and

$$\gamma_A(y_0) = \inf_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \gamma_A(b) \leq \max\left(\inf_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \gamma_A(b), \gamma_A(y)\right) = h$$

, we have  $x_0 \in U(\mu_A; k)$  and  $y_0 \in L(\gamma_A; h)$ . Since  $x_0 \in U(\mu_A; k) \cap N(Nx \circ Ny)$  and  $y_0 \in L(\gamma_A; h) \cap N(Nx \circ Ny)$ , then  $N(Nx \circ Ny) \cap U(\mu_A; k) \neq \emptyset$  and  $N(Nx \circ Ny) \cap L(\gamma_A; h) \neq \emptyset$ . Also  $\mu_A(y) \geq k$  and  $\gamma_A(y) \leq h$  imply that  $y \in U(\mu_A; k) \cap L(\gamma_A; h)$ . Thus, by hypothesis, we get that  $x \in U(\mu_A; k) \cap L(\gamma_A; h)$ . So for all  $x, y \in H$

$$\mu_A(x) \geq k = \min\left(\sup_{a \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \mu_A(a), \mu_A(y)\right)$$

and

$$\gamma_A(x) \leq h = \max\left(\inf_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \gamma(b), \gamma(y)\right)$$

for all  $x, y \in H$ . Therefore  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  be an IFS of  $H$ . Then  $A$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy weak dual hyper  $K$ -ideal if and only if for all  $s, t \in [0, 1]$  the nonempty level sets  $U(\mu_A; t)$  and  $L(\gamma_A; s)$  are weak dual hyper  $K$ -ideals of  $H$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.6.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $NNx = x$  for all  $x \in H$  and  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal. Then  $A$  satisfies the anti-additive condition.*

*Proof.* Let  $x < y$ . Then  $Ny < Nx$ , by Theorem 2.6 and so  $0 \in Ny \circ Nx$ . Thus  $\sup_{a \in N(Ny \circ Nx)} \mu_A(a) \geq \mu_A(1)$  and  $\inf_{b \in N(Ny \circ Nx)} \gamma_A(b) \leq \gamma_A(1)$ . Hence, by hypothesis, we get that

$$\mu_A(y) \geq \min\left(\sup_{a \in N(Ny \circ Nx)} \mu_A(a), \mu_A(x)\right) \geq \min(\mu_A(1), \mu_A(x)) = \mu_A(x)$$

and

$$\gamma_A(y) \leq \max\left(\inf_{b \in N(Ny \circ Nx)} \gamma_A(b), \gamma_A(x)\right) \leq \max(\gamma_A(1), \gamma_A(x)) = \gamma_A(x). \quad \square$$

The following example shows that the above theorem is not true for intuitionistic fuzzy weak dual hyper  $K$ -ideals.

**Example 3.9.** In Example 3.2,  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy weak dual hyper  $K$ -ideal,  $NNx = x$  for all  $x \in H$  and  $0 < 2$  while  $0.5 = \mu_A(0) \not\leq \mu_A(2) = 0.2$  and  $0.3 = \gamma_A(0) \not\geq \gamma_A(2) = 0.6$ .

**Theorem 3.10.** *If  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideal, then the set*

$$H_A = \{x \in H \mid \mu_A(x) = \mu_A(1) \text{ , } \gamma_A(x) = \gamma_A(1)\}$$

*is a (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideal.*

*Proof.* Obviously  $1 \in H_A$ . Let  $N(Nx \circ Ny) \cap H_A \neq \emptyset$  and  $y \in H_A$ . Then  $\mu_A(y) = \mu_A(1)$ ,  $\gamma_A(y) = \gamma_A(1)$  and there exists  $s \in N(Nx \circ Ny)$  such that  $\mu_A(s) = \mu_A(1)$  and  $\gamma_A(s) = \gamma_A(1)$ . So, by hypothesis, we have

$$\mu_A(1) \geq \mu_A(x) \geq \min\left(\sup_{a \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \mu_A(a), \mu_A(y)\right) \geq \min(\mu_A(s), \mu_A(y)) = \mu_A(1)$$

and

$$\gamma_A(1) \leq \gamma_A(x) \leq \max\left(\inf_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \gamma_A(b), \gamma_A(y)\right) \leq \max(\gamma_A(s), \gamma_A(y)) = \gamma_A(1).$$

