

Individual ergodic theorem for intuitionistic fuzzy observables using intuitionistic fuzzy state

K. Čunderlíková¹

¹Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Štefánikova 49, 814 73 Bratislava, Slovakia

cunderlikova.lendelova@gmail.com

Abstract

The classical ergodic theory has been built on σ -algebras. Later the Individual ergodic theorem was studied on more general structures like MV-algebras and quantum structures. The aim of this paper is to formulate the Individual ergodic theorem for intuitionistic fuzzy observables using \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere convergence, where \mathbf{m} is an intuitionistic fuzzy state. We show the Kolmogorov construction for intuitionistic fuzzy observables, too.

Keywords: The intuitionistic fuzzy event, the intuitionistic fuzzy observable, the intuitionistic fuzzy state, the product, the upper limit, the lower limit, the \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere convergence, the \mathbf{m} -preserving transformation, the individual ergodic theorem, the Kolmogorov construction.

1 Introduction

In [1, 2] K.T. Atanassov introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Then in [8] B. Riečan defined the intuitionistic fuzzy state on the family of intuitionistic fuzzy events $\mathcal{F} = \{(\mu_A, \nu_A) ; \mu_A + \nu_A \leq 1_\Omega\}$, where μ_A, ν_A are \mathcal{S} -measurable functions, $\mu_A, \nu_A : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$, as a mapping \mathbf{m} from the family \mathcal{F} to the set R by the formula

$$\mathbf{m}((\mu_A, \nu_A)) = (1 - \alpha) \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP + \alpha \left(1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP \right),$$

where $P : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a probability measure and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

In paper [4] we defined the upper and the lower limits for sequence of intuitionistic fuzzy observables. We used an intuitionistic fuzzy state \mathbf{m} to define the notion of almost everywhere convergence. We compared two concepts of \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere convergence.

In paper [5] we studied the \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere convergence of sequence of intuitionistic fuzzy observables $g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ given by $g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = h_n \circ g_n^{-1}$, where $h_n : \mathcal{B}(R^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is the joint intuitionistic fuzzy observable of intuitionistic fuzzy observables x_1, \dots, x_n and $g_n : R^n \rightarrow R$ is a Borel measurable function. We showed the connection between \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere convergence of this sequence of intuitionistic fuzzy observables and P -almost everywhere convergence of random variables in classical probability space induced by Kolmogorov construction. This connection is a start point for proving the Individual ergodic theorem for intuitionistic fuzzy observables using \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere convergence.

Recall that the formulation of the Individual ergodic theorem for intuitionistic fuzzy events with product first appeared in the paper [3]. There we used \mathcal{P} -almost everywhere convergence, where \mathcal{P} was a separating intuitionistic fuzzy probability. Since the intuitionistic fuzzy probability \mathcal{P} can be decomposed to two intuitionistic fuzzy states, it is useful to study \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere convergence, where \mathbf{m} is an intuitionistic fuzzy state. In this paper we formulate the Individual ergodic theorem for intuitionistic fuzzy observables using \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere convergence. We show the Kolmogorov construction for intuitionistic fuzzy observables, too.

Remark that in a whole text we use a notation IF as an abbreviation for intuitionistic fuzzy.

2 IF-events, IF-states, IF-observables and IF-mean value

First we start with definitions of basic notions (see [1, 2, 9]).

Definition 2.1. Let Ω be a nonempty set. An IF-set \mathbf{A} on Ω is a pair (μ_A, ν_A) of mappings $\mu_A, \nu_A : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\mu_A + \nu_A \leq 1_\Omega$.

Definition 2.2. Start with a measurable space (Ω, \mathcal{S}) . Hence \mathcal{S} is a σ -algebra of subsets of Ω . An IF-event is called an IF-set $\mathbf{A} = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ such that $\mu_A, \nu_A : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are \mathcal{S} -measurable.

The family of all IF-events on (Ω, \mathcal{S}) will be denoted by \mathcal{F} , $\mu_A : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ will be called the membership function, $\nu_A : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be called the non-membership function.

If $\mathbf{A} = (\mu_A, \nu_A) \in \mathcal{F}$, $\mathbf{B} = (\mu_B, \nu_B) \in \mathcal{F}$, then we define the Lukasiewicz binary operations \oplus, \odot on \mathcal{F} by

$$\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} = ((\mu_A + \mu_B) \wedge 1_\Omega, (\nu_A + \nu_B - 1_\Omega) \vee 0_\Omega), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{A} \odot \mathbf{B} = ((\mu_A + \mu_B - 1_\Omega) \vee 0_\Omega, (\nu_A + \nu_B) \wedge 1_\Omega)$$

and the partial ordering is given by $\mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B}$ if and only if $\mu_A \leq \mu_B, \nu_A \geq \nu_B$. In paper we use max-min connectives defined by

$$\mathbf{A} \vee \mathbf{B} = (\mu_A \vee \mu_B, \nu_A \wedge \nu_B), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{A} \wedge \mathbf{B} = (\mu_A \wedge \mu_B, \nu_A \vee \nu_B)$$

and the de Morgan rules $(a \vee b)^* = a^* \wedge b^*$, and $(a \wedge b)^* = a^* \vee b^*$, where $a^* = 1 - a$.