Therefore  $\mu_A(x) = \mu_A(1)$  and  $\gamma_A(x) = \gamma_A(1)$  i.e.,  $x \in H_A$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $1 \in 1 \circ x$  for all  $x \in H$  and  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal. Then  $H_A = H$ .*

*Proof.* By hypothesis we get that

$$\mu_A(1) \geq \mu_A(x) \geq \min\left(\sup_{a \in N(Nx \circ N1)} \mu_A(a), \mu_A(1)\right) \geq \min(\mu_A(1), \mu_A(1)) = \mu_A(1)$$

and

$$\gamma_A(1) \leq \gamma_A(x) \leq \max\left(\inf_{b \in N(Nx \circ N1)} \gamma_A(b), \gamma_A(1)\right) \leq \max(\gamma_A(1), \gamma_A(1)) = \gamma_A(1)$$

for all  $x \in H$ . Therefore  $\mu_A(x) = \mu_A(1)$  and  $\gamma_A(x) = \gamma_A(1)$ , for all  $x \in H$ , i.e.  $H_A = H$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $NNx = x$  for all  $x \in H$ . Then  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy weak dual hyper  $K$ -ideal if and only if  $A^N = (\mu_A^N, \gamma_A^N)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy weak hyper  $K$ -ideal, where for all  $x \in H$ ,  $\mu_A^N(x) = \mu_A(Nx)$  and  $\gamma_A^N(x) = \gamma_A(Nx)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $NNx = x$ , for all  $x \in H$ , hence, by Theorem 2.6 (i),  $A^N = (\mu_A^N, \gamma_A^N)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy set.

Let  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy weak dual hyper  $K$ -ideal and  $U(\mu_A^N; t) \neq \emptyset \neq L(\gamma_A^N; s)$  for  $s, t \in [0, 1]$ . Now we prove that  $NU(\mu_A; t) = U(\mu_A^N; t)$  and  $NL(\mu_A; s) = L(\mu_A^N; s)$ . Let  $x \in NU(\mu_A; t)$ . Then  $\exists h \in U(\mu_A; t)$  such that  $x \in 1 \circ h$ . So  $\mu_A(h) \geq t$  and  $1 \circ x \subseteq 1 \circ (1 \circ h) = h$ . Thus  $\mu_A^N(x) = \mu_A(Nx) \geq t$ . Therefore  $x \in U(\mu_A^N; t)$  i.e.,  $NU(\mu_A; t) \subseteq U(\mu_A^N; t)$ .

Now let  $x \in U(\mu_A^N; t)$ . Then  $\mu_A(Nx) = \mu_A^N(x) \geq t$ . Hence by Theorem 2.6 there exists  $h \in H$  such that  $Nx = h$ . Thus  $\mu_A(h) \geq t$  and so  $h \in U(\mu_A; t)$ . Since  $Nh = NNx = x$ , hence  $x = Nh \subseteq NU(\mu_A; t)$ . Therefore  $U(\mu_A^N; t) \subseteq NU(\mu_A; t)$ . Similarly we prove that  $NL(\mu_A; s) = L(\mu_A^N; s)$ . Since  $U(\mu_A^N; t)$  and  $L(\gamma_A^N; s)$  are nonempty, so are  $U(\mu_A; t)$  and  $L(\gamma_A; s)$  are nonempty too. Thus by Theorem 3.7  $U(\mu_A; t)$  and  $L(\gamma_A; s)$  are weak dual hyper  $K$ -ideals and so, by Theorem 2.7,  $NU(\mu_A; t)$  and  $NL(\gamma_A; s)$  are weak hyper  $K$ -ideals. Hence  $U(\mu_A^N; t)$  and  $L(\gamma_A^N; s)$  are also weak hyper  $K$ -ideals. Therefore, by Theorem 2.18,  $A^N = (\mu_A^N, \gamma_A^N)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy weak hyper  $K$ -ideal. The proof of the converse is similar to above if we invoke Theorems 2.7, 3.7 and 2.18.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $NNx = x$  for all  $x \in H$  and suppose  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  satisfies the sup-inf property. Then  $A$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal if and only if  $A^N = (\mu_A^N, \gamma_A^N)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy hyper  $K$ -ideal.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.12.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $NNx = x$  for all  $x \in H$ . Then  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  satisfies the additive condition if and only if  $A^N = (\mu_A^N, \gamma_A^N)$  satisfies the anti-additive condition.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  satisfy the additive condition and  $x < y$ . Then by Theorem 2.6  $Ny < Nx$  and so  $\mu_A(Nx) \leq \mu_A(Ny)$  and  $\gamma_A(Nx) \geq \gamma_A(Ny)$ . Thus  $\mu_A^N(x) \leq \mu_A^N(y)$  and  $\gamma_A^N(x) \geq \gamma_A^N(y)$ . Therefore  $A^N = (\mu_A^N, \gamma_A^N)$  satisfies the anti-additive condition. The proof of the converse is similar.  $\square$

#### 4. Decomposition of Intuitionistic Fuzzy (Weak) Dual Hyper $K$ -ideals

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $A$  be a fuzzy set of  $H$  and  $A(1) \geq A(x)$  for all  $x \in H$ . Then

(i)  $A$  is called a *fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal*, if

$$A(x) \geq \min\left(\sup_{a \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} A(a), A(y)\right),$$

(ii)  $A$  is called a *fuzzy weak dual hyper  $K$ -ideal*, if

$$A(x) \geq \min\left(\inf_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} A(b), A(y)\right).$$



**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $D$  be a nonempty subset of  $H$ . Then*

- (i)  *$D$  is a dual hyper  $K$ -ideal if and only if  $\chi_D$  is a fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal.*
- (ii)  *$D$  is a (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideal if and only if  $\chi_D$  is a fuzzy (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideal.*

*Proof.* The proof is easy. □

**Theorem 4.3.** *Every (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideal of a bounded hyper  $K$ -algebra  $H$  is a level set of a fuzzy (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideal.*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a dual hyper  $K$ -ideal of  $H$  and  $A$  be a fuzzy set on  $H$  defined by

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } x \in D \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . It is clear that  $U(A, \alpha) = D$ . Now we show that  $A$  is a fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal. If  $N(Nx \circ Ny) \cap D = \emptyset$  or  $y \notin D$ , then  $A(x) \geq \min(\sup_{a \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} A(a), A(y)) = 0$ . If  $N(Nx \circ Ny) \cap D \neq \emptyset$  and  $y \in D$ , then by hypothesis we have  $x \in D$ . Thus  $\alpha = A(x) = \min(\sup_{a \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} A(a), A(y)) = \alpha$ .

Therefore  $A$  is a fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal. □

**Theorem 4.4.** *An IFS  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideal if and only if the fuzzy sets  $\mu_A$  and  $\bar{\gamma}_A = 1 - \gamma_A$  are fuzzy (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideals.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $A$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal. Obviously  $\mu_A$  is a fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal. By hypothesis we have  $\gamma_A(1) \leq \gamma_A(x) \leq \max(\inf_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \gamma_A(b), \gamma_A(y))$  for all  $x, y \in H$ . Thus,

$$1 - \gamma_A(1) \geq 1 - \gamma_A(x) \geq 1 - \max(\inf_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \gamma_A(b), \gamma_A(y))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\gamma}_A(1) \geq \bar{\gamma}_A(x) &\geq 1 + \min(\sup_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} -\gamma_A(b), -\gamma_A(y)) \\ &= \min(\sup_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} 1 - \gamma_A(b), 1 - \gamma_A(y)) \\ &= \min(\sup_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \bar{\gamma}_A(b), \bar{\gamma}_A(y)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\bar{\gamma}_A$  is a fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal.