Example 2.3. Fuzzy set $f : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ can be regarded as IF-set, if we put $\mathbf{A} = (f, 1_\Omega - f)$. If $f = \chi_A$, then the corresponding IF-set has the form $\mathbf{A} = (\chi_A, 1_\Omega - \chi_A) = (\chi_A, \chi_{A'})$. In this case $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$ corresponds to the union of sets, $\mathbf{A} \odot \mathbf{B}$ to the intersection of sets and \leq to the set inclusion.

In the IF-probability theory [10] instead of the notion of probability we use the notion of state.

Definition 2.4. Let \mathcal{F} be the family of all IF-events in Ω . A mapping $\mathbf{m} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called an IF-state, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\mathbf{m}((1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)) = 1$, $\mathbf{m}((0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)) = 0$;
- (ii) if $\mathbf{A} \odot \mathbf{B} = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{A}) + \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{B})$;
- (iii) if $\mathbf{A}_n \nearrow \mathbf{A}$ (i.e. $\mu_{A_n} \nearrow \mu_A, \nu_{A_n} \searrow \nu_A$), then $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{A}_n) \nearrow \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{A})$.

Probably the most useful result in the IF-state theory is the following representation theorem [8]:

Theorem 2.5. To each IF-state $\mathbf{m} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ there exists exactly one probability measure $P : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and exactly one $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ such that for each $\mathbf{A} = (\mu_A, \nu_A) \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{A}) = (1 - \alpha) \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP + \alpha \left(1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP \right).$$

Proof. In [8] Theorem. □

The third basic notion in the probability theory is the notion of an observable. Let \mathcal{J} be the family of all intervals in R of the form $[a, b) = \{x \in R : a \leq x < b\}$. Then the σ -algebra $\sigma(\mathcal{J})$ is denoted $\mathcal{B}(R)$ and it is called the σ -algebra of Borel sets, its elements are called Borel sets.

Definition 2.6. By an IF-observable on \mathcal{F} we understand each mapping $x : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $x(R) = (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)$, $x(\emptyset) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$;
- (ii) if $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then $x(A) \odot x(B) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ and $x(A \cup B) = x(A) \oplus x(B)$;
- (iii) if $A_n \nearrow A$, then $x(A_n) \nearrow x(A)$.

If we denote $x(A) = (x^b(A), 1_\Omega - x^\sharp(A))$ for each $A \in \mathcal{B}(R)$, then $x^b, x^\sharp : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ are observables, where $\mathcal{T} = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]; f \text{ is } \mathcal{S}\text{-measurable}\}$.

Remark 2.7. Sometimes we need to work with n -dimensional IF-observable $x : \mathcal{B}(R^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ defined as a mapping with the following conditions:

- (i) $x(R^n) = (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)$, $x(\emptyset) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$;
- (ii) if $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(R^n)$, then $x(A) \odot x(B) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ and $x(A \cup B) = x(A) \oplus x(B)$;
- (iii) if $A_n \nearrow A$, then $x(A_n) \nearrow x(A)$ for each $A, A_n \in \mathcal{B}(R^n)$.

If $n = 1$, then we simply say that x is an IF-observable.

Similarly as in the classical case the following theorem can be proved [7, 10].

Theorem 2.8. Let $x : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be an IF-observable, $\mathbf{m} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be an IF-state. Define the mapping $\mathbf{m}_x : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by the formula $\mathbf{m}_x(C) = \mathbf{m}(x(C))$. Then $\mathbf{m}_x : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a probability measure.

Proof. In [7] Proposition 3.1. □

Since now $\mathbf{m}_x : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ plays an analogous role as $P_\xi : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, we can define **IF-expected value** $\mathbf{E}(x)$ by the same formula (see [7]).

Definition 2.9. We say that an IF-observable x is an integrable IF-observable, if the integral $\int_R t \, d\mathbf{m}_x(t)$ exists. In this case we define IF-expected value $\mathbf{E}(x) = \int_R t \, d\mathbf{m}_x(t)$. If the integral $\int_R t^2 \, d\mathbf{m}_x(t)$ exists, then we define IF-dispersion $\mathbf{D}^2(x)$ by the formula

$$\mathbf{D}^2(x) = \int_R t^2 \, d\mathbf{m}_x(t) - (\mathbf{E}(x))^2 = \int_R (t - \mathbf{E}(x))^2 \, d\mathbf{m}_x(t).$$

3 Product operation, joint IF-observable and function of several IF-observables

In [6] we introduced the notion of product operation on the family of IF-events \mathcal{F} and showed an example of this operation.