Conversely, let  $\mu_A$  and  $\bar{\gamma}_A$  be fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideals. Then for all  $x, y \in H$ ,

$$\mu_A(1) \geq \mu_A(x) \geq \min(\sup_{a \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \mu_A(a), \mu_A(y))$$

and

$$\bar{\gamma}_A(1) \geq \bar{\gamma}_A(x) \geq \min(\sup_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \bar{\gamma}_A(b), \bar{\gamma}_A(y)).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \gamma_A(1) \geq 1 - \gamma_A(x) &\geq \min\left(\sup_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} 1 - \gamma_A(b), 1 - \gamma_A(y)\right) \\ &= 1 - \max\left(\inf_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \gamma_A(b), \gamma_A(y)\right) \end{aligned}$$

So  $\gamma_A(1) \leq \gamma_A(x) \leq \max\left(\inf_{b \in N(Nx \circ Ny)} \gamma_A(b), \gamma_A(y)\right)$  for all  $x, y \in H$ . Therefore  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  be an IFS of  $H$ . Then  $A$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideal if and only if  $A_\mu = (\mu_A, \bar{\mu}_A)$  and  $A_\gamma = (\bar{\gamma}_A, \gamma_A)$  are intuitionistic fuzzy (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideals, where  $\bar{\gamma}_A = 1 - \gamma_A$  and  $\bar{\mu}_A$  is the same as  $\bar{\gamma}_A$ .*

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 4.4.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $(H_1, \circ_1, 0_1)$  and  $(H_2, \circ_2, 0_2)$  be bounded hyper  $K$ -algebras, and let  $1_{H_1} \circ_1 1_{H_1} = 0_1$ ,  $1_{H_2} \circ_2 1_{H_2} = 0_2$ , and  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be fuzzy sets of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively. If  $\mu(1_{H_1}) = \nu(1_{H_2})$ ,  $\mu(1) \geq \mu(x)$  and  $\nu(1) \geq \nu(y)$ ,  $\forall (x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$ , then  $\mu \times \nu$  is a fuzzy (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideal of  $H_1 \times H_2$  if and only if  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are fuzzy (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideals of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideals of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively. Then for all  $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$ :

$$(\mu \times \nu)(1_{H_1}, 1_{H_2}) = \min\{\mu(1_{H_1}), \nu(1_{H_2})\} \geq \min\{\mu(x), \nu(y)\} = (\mu \times \nu)(x, y).$$

Let  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in H_1 \times H_2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\min\left(\sup_{(a,b) \in N(N(x_1, y_1) \circ N(x_2, y_2))} (\mu \times \nu)(a, b), (\mu \times \nu)(x_2, y_2)\right) \\ &= \min\left(\sup_{\substack{a \in N_1(N_1 x_1 \circ_1 N_1 x_2) \\ b \in N_2(N_2 y_1 \circ_2 N_2 y_2)}} \min\{\mu(a), \nu(b)\}, \min\{\mu(x_2), \nu(y_2)\}\right) \\ &\leq \min\left(\min\left\{\sup_{a \in N_1(N_1 x_1 \circ_1 N_1 x_2)} \mu(a), \mu(x_2)\right\}, \min\left\{\sup_{b \in N_2(N_2 y_1 \circ_2 N_2 y_2)} \nu(b), \nu(y_2)\right\}\right) \\ &\leq \min(\mu(x_1), \nu(y_1)) = (\mu \times \nu)(x_1, y_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu \times \nu$  is a fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal.

Conversely, let  $\mu \times \nu$  be a fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal of  $H_1 \times H_2$  and  $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$ . Then, by hypothesis, we get that  $\mu(1) \geq \mu(x)$  and  $\nu(1) \geq \nu(y)$ . Let  $x, y \in H_1$ . By

hypothesis and since  $\nu(1) = \mu(1)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(x) = \min(\mu(x), \mu(1)) &= \min(\mu(x), \nu(1)) = \mu \times \nu(x, 1) \\
&\geq \min\left(\sup_{(a,b) \in N(N(x,1) \circ N(y,1))} (\mu \times \nu)(a, b), (\mu \times \nu)(y, 1)\right) \\
&= \min\left(\sup_{\substack{a \in N_1(N_1 x \circ_1 N_1 y) \\ b \in N_2(N_2 1 \circ_2 N_2 1)}} \min\{\mu(a), \nu(b)\}, \mu(y)\right) \\
&= \min\left(\sup_{a \in N_1(N_1 x \circ_1 N_1 y)} \min\{\mu(a), \nu(1)\}, \mu(y)\right) \\
&= \min\left(\sup_{a \in N_1(N_1 x \circ_1 N_1 y)} \mu(a), \mu(y)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu$  is a fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal. Similarly we can prove that  $\nu$  is also a fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $(H_1, \circ_1, 0_1)$  and  $(H_2, \circ_2, 0_2)$  be bounded hyper  $K$ -algebras,  $1_{H_1} \circ_1 1_{H_1} = 0_1$ ,  $1_{H_2} \circ_2 1_{H_2} = 0_2$  and suppose that  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  and  $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$  are two intuitionistic fuzzy sets of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively. If  $\mu_A(1_{H_1}) = \mu_B(1_{H_2})$ ,  $\gamma_A(1_{H_1}) = \gamma_B(1_{H_2})$ ,  $\mu_A(1_{H_1}) \geq \mu_A(x)$ ,  $\mu_B(1_{H_2}) \geq \mu_B(y)$ ,  $\gamma_A(1_{H_1}) \leq \gamma_A(x)$  and  $\gamma_B(1_{H_2}) \leq \gamma_B(y)$  for all  $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$ , then  $A \times B = (\mu_A \times \mu_B, \gamma_A \otimes \gamma_B)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideal if and only if  $A$  and  $B$  are intuitionistic fuzzy (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideals, where  $(\mu \otimes \nu)(x, y) = \max\{\mu(x), \nu(y)\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  and  $B$  be intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideals. Then, by Theorem 4.4, we have  $\mu_A$ ,  $\mu_B$ ,  $\bar{\gamma}_A$  and  $\bar{\gamma}_B$  are fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideals. On the other hand, it is easy to check that  $\overline{\gamma_A \otimes \gamma_B} = \bar{\gamma}_A \times \bar{\gamma}_B$ . Hence, by Theorem 4.6,  $\mu_A \times \mu_B$  and  $\overline{\gamma_A \otimes \gamma_B}$  are fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal. So, by Theorem 4.4,  $A \times B = (\mu_A \times \mu_B, \gamma_A \otimes \gamma_B)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal.

The proof of the converse is similar.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $(H_1, \circ_1, 0_1)$  and  $(H_2, \circ_2, 0_2)$  be two bounded hyper  $K$ -algebras,  $N_1 N_1 x = x$ ,  $N_2 N_2 y = y$  and  $y \circ_2 y = \{0_2\}$ , for all  $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$ . If  $\mu$  is a fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal of  $H_1 \times H_2$ , then there are fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideals  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively, for which  $\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $\mu_1(x) = \mu(x, 1_{H_2})$  and  $\mu_2(y) = \mu(1_{H_1}, y)$ ,  $\forall (x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$ . Then, by a proof similar to that of Theorem 4.6, we can see that  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal. Now we show that  $\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$ . By Theorem 3.8,  $\mu$  satisfies the fuzzy anti-additive condition. Hence  $(x, y) < (x, 1)$  and  $(x, y) < (1, y)$  imply that  $\mu(x, y) \leq \mu(x, 1) = \mu_1(x)$  and  $\mu(x, y) \leq \mu(1, y) = \mu_2(y)$ . Thus  $\mu(x, y) \leq \min\{\mu_1(x), \mu_2(y)\} = \mu_1 \times \mu_2(x, y)$ , for all  $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$ .