Definition 3.1. We say that a binary operation \cdot on \mathcal{F} is product if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $(1_\Omega, 0_\Omega) \cdot (a_1, a_2) = (a_1, a_2)$ for each $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{F}$;
- (ii) the operation \cdot is commutative and associative;
- (iii) if $(a_1, a_2) \odot (b_1, b_2) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ and $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in \mathcal{F}$, then

$$(c_1, c_2) \cdot ((a_1, a_2) \oplus (b_1, b_2)) = ((c_1, c_2) \cdot (a_1, a_2)) \oplus ((c_1, c_2) \cdot (b_1, b_2))$$

and $((c_1, c_2) \cdot (a_1, a_2)) \odot ((c_1, c_2) \cdot (b_1, b_2)) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$, for each $(c_1, c_2) \in \mathcal{F}$;

- (iv) if $(a_{1n}, a_{2n}) \searrow (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$, $(b_{1n}, b_{2n}) \searrow (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ and $(a_{1n}, a_{2n}), (b_{1n}, b_{2n}) \in \mathcal{F}$, then $(a_{1n}, a_{2n}) \cdot (b_{1n}, b_{2n}) \searrow (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$.

In the following theorem is the example of product operation for IF-events.

Theorem 3.2. The operation \cdot defined by $(x_1, y_1) \cdot (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 \cdot x_2, y_1 + y_2 - y_1 \cdot y_2)$ for each $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \mathcal{F}$ is product operation on \mathcal{F} .

Proof. In [6] Theorem 1. □

In [9] B. Riečan defined the notion of a joint IF-observable and he proved its existence.

Definition 3.3. Let $x, y : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be two IF-observables. The joint IF-observable of the IF-observables x, y is a mapping $h : \mathcal{B}(R^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $h(R^2) = (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)$, $h(\emptyset) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$;
- (ii) if $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(R^2)$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then $h(A \cup B) = h(A) \oplus h(B)$ and $h(A) \odot h(B) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$;

- (iii) if $A, A_1, \dots \in \mathcal{B}(R^2)$ and $A_n \nearrow A$, then $h(A_n) \nearrow h(A)$;
- (iv) $h(C \times D) = x(C) \cdot y(D)$ for each $C, D \in \mathcal{B}(R)$.

Theorem 3.4. For each two IF-observables $x, y : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ there exists their joint IF-observable.

Proof. In [9] Theorem 3.3. □

Remark 3.5. The joint IF-observable of IF-observables x, y from Definition 3.3 is two-dimensional IF-observable.

If we have several IF-observables and a Borel measurable function, we can define the IF-observable, which is the function of several IF-observables. About this says the following definition.

Definition 3.6. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be IF-observables, h_n their joint IF-observable and $g_n : R^n \rightarrow R$ a Borel measurable function. Then we define the IF-observable $g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ by the formula $g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)(A) = h_n(g_n^{-1}(A))$, for each $A \in \mathcal{B}(R)$.

4 Kolmogorov construction

In this section we introduce the notion of compatibility of intuitionistic fuzzy observables as follows.

Definition 4.1. Let (\mathcal{F}, \cdot) be a family of IF-events with product and $x, y : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be the IF-observables on \mathcal{F} . We say that IF-observables x, y are compatible, if there exists their joint IF-observable h .

We can generalize the notion of compatibility for k IF-observables x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k} .

Definition 4.2. Let $J \subset N$, $J = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ and x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k} be the IF-observables on \mathcal{F} . We say that the IF-observables x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k} are compatible, if there exists a mapping $h_J : \mathcal{B}(R^{|J|}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $h_J(R^{|J|}) = (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)$, $h(\emptyset) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$
- (ii) if $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(R^{|J|})$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then $h_J(A \cup B) = h_J(A) \oplus h_J(B)$ and $h_J(A) \odot h_J(B) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$;
- (iii) if $A, A_1, \dots \in \mathcal{B}(R^{|J|})$ and $A_n \nearrow A$, then $h_J(A_n) \nearrow h_J(A)$;
- (iv) $h_J(A_{i_1} \times \dots \times A_{i_k}) = x_{i_1}(A_{i_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i_k}(A_{i_k})$ for each $A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_k} \in \mathcal{B}(R)$.

By Definition 4.2 to every compatible IF-observables x_1, \dots, x_n there exists a morphism $h_n : \mathcal{B}(R^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ (i.e. $h_n(R^n) = (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)$, h_n is additive and continuous) such that $h_n(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) = x_1(A_1) \cdot \dots \cdot x_n(A_n)$, for each $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}(R)$.

Lemma 4.3. The mapping $h_J : \mathcal{B}(R^{|J|}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ from Definition 4.2 satisfies the following conditions:

- (v) if $A \in \mathcal{B}(R)$, then $h_J(\{(t_1, \dots, t_i, \dots, t_k) \mid (t_1, \dots, t_i, \dots, t_k) \in R^{|J|}, t_i \in A\}) = x_i(A)$;
- (vi) if $J_1 \subset J_2 \subset N$, then $h_{J_2}(\pi_{J_2, J_1}^{-1}(A)) = h_{J_1}(A)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{B}(R^{|J_1|})$, where $\pi_{J_2, J_1} : \mathcal{B}(R^{|J_2|}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(R^{|J_1|})$ is the projection.

Proof. (v)

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_J(\{(t_1, \dots, t_i, \dots, t_k) \mid (t_1, \dots, t_i, \dots, t_k) \in R^{|J|}, t_i \in A\}) &= h_J(R \times \dots \times R \times A \times R \times \dots \times R) \\
 &= x_1(R) \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i-1}(R) \cdot x_i(A) \cdot x_{i+1}(R) \cdot \dots \cdot x_k(R) \\
 &= (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega) \cdot \dots \cdot (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega) \cdot x_i(A) \cdot (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega) \cdot \dots \cdot (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega) \\
 &= (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega) \cdot x_i(A) \cdot (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega) = x_i(A)
 \end{aligned}$$

- (vi) Let $J_1 \subset J_2 \subset N$; $A = A_{t_1} \times \dots \times A_{t_k} \in \mathcal{B}(R^{|J_1|})$,

$$\pi_{J_1, J_2}^{-1}(A) = R \times \dots \times R \times A_{t_1} \times \dots \times A_{t_k} \times R \times \dots \times R \in \mathcal{B}(R^{|J_2|}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_{J_2}(\pi_{J_2, J_1}^{-1}(A)) &= x_{s_1}(R) \cdot \dots \cdot x_{s_i}(R) \cdot x_{t_1}(A_{t_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot x_{t_k}(A_{t_k}) \cdot x_{s_j}(R) \cdot \dots \cdot x_{s_n}(R) \\
 &= (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega) \cdot \dots \cdot (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega) \cdot x_{t_1}(A_{t_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot x_{t_k}(A_{t_k}) \cdot (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega) \cdot \dots \cdot (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega) \cdot x_{t_1}(A_{t_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot x_{t_k}(A_{t_k}) \cdot (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega) \\
&= x_{t_1}(A_{t_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot x_{t_k}(A_{t_k}) \\
&= h_{J_1}(A).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the set $\mathcal{L} = \{A \in \mathcal{B}(R^{|J|}), h_{J_2}(\pi_{J_2, J_1}^{-1}(A)) = h_{J_1}(A)\}$ and denote \mathcal{D} the set of all rectangles $A_{t_1} \times \dots \times A_{t_k}; A_{t_1}, \dots, A_{t_k} \in \mathcal{B}(R)$.

Evidently $\mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{D}$. The properties of mapping h_J imply that \mathcal{L} is $q - \sigma$ -algebra over ring $s(\mathcal{D})$ generated by set \mathcal{D} . Therefore

$$\mathcal{L} \supset q - \sigma(s(\mathcal{D})) = \sigma(s(\mathcal{D})) = \mathcal{B}(R^{|J_1|})$$

that implies $h_{J_2}(\pi_{J_2, J_1}^{-1}(A)) = h_{J_1}(A)$, where $A \in \mathcal{B}(R^{|J_1|})$. \square

Proposition 4.4. Let \mathbf{m} be an IF-state on a family of IF-events with product (\mathcal{F}, \cdot) . Define $P_n : \mathcal{B}(R^n) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by the formula

$$P_n(A) = \mathbf{m}(h_n(A)), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(R).$$

Then P_n is a probability measure such that

$$P_n(\{(t_1, \dots, t_i, \dots, t_n) \mid (t_1, \dots, t_i, \dots, t_n) \in R^n, t_i \in A\}) = \mathbf{m}(x_i(A)) = \mathbf{m}_{x_i}(A).$$

Proof. The first assertion is clear. Further

$$\begin{aligned}
P_n(\{(t_1, \dots, t_i, \dots, t_n) \mid (t_1, \dots, t_i, \dots, t_n) \in R^n, t_i \in A\}) &= \mathbf{m}(h_n(R \times \dots \times R \times A \times R \times \dots \times R)) \\
&= \mathbf{m}(x_1(R) \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i-1}(R) \cdot x_i(A) \cdot x_{i+1}(R) \cdot \dots \cdot x_n(R)) \\
&= \mathbf{m}((1_\Omega, 0_\Omega) \cdot x_i(A) \cdot (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)) = \mathbf{m}(x_i(A)) = \mathbf{m}_{x_i}(A).
\end{aligned}$$

\square

Proposition 4.5. Let $\emptyset \neq J \subset N$, J be finite, $J = \{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$. Then there exists exactly one probability measure $P_J : \mathcal{B}(R^k) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$P_J(A_1 \times \dots \times A_k) = \mathbf{m}(x_{t_1}(A_1) \cdot \dots \cdot x_{t_k}(A_k))$$

for each $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{B}(R)$.

Proof. Let $I = \{1, \dots, t_k\} \supset J$, $\pi_{I, J}$ be the projection from R^{t_k} to R^k . Then

$$\pi_{I, J}^{-1}(A_1 \times \dots \times A_k) = B_1 \times \dots \times B_{t_k},$$

where $B_{t_i} = A_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$); $B_j = R$ if $j \notin J$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{t_k}(\pi_{I, J}^{-1}(A_1 \times \dots \times A_k)) &= P_{t_k}(B_1 \times \dots \times B_{t_k}) \\
&= \mathbf{m}(h_{t_k}(B_1 \times \dots \times B_{t_k})) \\
&= \mathbf{m}(x_1(B_1) \cdot \dots \cdot x_{t_k}(B_{t_k})) \\
&= \mathbf{m}(x_{t_1}(A_1) \cdot \dots \cdot x_{t_k}(A_k)).
\end{aligned}$$

Put $P_J = P_{t_k} \circ \pi_{I, J}^{-1} : \mathcal{B}(R^k) \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Then P_J is a probability measure with the property stated in *Proposition 4.5*.