Let  $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(x, y) &\geq \min\left(\sup_{(a,b) \in N(N(x,y) \circ N(1,y))} \mu(a, b), \mu(1, y)\right) \\
&= \min\left(\sup_{\substack{a \in N_1(N_1 x \circ_1 N_1 1) = x \\ b \in N_2(N_2 y \circ_2 N_2 y) = 1}} \mu(a, b), \mu(1, y)\right) = \min(\mu(x, 1), \mu(1, y)) \\
&= \min\{\mu_1(x), \mu_2(y)\} = \mu_1 \times \mu_2(x, y)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2, \forall (x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.9.** *Let  $(H_1, \circ_1, 0_1)$  and  $(H_2, \circ_2, 0_2)$  be two bounded hyper  $K$ -algebras,  $N_1N_1x = x$ ,  $N_2N_2y = y$  and  $y \circ_2 y = \{0_2\}$  for all  $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$  and suppose that  $\mu$  is a fuzzy weak dual hyper  $K$ -ideal of  $H_1 \times H_2$ . If  $\mu$  satisfies the anti-additive condition, then there exist fuzzy weak dual hyper  $K$ -ideals  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively, in which  $\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.8.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.10.** *(Decomposition Theorem 1) Let  $(H_1, \circ_1, 0_1)$  and  $(H_2, \circ_2, 0_2)$  be two bounded hyper  $K$ -algebras and  $N_1N_1x = x$ ,  $N_2N_2y = y$  and  $y \circ_2 y = \{0_2\}$  for all  $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$ . If  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal of  $H_1 \times H_2$ , then there exist intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideals  $A_1 = (\mu_{A_1}, \gamma_{A_1})$  and  $A_2 = (\mu_{A_2}, \gamma_{A_2})$  of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively, in which  $A \times B = (\mu_{A_1} \times \mu_{A_2}, \gamma_{A_1} \otimes \gamma_{A_2})$ .*

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorems 4.8 and 4.4.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.11.** *(Decomposition Theorem 2) Let  $(H_1, \circ_1, 0_1)$  and  $(H_2, \circ_2, 0_2)$  be two bounded hyper  $K$ -algebras,  $N_1N_1x = x$ ,  $N_2N_2y = y$  and  $y \circ_2 y = \{0_2\}$  for all  $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$ . Suppose  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy weak dual hyper  $K$ -ideal. If  $A$  satisfies the anti-additive condition of  $H_1 \times H_2$ , then there exist intuitionistic fuzzy weak dual hyper  $K$ -ideals  $A_1 = (\mu_{A_1}, \gamma_{A_1})$  and  $A_2 = (\mu_{A_2}, \gamma_{A_2})$  of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively, for which  $A \times B = (\mu_{A_1} \times \mu_{A_2}, \gamma_{A_1} \otimes \gamma_{A_2})$ .*

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorems 4.9 and 4.4.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.12.** *Let  $(H, \circ, 0)$  and  $(H', \circ', 0')$  be two bounded hyper  $K$ -algebras and let  $f : H \rightarrow H'$  be an onto homomorphism. Then :*

- (i)  $f(1) = 1$ ,
- (ii)  $N(f(x)) = f(Nx)$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $f(1) < 1$ . Now since  $f$  is onto, then there exists  $x \in H$  such that  $f(x) = 1$ . Thus  $0 = f(0) \in f(x \circ 1) = f(x) \circ f(1) = 1 \circ f(1)$ , i.e.  $1 < f(1)$ . Therefore  $f(1) = 1$ .