If μ is other measure with this property, then P_J coincides with μ on each rectangles and therefore they coincide on $\mathcal{B}(R^k)$. \square

By property (vi) we obtained a family of probability measures $\{P_J \mid \emptyset \neq J \subset N, J \text{ finite}\}$ given by

$$P_J(A) = \mathbf{m}(h_J(A)), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(R).$$

The family satisfies the Kolmogorov consistency condition. E.g., if $J_2 = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $J_1 = \{1, 3\}$ and $\pi_{J_2, J_1} : R^3 \rightarrow R^2$ is the projection (assigning to a triple (t_1, t_2, t_3) a pair (t_1, t_3)) then

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{J_2}(\pi_{J_2, J_1}^{-1}(A \times B)) &= P_{J_2}(\{(t_1, t_2, t_3) : (t_1, t_3) \in A \times B\}) \\
&= P_{J_2}(A \times R \times B) \\
&= \mathbf{m}(x_1(A) \cdot x_2(R) \cdot x_3(B)) \\
&= \mathbf{m}(x_1(A) \cdot x_3(B)) \\
&= P_{J_1}(A \times B).
\end{aligned}$$

$$P_{J_2} \circ \pi_{J_2, J_1}^{-1} = P_{J_1}.$$

Proposition 4.6. *The family $\{P_J \mid \emptyset \neq J \subset N, J \text{ finite}\}$ satisfies the Kolmogorov consistency condition, i.e.*

$$P_{J_2}(\pi_{J_2, J_1}^{-1}(A)) = P_{J_1}(A)$$

whenever $J_1 \subset J_2$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(R^{|J_1|})$, where $\pi_{J_2, J_1} : R^{|J_2|} \rightarrow R^{|J_1|}$ is the projection.

Proof. P_{J_1} and $P_{J_2} \circ \pi_{J_2, J_1}^{-1}$ are two measures on $\mathcal{B}(R^{|J_1|})$ coinciding on the family of all rectangles. \square

At this point we may use the Kolmogorov consistency theorem.

Proposition 4.7. *Let \mathcal{C} be the family of all cylinders in R^N , i.e.*

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\pi_J^{-1}(A) \mid \emptyset \neq J \subset N, J \text{ finite}, A \in \mathcal{B}(R^{|J|})\}.$$

Then there exists exactly one probability measure $P : \sigma(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $P(\pi_J^{-1}(A)) = P_J(A)$, for each cylinders $\pi_J^{-1}(A)$. Particularly

$$P(\{(t_n)_1^\infty : t_i \in A_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}) = \mathbf{m}(h_n(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n)) = \mathbf{m}(x_1(A_1) \cdot \dots \cdot x_n(A_n)).$$

Proof. It follows by the Kolmogorov theorem and Proposition 4.6. \square

Proposition 4.8. *Define the coordinate function $\xi_n : R^N \rightarrow R$ by the formula $\xi_n((t_i)_1^\infty) = t_n$. Then ξ_n is a random variable with respect to $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ such that $P_{\xi_n} = \mathbf{m} \circ x_n = \mathbf{m}_{x_n}$.*

Proof. If $A \in \mathcal{B}(R)$, then $\xi_n^{-1}(A) = \{(t_i)_1^\infty; t_n \in A\} = \pi_{\{n\}}^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{C}$. Moreover

$$P_{\xi_n}(A) = P(\xi_n^{-1}(A)) = P(\pi_{\{n\}}^{-1}(A)) = P_{\{n\}}(A) = \mathbf{m}(x_n(A)) = \mathbf{m}_{x_n}(A).$$

\square

Remark 4.9. *By the preceding procedure to each sequence $(x_n)_n$ we can construct a sequence $(\xi_n)_n$ of a random variables.*

5 Lower and upper limits, \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere convergence

In [4] we defined the notions of lower and upper limits for a sequence of *IF*-observables and showed the connection between two kinds of \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere convergence.

Definition 5.1. *We shall say that a sequence $(x_n)_n$ of *IF*-observables has $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}$, if there exists an *IF*-observable $\bar{x} : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ such that*

$$\bar{x}((-\infty, t)) = \bigvee_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{n=k}^{\infty} x_n \left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right)$$

for every $t \in R$. We write $\bar{x} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$.

Note that if another *IF*-observable y satisfies the above condition, then $\mathbf{m} \circ y = \mathbf{m} \circ \bar{x}$.

Definition 5.2. *A sequence $(x_n)_n$ of *IF*-observables has $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty}$, if there exists an *IF*-observable \underline{x} such that*

$$\underline{x}((-\infty, t)) = \bigvee_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{n=k}^{\infty} x_n \left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right)$$

for all $t \in R$. Notation: $\underline{x} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$.

Proposition 5.3. *A sequence $(x_n)_n$ of an *IF*-observables converges \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere to 0 if and only if*

$$\mathbf{m} \left(\bigvee_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{n=k}^{\infty} x_n \left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) \right) = \mathbf{m} \left(\bigvee_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{n=k}^{\infty} x_n \left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) \right) = \mathbf{m}(0_{\mathcal{F}}((-\infty, t))),$$

for every $t \in R$.

Proof. In [4] Proposition 4.1. □

In accordance with Proposition 5.3 we can extend the notion of \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere convergence by the following way.

Definition 5.4. A sequence $(x_n)_n$ of an *IF*-observables converges \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere to an *IF*-observable x , if

$$\mathbf{m}\left(\bigvee_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{n=k}^{\infty} x_n\left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right)\right) = \mathbf{m}\left(\bigvee_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{n=k}^{\infty} x_n\left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right)\right) = \mathbf{m}(x((-\infty, t))),$$

for every $t \in R$.

The next theorem is important for the proof of the Individual ergodic theorem in intuitionistic fuzzy case, where we work with the sequence of several *IF*-observables induced by the Borel function.

Theorem 5.5. Let $(x_n)_n$ be a sequence of *IF*-observables, $(\xi_n)_n$ be the sequence of corresponding projections, $(g_n)_n$ be a sequence of Borel measurable functions $g_n : R^n \rightarrow R$. If the sequence $(g_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n))_n$ converges P -almost everywhere, then the sequence $(g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n))_n$ converges \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere and

$$\mathbf{m}\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)((-\infty, t))\right) = \mathbf{m}\left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)((-\infty, t))\right)$$

for each $t \in R$. Moreover

$$P\left(\left\{u \in R^N : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(\xi_1(u), \dots, \xi_n(u)) < t\right\}\right) = \mathbf{m}\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)((-\infty, t))\right)$$

for each $t \in R$.

Proof. In [5] Theorem 5.1. □

6 Individual ergodic theorem

First we recall the classical Individual ergodic theorem. Let (X, σ, P) be a probability space, $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a measure preserving transformation (i.e. $A \in \sigma$ implies $T^{-1}(A) \in \sigma$ and $P(T^{-1}(A)) = P(A)$), $\xi : X \rightarrow R$ be an integrable random variable. Then there exists an integrable random variable ξ^* such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $E(\xi) = E(\xi^*)$,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\xi \circ T^i) = \xi^*$ P -almost everywhere,
- (iii) $\xi^* = \xi \circ T$ P -almost everywhere.

We defined the *IF*-mean value of an *IF*-observable and \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere convergence in the previous sections. Now we must define a transformation preserving an *IF*-state \mathbf{m} .

Definition 6.1. Let (\mathcal{F}, \cdot) be a family of *IF*-events with product, \mathbf{m} be an *IF*-state. Then a mapping $\tau : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is said to be a \mathbf{m} -preserving transformation, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\tau((1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)) = (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)$;
- (ii) if $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathbf{A} \odot \mathbf{B} = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$, then $\tau(\mathbf{A}) \odot \tau(\mathbf{B}) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ and $\tau(\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}) = \tau(\mathbf{A}) \oplus \tau(\mathbf{B})$;
- (iii) if $\mathbf{A}_n \nearrow \mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{A}_n, \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{F}$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then $\tau(\mathbf{A}_n) \nearrow \tau(\mathbf{A})$;
- (iv) $\mathbf{m}(\tau(\mathbf{A}) \cdot \tau(\mathbf{B})) = \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B})$ for each $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{F}$.

The next theorem says about a representation of \mathbf{m} -preserving transformation.

Theorem 6.2. Let $\tau : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a \mathbf{m} -preserving transformation, where \mathbf{m} is an IF-state. For each $\mathbf{A} = (\mu_A, \nu_A) \in \mathcal{F}$ denote

$$\tau(\mathbf{A}) = (\tau^b(\mu_A), 1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_A)).$$

Then the mappings $\tau^b, \tau^\sharp : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ are the measure preserving transformations in a tribe $\mathcal{T} = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]; f \text{ is } \mathcal{S}\text{-measurable}\}$.

Proof. Let $\tau : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a \mathbf{m} -preserving transformation. Then from Definition 6.1 we obtain that the mapping τ is satisfying the four conditions:

(i) Let $\tau((1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)) = (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)$. Then $(1_\Omega, 0_\Omega) = \tau((1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)) = (\tau^b(1_\Omega), 1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega))$ and therefore we have $1_\Omega = \tau^b(1_\Omega), 1_\Omega = \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega)$.

(ii) Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathbf{A} \odot \mathbf{B} = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$. Then $(0_\Omega, 1_\Omega) = (\mu_A \odot \mu_B, \nu_A \oplus \nu_B) = ((\mu_A + \mu_B - 1_\Omega) \vee 0_\Omega, (\nu_A + \nu_B) \wedge 1_\Omega)$.

$$0_\Omega = (\mu_A + \mu_B - 1_\Omega) \vee 0_\Omega \quad \text{and} \quad 1_\Omega = (\nu_A + \nu_B) \wedge 1_\Omega.$$

Therefore,

$$\mu_A + \mu_B \leq 1_\Omega \tag{1}$$

$$\nu_A + \nu_B \geq 1_\Omega. \tag{2}$$

By (2) we obtain

$$(1_\Omega - \nu_A) \odot (1_\Omega - \nu_B) = (1_\Omega - (\nu_A + \nu_B)) \vee 0_\Omega = 0_\Omega.$$