(ii) by (i) we have

$$N(f(x)) = 1 \circ f(x) = f(1) \circ f(x) = f(1 \circ x) = f(Nx)$$

$\square$

**Theorem 4.13.** *Let  $(H_1, \circ_1, 0_1)$  and  $(H_2, \circ_2, 0_2)$  be two bounded hyper  $K$ -algebras and  $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  be an onto homomorphism. Then  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideal of  $H_2$  if and only if  $f^{-1}(A) = (f^{-1}(\mu_A), f^{-1}(\gamma_A))$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideal of  $H_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal. Then by hypothesis we have  $\mu_A(y) \leq \mu_A(1)$  and  $\gamma_A(1) \leq \gamma_A(y)$  for all  $y \in H_2$ . Hence

$f^{-1}(\mu_A)(x) = \mu_A(f(x)) \leq \mu_A(f(1)) = f^{-1}(\mu_A)(1)$  and  $f^{-1}(\gamma_A)(1) = \gamma_A(f(1)) \leq \gamma_A(f(x)) = f^{-1}(\gamma_A)(x)$  for all  $x \in H_1$ . Let  $x, y \in H_1$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \min\left(\sup_{a \in N_1(N_1x \circ_1 N_1y)} f^{-1}(\mu_A)(a), f^{-1}(\mu_A)(y)\right) \\ &= \min\left(\sup_{a \in N_1(N_1x \circ_1 N_1y)} \mu_A(f(a)), \mu_A(f(y))\right) \\ &= \min\left(\sup_{b \in N_2(N_2f(x) \circ_2 N_2f(y))} \mu_A(b), \mu_A(f(y))\right) \\ &\leq \mu_A(f(x)) = f^{-1}(\mu_A)(x) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can prove that

$$f^{-1}(\gamma_A)(x) \leq \max\left(\inf_{b \in N_1(N_1x \circ_1 N_1y)} f^{-1}(\gamma_A)(b), f^{-1}(\gamma_A)(y)\right)$$

for all  $x, y \in H_1$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(A) = (f^{-1}(\mu_A), f^{-1}(\gamma_A))$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal.

Conversely, let  $f^{-1}(A) = (f^{-1}(\mu_A), f^{-1}(\gamma_A))$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal. Since  $f$  is onto, it is easy to check that  $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_A(1)$  and  $\gamma_A(x) \geq \gamma_A(1)$  for all  $x \in H_2$ . Let  $x, y \in H_2$ . Then there exists  $x', y' \in H_1$  such that  $f(x') = x$  and  $f(y') = y$ . Now by hypothesis we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \min\left(\sup_{b \in N_2(N_2x \circ_2 N_2y)} \mu_A(b), \mu_A(y)\right) &= \min\left(\sup_{b \in f(N_1(N_1x' \circ_1 N_1y'))} \mu_A(b), \mu_A(f(y'))\right) \\ &= \min\left(\sup_{r \in N_1(N_1x' \circ_1 N_1y')} \mu_A(f(r)), \mu_A(f(y'))\right) \\ &= \min\left(\sup_{r \in N_1(N_1x' \circ_1 N_1y')} f^{-1}(\mu_A)(r), f^{-1}(\mu_A)(y')\right) \\ &\leq f^{-1}(\mu_A)(x') = \mu_A(f(x')) = \mu_A(x) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $\gamma_A(x) \leq \max\left(\inf_{b \in N_2(N_2x \circ_2 N_2y)} \gamma_A(b), \gamma_A(y)\right)$  for all  $x, y \in H_2$ . Therefore  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal of  $H_2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.14.** *Let  $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  be an onto homomorphism of two bounded hyper  $K$ -algebras. If  $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$  is an  $f$ -invariant and an intuitionistic fuzzy (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideal of  $H_1$ , then  $f(A) = (f(\mu_A), f(\gamma_A))$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy (weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideal of  $H_2$ .*

*Proof.* By hypothesis and Theorem 4.12 we have  $f(\mu_A)(1) = \mu_A(1)$  and  $f(\gamma_A)(1) = \gamma_A(1)$  and so  $f(\mu_A)(y) \leq f(\mu_A)(1)$  and  $f(\gamma_A)(1) \leq f(\gamma_A)(y)$  for all  $y \in H_2$ .