Since $\tau(\mathbf{A}) \odot \tau(\mathbf{B}) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega) &= (\tau^b(\mu_A), 1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_A)) \odot (\tau^b(\mu_B), 1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_B)) \\ &= (\tau^b(\mu_A) \odot \tau^b(\mu_B), (1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_A)) \oplus (1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_B))) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0_\Omega &= \tau^b(\mu_A) \odot \tau^b(\mu_B) \\ 1_\Omega &= (1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_A)) \oplus (1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_B)) \\ &= (1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_A) + 1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_B)) \wedge 1_\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_A) + \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_B) \leq 1_\Omega$ and

$$\tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_A) \odot \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_B) = (\tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_A) + \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_B) - 1_\Omega) \vee 0_\Omega = 0_\Omega.$$

Finally since $\tau(\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}) = \tau(\mathbf{A}) \oplus \tau(\mathbf{B})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau((\mu_A \oplus \mu_B, \nu_A \odot \nu_B)) &= (\tau^b(\mu_A), 1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_A)) \oplus (\tau^b(\mu_B), 1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_B)), \\ (\tau^b(\mu_A \oplus \mu_B), 1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_A \odot \nu_B)) &= (\tau^b(\mu_A) \oplus \tau^b(\mu_B), (1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_A)) \odot (1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_B))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\tau^b(\mu_A \oplus \mu_B) = \tau^b(\mu_A) \oplus \tau^b(\mu_B)$, and

$$1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_A \odot \nu_B) = (1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_A)) \odot (1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_B))$$

and using de Morgan rule: $f \odot g = 1_\Omega - (1_\Omega - f) \oplus (1_\Omega - g)$ on the second equality we obtain

$$1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp((1_\Omega - \nu_A) \oplus (1_\Omega - \nu_B)) = 1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_A) \oplus \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_B).$$

Therefore

$$\tau^\sharp((1_\Omega - \nu_A) \oplus (1_\Omega - \nu_B)) = \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_A) \oplus \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_B).$$

(iii) If $\mathbf{A}_n = (\mu_{A_n}, \nu_{A_n}) \nearrow \mathbf{A} = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$, i.e. $\mu_{A_n} \nearrow \mu_A$ and $\nu_{A_n} \searrow \nu_A$, then $\tau(\mathbf{A}_n) \nearrow \tau(\mathbf{A})$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau^b(\mu_{A_n}), 1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_{A_n})) &\nearrow (\tau^b(\mu_A), 1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_A)) \\ \tau^b(\mu_{A_n}) &\nearrow \tau^b(\mu_A) \\ 1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_{A_n}) &\searrow 1_\Omega - \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_A) \\ \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_{A_n}) &\nearrow \tau^\sharp(1_\Omega - \nu_A). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Let $\mathbf{m}(\tau(\mathbf{A}) \cdot \tau(\mathbf{B})) = \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B})$ for each $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\mathbf{A}) \cdot \tau(\mathbf{B}) &= \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}, \\ (\tau^{\flat}(\mu_A), 1_{\Omega} - \tau^{\sharp}(1_{\Omega} - \nu_A)) \cdot (\tau^{\flat}(\mu_B), 1_{\Omega} - \tau^{\sharp}(1_{\Omega} - \nu_B)) &= (\mu_A, \nu_A) \cdot (\mu_B, \nu_B), \\ (\tau^{\flat}(\mu_A) \cdot \tau^{\flat}(\mu_B), 1_{\Omega} - \tau^{\sharp}(1_{\Omega} - \nu_A) \cdot \tau^{\sharp}(1_{\Omega} - \nu_B)) &= (\mu_A \cdot \mu_B, 1_{\Omega} - (1_{\Omega} - \nu_A) \cdot (1_{\Omega} - \nu_B)).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\tau^{\flat}(\mu_A) \cdot \tau^{\flat}(\mu_B) &= \mu_A \cdot \mu_B, \\ 1_{\Omega} - \tau^{\sharp}(1_{\Omega} - \nu_A) \cdot \tau^{\sharp}(1_{\Omega} - \nu_B) &= 1_{\Omega} - (1_{\Omega} - \nu_A) \cdot (1_{\Omega} - \nu_B), \\ \tau^{\sharp}(1_{\Omega} - \nu_A) \cdot \tau^{\sharp}(1_{\Omega} - \nu_B) &= (1_{\Omega} - \nu_A) \cdot (1_{\Omega} - \nu_B).\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 6.3. (Individual ergodic theorem) *Let (\mathcal{F}, \cdot) be a family of IF-events with product, \mathbf{m} be an IF-state. Let x be an integrable IF-observable and τ be an \mathbf{m} -preserving transformation. Then there exists an integrable IF-observable x^* such that*

$$(i) \quad \mathbf{E}(x) = \mathbf{E}(x^*),$$

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\tau^i \circ x) = x^* \quad \mathbf{m}\text{-almost everywhere.}$$

Proof. Let $x_n = \tau^{n-1} \circ x$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), i.e

$$x_1 = x, x_2 = \tau \circ x, x_3 = \tau^2 \circ x, \dots \quad (3)$$

Let us return to the Kolmogorov extension process (see *Proposition 4.7*). Let us consider the probability space $(R^{\mathbb{N}}, \sigma(\mathcal{C}), P)$ such that

$$P(\{(t_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} : t_1 \in A_1, \dots, t_n \in A_n\}) = \mathbf{m}(x_1(A_1) \cdot \dots \cdot x_n(A_n)) \quad (4)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_i \in \mathcal{B}(R)$.

Let $T : R^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow R^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the shift defined by the formula $T((t_n)_n) = (s_n)_n$, where $s_n = t_{n+1}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$).

Let $A = \{(t_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} : t_1 \in A_1, \dots, t_n \in A_n\}$ is the cylinder. In this case

$$T^{-1}(A) = \{(t_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} : T((t_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}) \in A\} = \{(t_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} : t_{i+1} \in A_1, \dots, t_{n+1} \in A_n\}.$$

Therefore using (4), (3) and (iv) from *Definition 6.1* we have

$$\begin{aligned}P(T^{-1}(A)) &= \mathbf{m}(x_{1+1}(A_1) \cdot \dots \cdot x_{n+1}(A_n)) \\ &= \mathbf{m}(\tau \circ x(A_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \tau^n \circ x(A_n)) \\ &= \mathbf{m}(\tau(x(A_1)) \cdot \dots \cdot \tau(\tau^{n-1} \circ x(A_n))) \\ &= \mathbf{m}(\tau(x_1(A_1)) \cdot \dots \cdot \tau(x_n(A_n))) \\ &= \mathbf{m}(x_1(A_1) \cdot \dots \cdot x_n(A_n)) = P(A).\end{aligned}$$

Hence the mapping T preserves the probability measure P , i.e. $P(T^{-1}(A)) = P(A)$.

Since the IF-observable $x = x_1$ is integrable, the first coordinate function ξ_1 defined by $\xi_1((t_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}) = t_1$ is integrable, too (see *Proposition 4.8* and *Definition 2.9*). Therefore by the Individual ergodic theorem there exists an integrable random variable ξ^* such that $E(\xi^*) = E(\xi)$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\xi \circ T^i) = \xi^* \quad P\text{-almost everywhere.}$$

Of course $\xi \circ T^i = \xi_{i+1}$, hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j = \xi^* \quad P\text{-almost everywhere.}$$

Put $g_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i$. By *Theorem 5.5* the sequence of *IF*-observables

$$\left(g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)\right)_n = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)_n = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i \circ x\right)_n$$

is convergent \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere to the *IF*-observable $x^* = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and

$$P(\xi^*(-\infty, t)) = \mathbf{m}(x^*((-\infty, t)))$$

for each $t \in R$. Since $P_{\xi^*} = \mathbf{m}_{x^*}$ and $P_{\xi_1} = \mathbf{m}_{x_1} = \mathbf{m}_x$, we obtain $\mathbf{E}(x) = E(\xi_1) = E(\xi^*) = \mathbf{E}(x^*)$. \square

7 Conclusion

The paper is concerned with ergodic theory for family of intuitionistic fuzzy events. We proved the Individual ergodic theorem for intuitionistic fuzzy observables using \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere convergence, where \mathbf{m} is an intuitionistic fuzzy state. Since the intuitionistic fuzzy probability \mathcal{P} can be decomposed to two intuitionistic fuzzy states, then this results can be applied to \mathcal{P} -almost everywhere convergence, too.

References

- [1] K. T. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets: Theory and applications*, Physica Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [2] K. T. Atanassov, *On intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2012.
- [3] K. Čunderlíková, *The individual ergodic theorem on the IF-events with product*, Soft Computing - A Fusion of Foundations, Methodologies and Applications, **14**(3) (2010), 229-234.
- [4] K. Čunderlíková, *Upper and lower limits and \mathbf{m} -almost everywhere convergence of intuitionistic fuzzy observables*, Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, **24**(4) (2018), 40-49.
- [5] K. Čunderlíková, *\mathbf{m} -almost everywhere convergence of intuitionistic fuzzy observables induced by Borel measurable function*, Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, **25**(2) (2019), 29-40.
- [6] K. Lendelová, *Conditional IF-probability*, Lawry J. et al. (eds), Advances in Soft Computing: Soft Methods for Integrated Uncertainty Modelling, **37** (2006), 275-283.
- [7] K. Lendelová, B. Riečan, *Weak law of large numbers for IF-events*, B. De Baets, et al. (eds), Current Issues in Data and Knowledge Engineering, Warszawa, EXIT, (2004), 309-314.
- [8] B. Riečan, *On a problem of Radko Mesiar: General form of IF-probabilities*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **152** (2006), 1485-1490.
- [9] B. Riečan, *On the probability and random variables on IF events*, D. Ruan, et al. (eds), Applied Artificial Intelligence, Proc. 7th FLINS Conf. Genova, World Scientific, (2006), 138-145.
- [10] B. Riečan, *Analysis of fuzzy logic models*, V. M. Koleshko, (ed), Intelligent Systems, INTECH, (2012), 219-244.