Let  $x, y \in H_2$ . Then  $f(x') = x$  and  $f(y') = y$ . Now by hypothesis we get that; (1)

$$\min\left(\sup_{a \in N_2(N_2x \circ_2 N_2y)} f(\mu_A)(a), f(\mu_A)(y)\right) = \min\left(\sup_{a \in f(N_1(N_1x' \circ_1 N_1y'))} f(\mu_A)(a), f(\mu_A)(y)\right)$$

Consider the following sets

$$T_1 = \{f(\mu_A)(a) \mid a \in f(N_1(N_1x' \circ_1 N_1y'))\}, T_2 = \{\mu_A(r) \mid r \in N_1(N_1x' \circ_1 N_1y')\}.$$

Since  $A$  is an  $f$ -invariant, we can see that  $T_1 = T_2$ . Also by hypothesis we get that  $f(\mu_A)(y) = \sup_{t \in f^{-1}(y)} \mu_A(t) = \sup_{t \in f^{-1}(f(y'))} \mu_A(t) = \mu_A(y')$ . Thus (1) is equal to

$$\min\left(\sup_{r \in N_1(N_1 x' \circ_1 N_1 y')} \mu_A(r), \mu_A(y')\right) \leq \mu_A(x') = f(\mu_A)(x).$$

Similarly

$$f(\gamma_A)(x) \leq \max\left(\inf_{b \in N_2(N_2 x \circ_2 N_2 y)} f(\gamma_A)(b), f(\gamma_A)(y)\right)$$

for all  $x, y \in H$ . Therefore  $f(A) = (f(\mu_A), f(\gamma_A))$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy dual hyper  $K$ -ideal.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **20(1)** (1986), 87-96.
- [2] R. A. Borzooei and M. M. Zahedi, *Positive implicative hyper  $K$ -ideals*, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae, **53(3)** (2001), 525-533.
- [3] R. A. Borzooei, A. Hasankhani, M. M. Zahedi and Y. B. Jun, *On hyper  $K$ -algebras*, Math. Japon., **52(1)** (2000), 113-121.
- [4] P. Corsini and V. Leoreanu, *Applications of hyper structure theory*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003.
- [5] Y. Imai and K. Iseki, *On axiom systems of propositional calculi*, XIV Proc. Japan Academy, **42** (1966), 19-22.
- [6] K. Iseki and S. Tanaka, *An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras*, Math. Japon, **23** (1978), 1-26.
- [7] F. Marty, *Sur une generalization de la notion de groups*, 8th congress Math. Scandinaves, Stockholm, (1934), 45-49.
- [8] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, *BCK-algebras*, Kyung Moonsa, Seoul, Korea, 1994.
- [9] L. Torkzadeh and M. M. Zahedi, *Dual positive implicative hyper  $K$ -ideals of type 4*, J. Quasigroups and Related Systems, **9** (2002), 85-106.
- [10] L. Torkzadeh and M. M. Zahedi, *(Weak) dual hyper  $K$ -ideals*, Soft Computing, to appear.
- [11] L. Torkzadeh and M. M. Zahedi, *(Anti) fuzzy dual positive implicative hyper  $K$ -ideals*, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **17** (2005), 69-82.
- [12] L. Torkzadeh and M. M. Zahedi, *Intuitionistic fuzzy commutative hyper  $K$ -ideals*, J. Appl. Math. & Computing, to appear.
- [13] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Information and Control, **8** (1965), 338-353.

L. TORKZADEH\* AND M. ABBASI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY OF KERMAN, KERMAN, IRAN  
*E-mail address:* ltorkzadeh@yahoo.com

M. M. ZAHEDI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHAHID BAHONAR UNIVERSITY OF KERMAN, KERMAN, IRAN  
*E-mail address:* zahedi\_mm@mail.uk.ac.ir

\*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR